

Notice is given that a Submissions Hearing meeting will be held on:

Date: Wednesday 18 November 2020
Time: 10.00 am
Meeting Room: Takaka Service Centre
Venue: 78 Commercial Street
Takaka

Submissions Hearing

AGENDA

MEMBERSHIP

Chairperson	Deputy Mayor S Bryant
Members	Cr A Turley
	Cr C Hill
	Cr K Maling
	Cr T Walker

(Quorum 2 members)

Contact Telephone:
Email: Julie.halsey@tasman.govt.nz
Website: www.tasman.govt.nz

AGENDA

1 OPENING, WELCOME

2 APOLOGIES AND LEAVE OF ABSENCE

Recommendation

That apologies be accepted.

3 HEARING OF SUBMISSIONS

Time	Speaker
10:05 AM	Dr Christopher Bennett (24976)
10:15 AM	Mr Tudor Burchill (25032) Takaka Physiotherapy via Zoom
10:25 AM	Mr Brent Maru (25043) Motueka Community Board via Zoom
10:35 AM	Brian Jones (25054)
10:45 AM	Patricia Jones (25055)
10:55 AM	Ms Debs Martin (25124) Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ (Inc)
11:05 AM	Helen Campbell (25058) Friends of Nelson Haven & Tasman Bay (Inc)
11:15 AM	Mr Bruce Burgess (25088)
11:25 AM	Ms Lisa Sheppard (25101)
11:35 AM	Helen McKinlay (25157)
11:45 AM	Paul & Lenaire Crockford (25127)
11:55 AM	Mr Kevin Fourie (25128)
12:05 PM	Aimee Brown (25136) FENZ
12:45 PM	James Imlach (25142) NZMCA
12:55 PM	Jill Margaret Pearson (25146)
1:05 PM	Ursula Passi (25095) Manawhenua ki Mohua
1:15 PM	Gaya Brabant (25156)
1:25 PM	William Brabant (25159)
1:35 PM	Joss Brown (25162)
1:45 PM	John Kelly (25166) Grey Power Motueka Committee
1:55 PM	Dr Paul Mosley (25180)

4 REPORTS

4.1 Freedom Camping Bylaw Proposed Amendments Hearing Report..... 5

3 CONFIDENTIAL SESSION

Nil

4 REPORTS

4.1 FREEDOM CAMPING BYLAW PROPOSED AMENDMENTS HEARING REPORT

Information Only - No Decision Required

Report To:	Submissions Hearing
Meeting Date:	18 November 2020
Report Author:	Adrian Humphries, Regulatory Manager
Report Number:	RSH20-11-2

1 Summary

- 1.1 The submission period on the proposed amendments to the Freedom Camping Bylaw closed on 16 November 2020.
- 1.2 The review was deemed necessary to have additional controls over freedom camping before this summer.
- 1.3 There were over 120 submissions. The removal of sites at Taupata and Waitapu Bridge was well supported and the inclusion of a new site at Rototai was subject to mixed reactions. The concerns about using the site were primarily related to site safety and environmental impacts on wildlife.

2 Draft Resolution

That the Submissions Hearing Panel:

1. receives the Proposed Freedom Camping Bylaw Amendment Submissions Report RSH20-11-2; and
2. following deliberations, provides staff with recommendations on matters raised in the submissions received on the Proposed Freedom Camping Bylaw; and
3. notes that the recommendations of the Hearing Panel on the Proposed changes to the Freedom Camping Bylaw will be reported to Full Council for consideration at its meeting on 3 December 2020.

3 Purpose of the Report

- 3.1 This report provides the Hearing Panel with a copy of the submissions received and requests the Hearing Panel hear the submissions from those that have indicated they wish to speak. Furthermore, it requests that the Panel deliberates on the matters contained in all of the submissions and gives recommendations on whether the proposed amendments to the Freedom Camping Bylaw 2017 should be adopted. The final decision on adoption of any amendments or otherwise will be made by the Full Council meeting on 3 December 2020.

4 Background and Discussion

- 4.1 Following adoption of the Responsible Camping Strategy (RCS) in April 2020, the Council requested staff to review the Tasman District Council Freedom Camping Bylaw 2017, (the Bylaw) to have any changes in force by 01 December 2020. Due to Covid-19 and other issues it has not been possible to fully review the Bylaw in this period. In order to address the main concerns raised by some members of the community, it was proposed to amend elements of the Bylaw which are contentious and put in place alternative solutions.
- 4.2 At a Regulatory Committee meeting on 15 October 2020 the following resolution was passed:

Moved Mayor King/Cr Wensley

RC20-10-3

That the Regulatory Committee

- 1) receives the Regulatory Manager's Report - Amendments to the Freedom Camping Bylaw RRC20-10-1; and**
- 2) agrees that the proposed amendments to the Freedom Camping Bylaw 2017 are the most appropriate way to control perceived issues dealt with in the proposed amendments; and**
- 3) notes that the proposed amended draft Consolidated Bylaw – Chapter 11 – Freedom camping Bylaw 2017, does not give rise to any implications under the New Zealand Bill of Rights 1990; and**
- 4) agrees to start a Special Consultative Procedure to propose amendments to the Freedom Camping Bylaw 2017 as indicated in this report, including Attachments 1 and 2 including as amended by not including the Aorere Bridge site and including a ban on camping in non-self-contained vehicles, except in identified areas; and**
- 5) agrees to the statement of proposal contained in Attachment 1 and the summary of information contained in Attachment 2 to this report as amended by resolution 4 being made available on the Council website and at all Council offices and libraries. Notices will also be posted in Newsline and local Newspapers; and**
- 6) agrees to establish a hearing panel to hear and deliberate on submissions on 18 November 2020 and to report back to Full Council with recommendations**

comprising Councillor Bryant as Chair and Councillors Hill, Walker, Turley and Maling as members with the Chair having authority to co-opt in the event of any unavailability of a member; and


7) agrees to write to the Government requesting a review of the Freedom Camping Act to allow greater regulation of non-self-contained forms of camping.


CARRIED

4.3 The proposed amendments are:

5	Part of existing Bylaw requiring change	Suggested change	Remarks
	Clause 5.2.2 which prohibits freedom camping on the river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. The reason for this is to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area.	Amend Clause 5.2.2 to read "The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area."	Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area and this area has been identified as an area where freedom camping should not occur.
SCHEDULE 1 - DEFINED AREAS OF PUBLIC LAND WHERE ANY FORM OF FREEDOM CAMPING IS PROHIBITED			
14.	Area of Prohibition: Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve Legal description: Pt Lot DP6769	Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area	Council no longer has jurisdiction there.
SCHEDULE 2 - DEFINED AREAS WHERE FREEDOM CAMPING IS RESTRICTED TO SPECIFIC TYPES OF VEHICLES			
1.	Restricted Area: Decks Reserve Car Park Legal description: DPC 9 Lot 1 DP 11529 PT Lot 2 DP 5945 & Pt Secs 153 293 Motueka Dist	Need to redefine Freedom Camping (FC) Areas	The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain spaces for freedom camping overnight in alternative parking spots.
SCHEDULE 3 - DEFINED AREAS WHERE FREEDOM CAMPING IS PERMITTED SUBJECT TO CERTAIN RESTRICTIONS			
1.	Permitted Area: Waitapu Bridge, Takaka	Remove from Bylaw	The NZTA are taking control of the whole area and we therefore have no legal right to have it in our Bylaw.

Item 3.1

Legal Description: Pt sec C Takaka DIST and Road Reserve		
2. Permitted Area: Taupata Gravel Reserve, Collingwood – SEASONAL Legal Description: Road Reserve	Remove from Bylaw	This area is a continual issue for complaints from bird watchers. It is difficult to police and the area to the front of the gravel reserve is NOT a Department of Conservation (DoC) reserve as previously reported. An alternative site should be found if possible.
Part of the former Rototai Tip Site	Add to Bylaw – A new map would be added to Schedule 3 of the Bylaw and Signage posted displaying the requirements at the site	This site was suggested in 2017 and met significant resistance from the local community. Given the lack of alternative Council land, it is felt that an area of this land is still the best option. Site surveys have been carried out to ensure safety of the sealing cap. Additional work would be required to improve the site for vehicles and to fence it where appropriate to prevent access to sensitive areas. It is intended to make spaces for a maximum of 60 vehicles. Initial feedback from the Community Board is supportive.
 <div data-bbox="1134 1285 1485 1711"> <p>The site would be serviced with temporary toilets and waste bins provided by the Government responsible camping fund.</p> </div>		
Alexander Bluff Road Reserve	Amend permitted camping area to allow more control of camping activities	This area is classified as road reserve and is used for storage of gravel and roading materials. The freedom camping site would be identified using appropriate posts and markings. This would also allow

		free use of the reserve by others.	Item 3.1
			
SCHEDULE 4 - SELF-CONTAINED VEHICLE WASTE DUMPING LOCATIONS			
The schedule will be updated to reflect current locations.			

6 Options

- 6.1 The role of the Hearing Panel is to consider the submissions received and recommend to the Full Council what amendments, if any, should be made to the existing Bylaw.
- 6.2 Some changes are driven by circumstances and must be made i.e. the change in control over areas such as Waitapu Bridge and Waikoropupu Springs Road, meaning we have no jurisdiction.
- 6.3 A report to the Council will outline the recommended outcome.
- 6.4 The obligation is now on the Hearing Panel to deliberate and make decisions on the submissions received.
 - 6.4.1 Option 1: Recommend that Council proceed with the proposed amendments as consulted on.
 - 6.4.2 Option 2: Recommend that Council proceed with different amendments that fall within the scope of the review based on submissions.
 - 6.4.3 Option 3: Recommend that Council proceed with no changes to the existing Bylaw.

7 Submissions

- 7.1 Any bylaw relating to freedom camping will attract differing views. Then Committee is charged to hear and consider the submissions and recommend what changes should proceed.

- 7.2 There were over 120 submissions (**Attachment 1**). Staff comments on the themes arising from the submissions is attached as **Attachment 2**. An overview of the submissions is as follows:
- 7.2.1 Near universal support for closure of Taupata Point
 - 7.2.2 Majority support for closure of Waitapu Bridge
 - 7.2.3 Mixed reaction to Rototai Tip site, with some support. Main points against use of the site were:
 - 7.2.3.1 Safety Concerns being a former landfill site.
 - 7.2.3.2 Wildlife concerns
 - 7.2.3.3 Noise concerns
- Ensuring site safety, use of fencing and site controls, along with provision of toilets and bins deemed to be necessary for success. Some people seem to confuse the Rototai Reserve with the tip site. A resource consent will be needed to change the use but the risk of gas escape is considered low.
- 7.3 Some confusion that Waikorupupu Springs was a FC site; it was not.
- 7.4 There were also many comments about banning all freedom camping and some suggestions about allowing NZ campers only.

8 Policy / Legal Requirements / Plan

- 8.1 The Special Consultative Procedure (SCP) conducted in reviewing the proposed amendments to the Freedom Camping Bylaw is compliant with Council's legal obligations in the Local Government Act 2002 and the Freedom Camping Act 2011.

9 Consideration of Financial or Budgetary Implications

- 9.1 Some costs will incurred if changes are made to the existing Bylaw i.e. site modifications, new signage and leaflets.

10 Significance and Engagement

- 10.1 The recommendations are likely to be of moderate significance. The treatment of freedom camping is of interest of many ratepayers and there is a need to make appropriate provisions for freedom camping going forward. The SCP process provides for appropriate engagement with the community and is required.

Issue	Level of Significance	Explanation of Assessment
Is there a high level of public interest, or is decision likely to be controversial?	high	Freedom Camping is very important to some elements of the community.
Is there a significant impact arising from duration of the effects from the decision?	moderate	Council has indicated that the Freedom Camping Bylaw be reviewed by August 2021.
Does the decision relate to a strategic asset? (refer Significance and Engagement Policy for list of strategic assets)	No	
Does the decision create a substantial change in the level of service provided by Council?	moderate	It potentially reduces the number of freedom camping spaces available in the district.
Does the proposal, activity or decision substantially affect debt, rates or Council finances in any one year or more of the LTP?	No	
Does the decision involve the sale of a substantial proportion or controlling interest in a CCO or CCTO?	No	
Does the proposal or decision involve entry into a private sector partnership or contract to carry out the deliver on any Council group of activities?	No	
Does the proposal or decision involve Council exiting from or entering into a group of activities?	No	
Does the proposal require inclusion of Māori in the decision making process (consistent with s81 of the LGA)?	No	

11 Conclusion

11.1 Hearing, deliberating and making recommendations to the Full Council on all submissions received is a critical part of the decision making process required for this review of some elements of the Freedom Camping Bylaw.

12 Next Steps / Timeline

- 12.1 Following deliberations and the formulation of recommendations on the matters raised in submissions, staff will write a report to the Council indicating the recommendations of the Hearing Panel.

13 Attachments

- | | | |
|----------------------|---|-----|
| 1. ↓ | Attachment 1 Freedom Camping Bylaw Submissions by Subject | 13 |
| 2. ↓ | Attachment 2 Freedom Camping Bylaw Hearing - Staff Feedback | 185 |

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 87	Mr Robert Goundrill	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - "Addition of a new site on the former Rototai Tip site" I object to this proposal because it really means: "Addition of a new site next to the Rototai Reserve, next to nesting seabirds, next to narrow rural roads, next to existing rate payers who choose to live in a quiet rural environment". I also object to 60 vehicles because that means over 100 to 200 people in one place partying big-time.
250 87	Mr Robert Goundrill	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 87	Mr Robert Goundrill	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 88	Mr Bruce Burgess	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Allow no freedom camping anywhere
250 88	Mr Bruce Burgess	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No -



Submissions Summarised for Council Freedom Camping Bylaw Review

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 75	Ms Karen Campbell	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - This is a terrible proposal.</p> <p>Signs have recently gone up restricting dog walkers on the other side of the estuary to protect seabirds, and as a dog owner I agree with this, however, to invite 60 vehicles and however many people that brings to the estuary on the Rototai peninsula side is insane, the campers will not only inhabit the former tip but will inevitably take over the whole reserve, not in their vehicles but as travellers en masse. This is crazy. This move will hugely impact local residents who use the reserve daily to exercise their dogs and bring their children to play, let alone for the people who live on that access road. The traffic constantly heading in and out will ruin the reserve with noise and dust storms, and the combined mass of people will ruin it for those of us that live here. I also believe you will fight a losing battle to stop the huge overflow of vehicles that will just park up at the reserve anyway. It's a recipe for an unmanageable disaster.</p> <p>On a personal level, I will feel intimidated to use the reserve for quiet walks, healing and to exercise my dog as I've done for years, I feel very sad about that, as I struggle with depression and anxiety and it has been a place of respite and haven for me as I grapple with my life. Once it is overwhelmed with people it will be unusable for me.</p> <p>If you change this area and render it unusable for locals, will you be establishing a new reserve elsewhere?</p> <p>Why don't you establish a freedom camping area in the middle of a block of land away from, homes, residents/locals, not right next to a reserve with its own birdlife and other animals to protect? Somewhere with no impact on anything but the land itself? Surely this is achievable.</p> <p>I'm vehemently opposed to Council establishing this area for freedom camping, this moves freedom camping and all of the associated issues to another unsuitable area.</p> <p>I'm not against freedom camping per se, I just believe that this again is the wrong place to allow it.</p> <p>I also like to camp in my van at times and I'm more than happy to pay for the privilege at established sites.</p> <p>Thanks for listening, I hope you have.</p> <p>Karen</p>
249 75	Ms Karen Campbell	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 76	Dr Christopher Bennett	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	<p>No - This site was considered in 2017 and rejected as unsuitable. Nothing is materially different to warrant revisiting and reversing this decision.</p> <p>The underlying push for this is from TDC's staff who appear to see that there is an imperative that TDC provides as many freedom camping sites as possible in Golden Bay.</p> <p>The Government legislation (http://www.legislation.govt.nz/act/public/2011/0061/latest/DLM3742815.html) does not in fact require that TDC provide this facility, and this approach is at variance with the views of the vast majority of the local community.</p> <p>The only suitable location that TDC has for freedom camping is the car park in town, which needs to have the allocated sites clearly marked and enforced.</p> <p>The creation of additional capacity at a new location--which is unsuitable and not wanted by the community--should not be done. It is actions like this which has led to the call by some (not me!) for a Local Board instead of a Community Board. Please respect our local community and do not force this on us.</p>
249 76	Dr Christopher Bennett	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	Yes - This site should never have been used in the first place--as evidenced by the criteria of your draft freedom camping plan.
249 76	Dr Christopher Bennett	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Richmond	N/A - This is an excellent outcome which FINALLY respects the wishes of the community and the Iwi. Shame it could only be done by transferring responsibility to NZTA.
249 77	Mrs Gaynor Brooks	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 77	Mrs Gaynor Brooks	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This camp site also needs a road sign on the Motueka Valley Highway, to indicate the entrance to the site. Vehicles miss the unmarked entrance and attempt to turn around on the main highway in campervans, which is a hazard.
249 80	Mrs Keri Townshend	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Yes. It is a special place that needs to be protected. Being overrun by campers made this inaccessible to other people.
249 80	Mrs Keri Townshend	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I disagree that people should camp at all in non self contained vehicles. The area becomes full with cars, some having up to four people in, becoming so full it is unusable for local people wishing to park in town day or night, and has also caused problems for the Sunday market. The use of public facilities are exploited, people doing their dishes and laundry in drinking fountains are one example. Clothing strung from bushes and playground equipment is a common sight. Promoting local backpacker facilities would be a better option for people wishing not to use a self contained vehicle.
249 80	Mrs Keri Townshend	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This is actually a real issue. Local population should be able to access areas that are obviously treasured and used over generations. Areas for self contained vehicles only. Once again, help locals and businesses start up backpacking accommodation again.
249 80	Mrs Keri Townshend	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Any area sensitive to wildlife should be protected. The benefits of a healthy wildlife population and area are many. We all know this now and doesn't need to be explained. Please just let's all do this know.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 81	Ms Shelly Collinson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - We own the dairy farm that this stream (Taupata) runs from, so the site is connected to us via the stream. We have had problems with camp people using our cow race as a walk track (google maps has it as an unnamed road!). They walk up the stream during summer when it is dry and access our farm property from there also. We have signs up, they get ignored. Before the forest and bird supporters put up there many many signs at the Taupata sight warning of disturbing shore birds, we had them taking refuge in our cow paddocks. Although it was amazing to get so close to renowned birds, I knew it wasn't good that they were constantly shifted off the area from nesting and feeding. Camp people would go collecting cockles at low tide and frighten them off, when the birds where foraging. I notice the birds use the embankments now more when campers aren't there. The sight was only suppose to support overflow from the registered camping grounds and be seasonal. They were used past the cutoff dates of 1 Oct to end April. Non self contained vehicles would use the site when no toilets where there. It would get excessively overcrowded with campers vehicles during 'silly season' and it wasn't policed effectively because of its location. No funds where available to pay a local to police it properly. I read a one stage the old school cafe was subsidising the toilets. As a ratepayer I would resent having to pay for toilets/rubbish bins for them to use freely and them be a nuisance to our farming business. I wholeheartedly fully support the removal of Taupata gravel pit Campsite for use as freedom campers/ing.
249 81	Ms Shelly Collinson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - This river is known to flood annually, normally during 'silly season'. Locals raise the alarm to campers and local farmers are the ones to pull any rescues out. It's too much risk. The site is overcrowded every year. Just google Waitapu River camp sight and the images will verify the statement. Does Wholemeal Cafe still subsidise the toilets/bins? I know rate payers aren't wanting to pay for it. Most locals think it should be user pays.
249 88	Mrs Jody Maru	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Non-self contained vehicles should not be allow to stay in this area due to the lack of facilities eg: sink. I have seen many use bowls of water for washing dishes and then tip them into the carpark, washing dishes in the hand basins where people are cleaning their teeth. Non- Contained vehicles would be far better at Beach Reserve or even better given the current covid situation to be in a camping ground. I would rather see some more support be given to the likes of your TDC camp Top 10 (who no doubt is having their own struggles) to have reduced rates if required for those that require non-self contained park ups. Now given the current situation should be a time to close Decks Reserve why our numbers are low and support our local campgrounds so they can survive for the future.
249 88	Mrs Jody Maru	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - There needs to be better markings in this area as some nights this area is full. At times if there was a fire or major incident gas explosion it would be a scramble of cars to get out of there as cars have been parked blocking others in not a lot of distance in between. Again there are no amenities in this area except toilets which are up on the road. I would hate to think what is being put into our river and surrounding area for the next nights people!

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 92	Ms Caitlin McCleely	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Doc have control so its their decision
249 92	Ms Caitlin McCleely	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
249 92	Ms Caitlin McCleely	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
249 92	Ms Caitlin McCleely	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
249 92	Ms Caitlin McCleely	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
249 92	Ms Caitlin McCleely	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - The overcrowding there is ridiculous so having this site removed is good.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 93	Ms Lis Pedersen	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Agree.
249 93	Ms Lis Pedersen	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Under no circumstances should we be accommodating any non-self contained vehicles. This approach has been adopted by other councils so it can be done!
249 93	Ms Lis Pedersen	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - TDC should not be creating unnecessary competition for Golden Bay's existing providers.</p> <p>Covid 19 has had a major impact on our economy. Businesses are suffering. We have ten existing campgrounds where visitors can stay at (Wharariki Beach, Farewell Gardens Motor Camp, Pakawau Beach Park, Collingwood Holiday Park, Golden Bay Holiday Park, Hack'n Stay, Takaka Camping and Cabins, Payne Ford's Hangdog Camp, Pohara Beach Top 10, and Totaranui Campground), except for the very short period over Christmas/New Year's when they are full.</p> <p>These businesses employ locals. They pay taxes. They pay TDC's rates. So why is TDC proposing to remove 60 paying customers from them by providing free (to the visitors - but financed by tax payers and rate payers!) camping at Rototai?</p> <p>It is telling, that nowhere else in Tasman District (where there are 15 other commercial campgrounds according to Campermate) is TDC proposing to create competition by building a new campsite for freedom campers. So why only in Golden Bay?</p> <p>Finally, you need to: (1) Number the parking bays in central Takaka's parking lot where the freedom campers are allowed to stay; and (2) ACTIVELY ENFORCE this.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 93	Ms Lis Pedersen	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Locals should come first.
249 93	Ms Lis Pedersen	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - DUH!!!! You should never have allowed it here in the first place.
249 93	Ms Lis Pedersen	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - DUH!!!! There is a reason it's called "Wai" and "Tapu". You have shown disrespect to our local Iwi by creating this area for freedom campers in the first place.
249 95	Ms emma lay	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Yes agree.
249 95	Ms emma lay	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	N/A - I support this proposal only if there is significant investment in the site to make it a pleasant and well serviced and MANAGED area. Due to the location of the site close to waterway and bird nesting sites the area will have to be well thought out to avoid water pollution, disturbing beach and foreshore areas which would potentially negatively impact. Clearly many freedom campers do not understand/care about the impact their behaviour has on the areas where they camp. So sites will need to be well managed. If this site is going to be the primary one in golden bay then surely it needs to be managed. DoC have campsite hosts that oversee rules of sites are adhered to.....clearly essential. So at bare minimum this site needs twice daily visits, by a well trained and assertive staff member. If not, I can see TDC will have ongoing problems with this site and problems of other site will just be shifted here. TDC should be very clear in promoting that there is only ONE freedom camping site in the Bay and the rules with this. IT should then be promoting the many private campsites as alternatives for those who want to spend more time here. I think it will take some time to get the message over that you cannot just freedom camp anywhere. The Bay has a reputation for being easy going and relaxed....which it is. However, it would be far more beneficial all round if it took a strong stance on enforcing its' bylaws and protected the assets of this area for responsible tourists who value its worth.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 95	Ms emma lay	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
249 95	Ms emma lay	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I definitely think if there is one well managed site close to Takaka for freedom campers it will be far easier to avoid problems and negative consequences of irresponsible camping and overcrowding.
249 95	Ms emma lay	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Definitely. It's disgraceful how area has been allowed to be used. It was grotty, overcrowded and I felt for those campers that did stay the night there. As a local I was put off going to this area for swims or to enjoy river. There was litter, excrement in bushes, people were daily washing in river. Can't believe that TDC allowed this to go on for so long. What I do hope is that once again the site will allow for river access and it will be restored to a pleasant spot for locals and tourists alike to enjoy. The river is such an asset, yet there are so few areas where we can access it. This would be a perfect spot for enjoying it. Once again if managed sensibly it could be turned from a negative problem to positive asset.
249 96	Mr jason welsford	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - if tourists are not in self contained vehicle, they should stay in a camp ground. that is what campgrounds are for. there is a significant difference between freedom camping (parking up for the night and then moving on) to what id say is "free camping" as in holiday spots that tourists spend multiple nights in as if staying at a 'campground'.
249 96	Mr jason welsford	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - The area must have a camp warden to monitor vehicles

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
249 96	Mr Jason Welsford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
249 96	Mr Jason Welsford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - would like more information on how this decision has been made as it's not very transparent. how will this decision impact on the use of the area for local access to the river etc?
249 97	Mr Alan Swafford	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Nothing, apart from the sun and moon, fresh air and rain is "Free". If you want to camp you go to a camping ground, from a cheap DOC cold water long drop to hot showers kitchen facilities power points. Where did this term "freedom camping" originate? It's an oxymoron. BUT, who is going to pay to employ inspectors to prevent these freeloaders congregating, and, do they have the legal power to tell them to go to a camping ground? I don't have the answer, but as a lifetime camper I have no sympathy for this problem.
250 08	Mr Hagen Jurke	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Agree, a sensible move.
250 08	Mr Hagen Jurke	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	N/A - No comment, we have no experience of the effect on the community of freedom camping in this area.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 08	Mr Hagen Jurke	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - With the reservation that it is strictly controlled and that the health and safety concerns raised before are demonstrably groundless.
250 08	Mr Hagen Jurke	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	N/A - No comment, we have no experience of the effect on the community of freedom camping in this area.
250 08	Mr Hagen Jurke	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Most emphatically supported. It should never have been allowed in the first place.
250 08	Mr Hagen Jurke	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Freedom camping here spoils access to the river by locals and should never have been allowed in the first place.
250 10	Ms Wendy Hardwick	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Yes I support this
250 10	Ms Wendy Hardwick	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Concerns regarding impact on the environment in an environmentally sensitive area.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 10	Ms Wendy Hardwick	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Concerns regarding impact on the environment in an environmentally sensitive area.
250 10	Ms Wendy Hardwick	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Yes remove. Concerns regarding impact on the environment in an environmentally sensitive area, and overcrowding concerns around health and safety and hygiene.
250 11	Mr Patrick Steer	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Thank for the opportunity to comment on the changes to Freedom Camping bylaw in Golden Bay. I fully support the removal of the 3 freedom camping sites in Golden Bay.
250 11	Mr Patrick Steer	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I have reservations about the proposed Rototai site due to it's proximity to Rototai estuary, another important bird nesting and roosting site. I think we should be encouraging visitors to stay in commercial campsites and contribute to our local economy directly.
250 11	Mr Patrick Steer	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 11	Mr Patrick Steer	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 16	Mr Richard English	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Agree
250 16	Mr Richard English	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 16	Mr Richard English	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - Among other matters, landfill gas is likely to be emanating from the site given its history and the composition of the waste within it. This gas, which is both flammable and / or explosive depending on circumstances, can pool in low areas or accumulate in enclosed areas, such as vehicles. Appropriate health and safety measures in line with MfE's document "A Guide for the Management of Closing and Closed Landfills in New Zealand - 2001" need therefore to be undertaken before the site is considered for use. Both the conduct of the investigations and the resultant remedial work is likely to be costly. In addition toxic contaminants are likely to be leaching into the adjoining coastal strip. Accordingly these areas would need to be fenced off from public access at further cost.</p> <p>As an alternative the Council should consider the lease of appropriate land close to Takaka township. Given that this would be to the advantage of the local shops, restaurants and bars they should be approached to subsidise the lease and other costs associated with establishing a freedom camping site (i.e. not the District's ratepayers in general)</p> <p>As the Council is aware the real solution to the problem lies with the government. All that is needed is an uncomplicated change to the Camping Regulations to permit the establishment of commercially run sites with simple, low cost facilities such as those provided currently by DOC in (supposed) remote locations.</p>
250 16	Mr Richard English	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 16	Mr Richard English	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 16	Mr Richard English	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Agree
250 21	Mr Andrew Wise	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - No Issue
250 21	Mr Andrew Wise	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 21	Mr Andrew Wise	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 21	Mr Andrew Wise	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 21	Mr Andrew Wise	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 21	Mr Andrew Wise	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - No Issue
250 23	Mr Noel Baigent	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Agree remove from TDC control but strongly suggest DOC be advised to retain the site for freedom camping. There are good toilet facilities here but the site needs to be properly managed and numbers controlled.
250 23	Mr Noel Baigent	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This is one of the few FC sites with proper toilet facilities close by.
250 23	Mr Noel Baigent	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This is a great site BUT proper toilet facilities must be provided.
250 23	Mr Noel Baigent	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - The priority should be to allow locals access without restriction.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 23	Mr Noel Baigent	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This should be PRIORITY NUMBER ONE
250 23	Mr Noel Baigent	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Agree remove from TDC control but suggest NZTA retain the site for freedom camping providing it is properly managed with number of campers restricted to suit the toilet facilities (which must be increased).
250 24	Sally Gaffney	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - This site is close to an estuary, it is an Important roosting site for shorebirds. It is difficult to police.
250 24	Sally Gaffney	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>Yes - I think freedom camping in Golden Bay, if it has to happen at all, should be confined to the Motupipi Street car park. I do not agree with opening up the Rototai land fill site to campers. This location was rejected once before and should be rejected once more. The site is unsuitable because it is near the estuary and is an important roosting site for shorebirds. The government is trying to encourage New Zealanders to visit their own backyard and spend money to help the tourist industry recover. Providing freedom camping sites takes income away from camping sites and goes in direct opposition to the government aims. If people want to camp they should use proper sites with proper facilities.</p> <p>I have been to cities where there are simply one of two marked out sites in a car park for freedom campers to use. This prevents the area becoming overpopulated and unsightly. I do not see why Golden Bay has to have a plethora of sites available when Motueka provides only 15 and none are allowed at other tourist hot spots such as Kaiteriteri and Marahau. Tourists should be encouraged to come and spend money not have a free time making a mess of our beautiful neighbourhood.</p> <p>Furthermore, I believe that any freedom camping should be strictly restricted to those vehicles which are self-sufficient. I have heard complaints about the Motupipi Street car park site and the fact that campers are leaving their vehicles to urinate on the ground. If they were in proper self-contained vehicles they should not need to do so. The council should not be providing toilets for campers to use in freedom camping spots. These vans with blue stickers which are not self-sufficient (and quite honestly the majority are not) should be fined and should not be allowed to sport these stickers and get away with it.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 24	Sally Gaffney	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support
250 25	Andrew Wise	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - While my wife and I have a second home in Golden Bay, we have also invested a lot of money in our RV to enjoy the full benefit of freedom camping in the Nelson/Tasman region particularly for short micro breaks within a day or less travel from our regular Nelson residence. We appreciate the local communities in our region and while we have the opportunity to access short term freedom camping areas we do provide financial support to them with local purchasing. I would encourage the committee to preserve and enhance the availability of suitable areas for the welfare of us all. Thank you.
250 26	Wayne Johnson	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Hi, I would be quite happy for more freedom camping options for New Zealanders in the Tasman Region, but not for any International visitors who should not be allowed to freedom camp anywhere in NZ.
250 32	Mr Tudor Burchill	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	<p>Yes - Yes but there must be a reclassification. Shifting the venue for campers from Waitapu Bridge to Rototai is merely cosmetic. The new venue would be treated much the same and will degrade with time at the impatience of golden Bay residents being unhappy with natural resources being trashed. Rototai provides an important feeding ground for native endangered. For this reason 'former refuse site' could be re-classified as a 'bird sanctuary'. Other council run camping grounds in New Zealand are situated in Bird Sanctuaries such as Tawharanui Peninsula Northern Auckland. This is now an important natural history destination for the area and has seen a synthesis for camping and wildlife.</p> <p>https://www.aucklandcouncil.govt.nz/parkmaps/map-tawharanui-regional-park.pdf . Obviously, an authentic New Zealand experience can not be had without camping in the wild. Sanctuaries, in general, impact local community as well; reclassifying the site will change perceptions held of the land. People would think more highly of it as a 'sanctuary' compared to 'Former Refuse Site' or a 'reserve'. They would hold it in higher regard and be sympathetic to it's 'sanctuary' status. Campers and local alike will adopt behaviours fit for staying and visiting a sanctuary- take only photos, leave only footprints.</p> <p>With whatever decision is made, please project the vision to encompass the long term projections for local and visitors perceptions and how this will most benefit the whenua.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 32	Mr Tudor Burchill	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Richmond	N/A - I think that Golden Bay has to start to model its camping destinations as other high tourists area's have, such as Wanaka for instance. Freedom in Wanaka is sparse despite its massive geographical area. Instead some thrifty maintained and monitored pay campsites options with amenities make camping here for many accessible. Albertown's DOC campground is such a venue, away from the densely populated suburbs of Wanaka, but not too far, approx 5km, less than \$10/night pp with toilets, recycling and pay showers. Golden Bay needs a venue like this- maybe buying a block farm in Kotinga.
250 33	William Darling	Existing 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Change 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Remarks: Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area.	No -	<p>N/A - 1) Does the TDC have the Community's mandate to promulgate 'Freedom Camping' when local comment suggests that it is more trouble than it is worth !? Users/abusers should not be given such option.</p> <p>2) International Signage should be implemented where required, directing Campers to closest Registered Campgrounds.</p> <p>3) Local Community Wardens should be appointed/authorised to 'police' offenders.</p> <p>4) Local 'public' areas and their existing shared amenities should not be compromised by the additional demands placed upon them by 'Freedom Campers' !</p> <p>5) We must have a consistent plan to enhance the Quality of our environment, thereby making it an enjoyable experience for Community and visitors alike.!</p> <p>Thanks to implement the above considerations.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 34	Kim Odendaal	Existing 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Change 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Remarks: Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area.	No -	<p>N/A - The practice of freedom camping has been alive and well in New Zealand for many years, however we recognise that in recent years the proliferation of non-self-contained freedom campers parking in non-compliant spots around the country has increased to the detriment of local's perception of visitors, the environment and to other visitors using these facilities. The Nelson Branch of Hospitality New Zealand (HNZ) therefore welcomes the recommended changes increasing the number of prohibited areas, however we would like to see further clear signage educating campers around usable nights, and restricting the use of public facilities to be used only as they are intended, i.e showers must only be used for showering, as opposed to washing dishes.</p> <p>Responsible Freedom Camping affects the amenity of an area negatively through rubbish, waste and congestion in public areas and the flow on affect impacts our members. In 2016 there was a tipping point around freedom camping, with numerous local and international media articles highlighting problems around human waste, rubbish and significant damage to the environment.</p> <p>We understand that the Council believe these incidents to be 'perceived' rather than 'tangible', although there are numerous examples cited in the media, and needs to be taken into consideration.</p> <p>HNZ members such as holiday parks, are predominately impacted by freedom camping, as this is where most freedom campers and travellers would have traditionally stayed, yet now find themselves out of pocket with additional issues arising such as: freedom campers stealing showers; dumping rubbish, and using their facilities without paying.</p> <p>Even with bylaws, there is still ongoing frustration that Councils are not actively enforcing them, and that additional facilities and infrastructure are needed which is ultimately paid for by either local ratepayers, or national tax payers – which our members make up a portion of, to cover an activity that actively takes business away from commercial accommodation providers.</p> <p>Freedom camping should not be banned; however, improvements need to be made to the way it is managed, monitored, and how bylaws are enforced.</p> <p>Greater restrictions on where responsible freedom campers can locate themselves are recommended, along with reduced allowable nights in a self-contained vehicle only, appropriate facilities used in the appropriate manner, as well as respect for the local environment and other visitors.</p> <p>Hospitality NZ thanks the</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 35	Jack Currie	Existing 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Change 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Remarks: Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area.	No -	N/A - In regards to the alterations proposed for Freedom Camping I am concerned about where we can stay for a couple of nights while white baiting on the Takaka River. Also unless the rules governing the standard of a self contained vehicle are going to be tightened up, which includes loosing the blue sticker that is not working, any proposed sites will need to have toilets and rubbish bins. It is unfair to expect the ordinary rate payers to cover these costs so a small daily charge should be included.
250 36	Robert Roborgh	Existing 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Change 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Remarks: Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area.	No -	N/A - Motorhomes friendly town status. It's time we had some nice places to put these people. To lump them all in together with Wicked, Juicy, and private small cars and Van's that are so called "self contained " is not the way to go. In car parks, under bridges?.....no, separate them out from the more casual campers.
250 36	Robert Roborgh	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Alexander Bluff freedom camping area. This is the ideal spot for more casual campers in smaller Van's and cars. A perfect place for it? Dont change anything.(maybe a bit more supervision, especially as regards rubbish!) Locals have rarely used it in the past anyway. We need more places like this that are attractive and pleasant to visit, not gravel pits rubbish dumps, bridges etc. I'd be fine to clarify some points if required. Thanks for all the work you do to make our places safe and nice to visit.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 36	Robert Roborgh	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Taupata point. A very unpleasant place to visit for camping. I'm happy its closing for a default type reason. However I would like to see some nice places open for controlled camping. Such as Tomatea point. This has a toilet and a very pleasant feel about it. I know it has had problems in the past but that has been dealt with (by the courts). Those days are long gone.
250 37	Esther Rings	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I strongly oppose the proposed new freedom camping site at Rototai. In fact I do oppose any freedom camping in Golden Bay. Freedom camping used to be a welcome opportunity and experience for New Zealanders and overseas tourists for a long time - it created hardly any problems. It is the sheer number of freedom campers that has created a whole range of problems over the last years: unsocial behaviour/vandalism (showers/toilets in Takaka), soiling of freedom camping sites, impact on sensitive environments (right beside Takaka River, on the beach (Taupata Gravel Reserve)). I don't think it is fair on Golden bay locals and Tasman District ratepayers to have to deal with the financial, social and environmental consequences of freedom camping. We have attractive and well functioning campgrounds in Golden Bay and it is not asked too much of visitors to pay for their stay.
250 40	Neil Murray	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Generally I support the provision of some freedom camping facilities in our region – both locally and more widely. Much of the discussion seems to be around travellers or even foreigners with plenty of xenophobic undertones. But I note that now our countries borders are closed there's still plenty of demand for a space to stay. Its not only about other people its about us as well and theres plenty of kiwis out on the road who appreciate and utilise the freedom camping opportunity. Funnily enough its not them that get bagged by the naysayers even when they are usually no better and sometimes worse than those young internationals that used to get criticized. I agree that the Taupata point area should be removed as a freedom camping site. Essentially it is too small, there are no existing facilities and no way of controlling numbers which all lead to the obvious downsides to the camping activity – crowding and rubbish – although I believe that the longer term disfiguration of the site was caused by a local group with all their petty signs. However their point about natural values being incompatible with all the campers is valid at that small site so I do believe it should be closed.
250 40	Neil Murray	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - While I see the Waitapu bridge site isn't included in this brief due to a cunning pass to NZTA I'm still going to comment. If people writing to the newspaper with their views were anything to go about the site would be a rubbish heap surrounded by human waste. Well I ran through there a number of times during lockdown and there was almost no sign that just days previous there had been literally hundreds of people staying there every night. A lot of effort must have gone in to find despicable photos of rubbish say with which to lambast those 'freedom campers'. What I do have an objection about is the rock walling cutting off part of the access, unless the bridge is about to fall (in which case I'd like to know in advance). That access is where i launched my boats and took the kids for a swim while parking my vehicle out of the way in the shade and I don't see why that was such a problem. It'd be great to see those rock walls removed thanks which were done on your watch I believe?

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 43	Mr Brent Maru	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	No - Decks Reserve has limited facilities and no sink and wash-up facilities onsite and as such the Board questions Non self-contained vehicles within this site.
250 43	Mr Brent Maru	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	Yes - Yes but the Board questions the ongoing suitability of this location, (depending on the maximum numbers proposed). How will the proposed restrictions be enforced and how does Council propose to have better control over the likes of open fires being lit within this area.
250 44	Ms Astrid Gluth	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I strongly oppose freedom camping as this is not a sustainable practise anymore since the volume of FC has increased so dramatically. A far better idea would be to create basic camp grounds, like on Kina peninsular in Tasman, where guests pay a small fee and get access to a controlled site and maintained toilets. We all know that the self contained sticker is only a sticker and nobody is using the toilets, they might have on board.
250 44	Ms Astrid Gluth	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - This is a former dump site and there are toxins in the ground that will affect healthy living. I also strongly oppose this idea as the wildlife needs to be protected and the residents living there did not move there having in mind that one day they will need to cope with a massive increase in traffic, movement and noise level.
250 44	Ms Astrid Gluth	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 44	Ms Astrid Gluth	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 45	Ms Elaine Robinson	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 45	Ms Elaine Robinson	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I strongly feel that the use of this area for 60 vehicles will result in the dramatic downgrading of an area of natural beauty . It is presently an area with access to a peaceful reserve and foreshore , which is also home to a wide range of nesting birds. The removal of the permitted area at Taupate Gravel reserve due to shorebird sensitive area seems bizarre when a similar situation is about to be repeated if this site goes ahead. Having 60 + vehicles using this area for recreation can only have a negative impact on the present natural environment . I also feel that it will become an "out of sight out of mind" freedom camping place, unlike the one under the bridge it will be seen less often by passing traffic therefore become a site which will less visual impact and become a possible eye sore only to those living near by or who wish to access the reserve/beach. As seen over the past few years, the impact of allowing large numbers of freedom campers in a small area is only ever negative, despite empty promises to keep it upgraded and "policed ", I can not see how this site will be any different. Who is going to ensure that only 60 sites are occupied and what /who will encourage campers to move on ? It is a disaster in the making. Please can you listen to the rate payers of this community and find a solution which will not have such negative impacts on local peoples lives and the natural spaces that they all also entitled to enjoy .
250 45	Ms Elaine Robinson	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 45	Ms Elaine Robinson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 46	Ms Eleanor Greenhough	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - There should be no freedom camping allowed in this area. We have a chance now to change NZ and our area for the better by banning all freedom camping. When boarders are open again we want NZ to be a high value place to visit not a place where people come for a cheap holiday and stay at the rate payers expence.
250 46	Ms Eleanor Greenhough	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - There should be no freedom camping at Decks reserve. Freedom campers made very free with the library facilities in the past as a cheap place to clean their teeth have a wash all at rate payers expence. They should be in a camping ground and paying like the rest of us have to.
250 46	Ms Eleanor Greenhough	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - No. There should be no freedom camping here. Are houses allowed to be built on a former dump so if its not good enough for that it is not good enough for people to camp on. They should be paying for the privelidge of camping
250 46	Ms Eleanor Greenhough	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - It has always looked grubby and unkept from the people camping here when I have gone past and would probably be better as a picnic area. Not sure how you would police it though
250 46	Ms Eleanor Greenhough	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 46	Ms Eleanor Greenhough	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - There should be no freedom camping at Waitapu Bridge campers pollute the river and then the local land owners get blamed. Put them in camping grounds
250 47	Dr Donald Mead	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Agree with this proposal as it will discourage campers taking water from the Springs and the polluted Fish Creek
250 47	Dr Donald Mead	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I think this is a suitable area
250 47	Dr Donald Mead	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Definitely the wrong place for freedom camping
250 47	Dr Donald Mead	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - I strongly support this decision. It could be developed as a picnic area but without camping. What is the status of the Lindsay Bridge site? Should it be designated as a freedom camping site? Or would it lead to pollution of the Takaka river?

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 48	Ms Mala Om	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I do not support the Rototai refuse Site Being used as a permitted area for freedom camping.</p> <p>I have been a nearby resident of the Rototai reserve for over 10 years.</p> <p>My many experiences of visiting the reserve have lead me to feel that the current usage by locals of the Rototai reserve already has a negative impact on the birds feeding along the Motupipi Estuary . I have witnessed too often people movements and activities regularly disturbing them.</p> <p>I believe that allowing camping at the adjacent old refuse site will increase the usage of the Rototai Reserve to the detriment of the birds natural habitat and feeding regimes.</p> <p>Also I want to acknowledge that attracting more people to Rototai Reserve area will have a negative impact on the quality of experience locals currently enjoy there.</p>
250 48	Ms Mala Om	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I wish to see the area return to an undisturbed site for protecting bird life
250 48	Ms Mala Om	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - I support this move Particularly to protect the water quality in the river.
250 50	Heather Wallace	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I do not support the use of the Rototai area, again this is important habitat vulnerable to interference. I would like to see private landowners allowing camping on their land for a cheap fee as long as water and toilets are available, and numbers strictly limited.
250 50	Heather Wallace	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>Yes - I wish to submit on this proposal. I support the removal of Taupata Pt freedom camping site, this is an important wader bird resting site and must be protected. There will be residual camping still happening unless the access is blocked to vehicles.</p> <p>I would accept camping in Takaka in the car parks as long as the campers are there after 6pm and gone by 8am. This would give restaurants and other businesses business, and keep campers away from sensitive areas.</p> <p>It would also allow policing of campers.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 51	Tania Belworthy	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - NO to freedom camping in Golden Bay NO to Rototai freedom camping especially! As a rate payer living in Pohara I strongly oppose any freedom camping in the total area of Golden Bay.</p> <p>Before freedom camping was allocated people had to have organised camping booked into camping grounds such as Top10 Holiday Park etc.</p> <p>These sorts of places have regular cleaning and rubbish removal which is a better option for our environment.</p> <p>Sadly as many have witnessed, freedom campers urinating into our waterways/rivers/lakes. Also excess amounts of rubbish being disposed of at council rubbish bins overflowing and eventually blowing into the sea/waterways or surrounding land area.</p> <p>I have witnessed faeces and toilet paper at any council rest areas with or without toilets.</p> <p>I definitely oppose Rototai being a designated area for camp ing, as this area is beginning to have amazing seabird exposure and enhancement because the area is unique, quiet and suitable for nesting.</p> <p>Rototai is close to the sea and as we have seen rising high tides devastate our shorelines it would be unwise to designate camping beside the sea, anywhere around NZ.</p> <p>Our Takaka township area should be treated with respect and only allow totally self-contained vehicles in the library carpark continuing the restricted hours of parking allocated.</p> <p>Whether we have freedom camping ambassadors or not people will still feel they have a right to park overnight and will do so after hours.</p> <p>Being the best in the world confining a life threatening pandemic, Covid 19, shouldn't we be even more cautious with allowing people into our area and not promote "freedom camping"?</p> <p>Also I encourage a special road toll to users embarking onto our roads.</p> <p>Delighted with the removal of past freedom camping areas.</p> <p>I could go on but out of respect to your time, I'm sure it will be conveyed elsewhere in submissions.</p>
250 51	Tania Belworthy	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 52	Ms Robyn Fullerton	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Camping should not be permissible on the Waikoropupu Springs road. Campers should use campgrounds.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 52	Ms Robyn Fullerton	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - The former Rototai Refuse site should not be designated as a freedom camping area. This site Borders the Motupipi Estuary, which is a very important feeding ground for many birds. The intrusion of perhaps 60 campervan's and their occupants camping in this sensitive area is just moving the associated problems with freedom campers from one side of the Bay to the other. I strongly believe that campers should support the local "built for purpose" camp grounds and keep away from sensitive ecological areas. The access to the Rototai Refuse site is on part of the generally quiet road often used by cyclists, the increase in vehicles on this narrow road will create problems for all road users. Rototai reserve, a popular picnic spot which locals enjoy for the tranquility would undoubtedly be very different experience with the increase of human activity in the area
250 52	Ms Robyn Fullerton	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Campers should use Golden Bays many built for purpose campgrounds and not be permitted to camp elsewhere.
250 52	Ms Robyn Fullerton	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - I agree with removing Waitapu Bridge as a permitted camping area. Again campers should use campgrounds.
250 54	Brian Jones	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	No - Toxic Site, negative effect on residents, birdlife, safety, enforcement. Outlaw all freedom camping apart from self contained vehicles in carparks. provide toilets' and showers

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 55	Patricia Jones	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	<p>Yes - Following submissions/hearings and much work by the community in Golden Bay; your resolution from Full Council was that "Rototai Dump/Tip site was – NOT AGREED TO..</p> <p>My Question is – WHY WOULD YOU? HOW COULD YOU? Reverse this decision without further discussion and consideration of GB Residents and Ratepayers? Urewhenua; Takaka River; Waitapu Bridge Reserve; Waikorupupu Road Reserve; Taupata Gravel Reserve; Have all resulted in abysmal custodianship so why not ADD the old Landfill/tip site. Cynical maybe, but we have all had enough! There is nowhere in the Act that states we must take the numbers you have condoned as OK for Golden Bay – subsidising ONE campers holiday for every 50 Residents??? Local discussion via the online Community Noticeboard was a compelling 90% of opposition.</p> <p>Research has shown how other councils have moved forward with the FREE camping issue. FREEDOM is a misnomer – all camping has a cost to someone! From the Responsible Camping Fund of \$500k to Nelson/Tasman the following strategies have been acted on...Nelson has provided the HUB – WC / Shower/device topups along with advisory signage and services advising what WAS and WAS NOT available.</p> <p>TDC states they will provide more temporary WC's, Rubbish collection, signage and monitoring – all a questionable use of this fund longterm. Blenheim, Wellington, Kaikoura, Manapouri//TeAnau, Queenstown, West Coast & Christchurch who has just issued a TOTAL BAN on all non conforming camping outside of a Camping ground, with an enforcement of \$200 instant fine. Campermate Apps are accessible to TDC in which advisory about what is available in the Tasman District Can readily be uploaded. Unfortunately many of you do not live in this special place – you do not "see" what is done to protect and enhance our fragile Ecology, Bird life et al by locals who value what makes it special... You have in the past listened to concerns of residents in Ligar Bay; Tata Beach, Pohara; Abel Tasman Memorial Reserve; Wainui Bay road reserve; We are asking for the same consideration to be given to Motupipi Estuary, Nees reserve and Rototai Beach along with the Takaka townshio and it's environ. We commend your recommendation to make Motupipi Carpark available for fully S/C campers for overnight parking and suggest that you add the Commercial Street end of the Library Carpark (excluding Friday nights – pre market) as the numbers will exceed what you have provided in your amendment. Under Level 1 Co</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 56	Cynthia McConville	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	<p>No - Forest and Bird oppose the addition of the former Rototai Tip Site adjacent to the Motupipi estuary to the freedom camping bylaw. This site is a short walk from Rototai beach. Rototai is also an OSNZ wader survey site. Next to Farewell Spit it is the most important area for shorebirds in Golden Bay. It is home to ground nesting shorebirds, foraging shorebirds and roosting shorebirds that need protection from human disturbance. A deliberate increase of 120 plus visitors to the beach at a time when ground nesting shorebirds are raising their young and foraging for them runs counter to Council's role to protect our biodiversity.</p> <p>Forest and Bird has worked with both the Department of Conservation and Tasman District Council at Rototai to achieve better protection for shorebirds including meeting the cost of educational signage there. We have a commitment to ensuring places are set aside for shorebirds on our coastline to rear their young, to rest and to forage undisturbed.</p> <p>Previous freedom camping sites adjacent to the coast or river have been the subject of littering with rubbish either washed down into the coastal environment by heavy rain and plastics blown into the sea in windy weather. Inadequate toilet facilities have contributed to further pollution problems. Disturbance to shorebirds has seen sensitive species such as our migratory godwits abandon their roosting site.</p> <p>Forest and Bird oppose the Rototai site for freedom camping on the grounds that freedom campers should not be placed in such an ecologically sensitive area. In this instance protection of our biodiversity must come first.</p> <p>Freedom camping is no longer suitable due to the lack of appropriate areas that do not effect wildlife or natural values.</p>
250 56	Cynthia McConville	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	<p>Yes - The Golden Bay branch of Forest and Bird supports the removal of both the Taupata Gravel Reserve and the Waitapu bridge sites from Tasman District Council's freedom camping bylaw.</p> <p>We would like to comment on the document put out for submission and its reference to Taupata Gravel Reserve as "a continual issue for complaints from bird watchers." This statement minimizes what is an important scientific site, an OSNZ wader survey site from which regional, national and international scientific data on shorebirds is collected. It is one of several around the Bay and they deserve to be recognized by Tasman District Council for their scientific value.</p> <p>Forest and Bird oppose the addition of the former Rototai Tip</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 57	Karen Brookes	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>Yes - These are my concerns about the proposed site at Rototai..</p> <p>This was an old tip site. The Council has warned the public that the water just by the site (an inlet from the sea) is dangerous for swimming because of tip leaching. This is long term. Also last year a local farmer with land across the road was illegally using the paddock for overstocked grazing. It was trodden into a soggy morass and the resulting shit and urine polluted that same waterway as a result. FOGB took water samples which proved e coli pollution and forwarded them to the TDC who wouldn't prosecute as they could have. It was a situation where the cows were left with no clean grass to rest upon. I feel the council should be reminded of all this pollution that campers will obviously leap into for swimming purposes. I believe that the leaching will continue for many years yet from under the surface and into the sea and can't be safely contained.</p> <p>Yes I do believe that another 60 sites for freedom camping are needed in the Bay but it's both dangerous and insulting to offer our visitors here such a site as the proposed one which could result in ongoing health problems from toxicity.</p> <p>I believe the NZ camping laws should be relaxed so that land owners can offer cheap tent sites on their land as long as they provide a movable toilet and a tap outlet with potable water. That would be more primitive but far healthier than the proposed site flossied up.</p> <p>The photo attached shows the dirty state of a large field and the feed remaining which was fenced off by an electric wire which the cows had to stretch their necks across to eat. It is opposite the proposed site and the turnoff to Nees Road and Rototai Beach.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 58	Helen Campbell	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Richmond	<p>Yes - The Friends of Nelson Haven & Tasman Bay support the intention of the Tasman District Council to remove the existing "freedom camping site" designation on the Taupata Gravel Reserve/road reserve.</p> <p>We have read and fully support Nelson/Golden bay branch of the Ornithological Society of New Zealand/Birds New Zealand submission.</p> <p>Our reasons are:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <input type="checkbox"/> BirdsNZ undertake regular bird counts here. Taupata is a high tide roosting and/or nesting site for 13 "threatened" or "at risk" coastal and shorebirds: including Pied Oystercatcher, Variable Oystercatcher, Banded Dotterel, Bar-tailed Godwit, Black-billed Gulls, Caspian Tern, White-fronted Tern, Red-billed Gull, White Heron, Royal Spoonbill, Red Knot, Pied Shag, Black Shag; <input type="checkbox"/> policing of the site to ensure the limits currently in place is not possible. The signs are frequently ignored, with campers/day visitors as well as their dogs, encroaching on fragile areas; vehicle activities exacerbate disturbance; <input type="checkbox"/> disturbance results in increased energy expenditure as birds are forced to fly, reduced rates of pre-migratory fattening and reduced survival; <input type="checkbox"/> the presence of "campers" encourages the increase in predators such as rats and mice (and consequently mustelids), as well as feral (or wandering domestic) cats scavenging rubbish <input type="checkbox"/> natural/environmental pressures on the existing site will be increased in the future due to sea level rise, "coastal squeeze" and increasing storm and flood events as well as sedimentation – the addition of human activities can be controlled: localised natural changes, in general, cannot; <input type="checkbox"/> The 2012 WRIGGLE Coastal Risks report ranked the following values as "high" in Taupata Estuary (0.4ha): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> ◦ habitat sensitivity ◦ vulnerability of the terrestrial margin <input type="checkbox"/> the NZ Coastal National Policy Statement Policy 11 (a) (i) requires Council to avoid adverse effects of activities on "indigenous taxa that are listed in the New Zealand Threat Classification System lists". The species that roost and breed here are listed. <p>Council must also ensure that:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. compliance occurs and that camping is not, under any circumstances, tolerated. Is it possible to enable local enthusiasts to hold the necessary authority to enforce compliance ? b. signs must be appropriate and state clearly that camping is prohibited at all times due to the biodiversity/ecological values of the wider area. <p>Council is currently addressing community concerns in preparing a high-level "aspirational" BioStr</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 60	Ms Fiona James	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 60	Ms Fiona James	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I don't support the use of rate payers money being spent on freedom camping. There should be a charge to campers to cover the costs associated with camping. i.e. Toilets construction, cleaning, rubbish collection, site maintenance, management of camping areas.
250 60	Ms Fiona James	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 60	Ms Fiona James	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 61	Sebastien Den Doncker	Existing 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Change 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Remarks: Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area.	No -	<p>N/A - I would like to share observations and ideas about freedom camping in the area of Alexander Bluff bridge.</p> <p>First, I must say that I'm really keen on allowing freedom camping in nice areas, along a beautiful river and with lovely scenery, for everyone. It also provides a good access to the river for swimming or fishing, or just to observe nature.</p> <p>However, the choice of these places must be done with care, as it can lead to some nuisances for the neighborhood when people don't respect the rules.</p> <p>That's also why I take the opportunity of this public consultation about freedom campground to share some points about another public, situated at the confluence between Rocky River and the Motueka River, and called "Annie's Park"</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - This place is considered as a car park/day access to the river, but we could observe, as close neighbors, that: People are camping there overnight, usually in their cars (as I am writing this, a man is using the car park as a campground for more than two weeks, using "natural" toilet where he can!); - Rubbish are found all around: as neighbors, we often pick some of them up, but we also found broken glass, or rubbish directly thrown away in the river. - Sometimes it's noisy place after decent hours, like in the middle of the night and for some weird reason - the police should be informed; - Recently, some users even thought it was a motocross field, and destroyed the ground and the tranquility of the place. <p>To anticipate and avoid escalating problems, it could be a nice idea to integrate some actions related directly to that lovely place "Annie's Park – please find here after some suggestions:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Put clear signs from the Council (at each entrance) explaining the rules to anybody (camping not allowed, take your rubbish with you, no noise between 8pm (?) and 6 am (?), respect the place, people and nature- thank you !). It could also explain that a campground does exist upstream Alexander Bridge, a few hundred meters away, with all the necessary commodities...Annie's Park should for us stay a day access car park otherwise we fear for more nuisances (especially noise), as very close neighbors and can hear everything from that carpark, if it becomes a campground; - A discrete and integrated wood fence could show the limits of the car park and gates could be installed later or even closed at night (maybe only if necessary, or sometimes to avoid too much nuisance, especially in summ
250 62	Mrs Joanna Piekarski	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - As a former long-term resident of the Bay, I know these issues well.</p> <p>I am concerned about</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> * the ecology and fragility of the adjoining area, including bird life * the effects of noise nuisance on nearby residents who just want to live their lives in peace * the potential for spreading COVID in the Bay * bringing out the latent toxicity of the site
250 62	Mrs Joanna Piekarski	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 64	Chris & Judy Ray	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 64	Chris & Judy Ray	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - You have closed other Freedom Camping sights where the bird and wildlife are in danger and now you wish to move to a place which has in the last years been designated to bird life sanctuary along the Rototai Beach, so why would you now want to put freedom campers here after closing other places for the same reason Nesting birds will be scared off.</p> <p>Also as I have lived here all my life I know what went into the old tip site, so I do not think this s a healthy option.</p> <p>As a close resident Im also not sure I would feel safe with some of the campers, especially with the thought of them wandering around the aestery and possibly walking onto our property . We ourselves have a bus and we PAY to stay in places so why should everyone else not pay, and help our community and businesses flourish. We have pulled into a few freedom camping places and a place that allowed 30 spaces ended up with 100 + squashed in , and we could not get out this was a dangerous situation to be in, there were gas cookers and fires everywhere, plus people were using the bushes to toilet some not even worrying about using a bush just going straight on the beach that is why we now go to our WINGS sites or camp grounds. Also the rubbish is a big concern, along with the noise we have enough noise coming from the beach when the full moon drumming is on.</p> <p>Please consider the surrounding residents, would you like them in your back yard ?</p> <p>People should pay just like everyone else, start up a new camping ground but please do not put freedom campers at Rototai if you have to find somewhere where there is no resident and no harm to wildlife</p>
250 64	Chris & Judy Ray	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 64	Chris & Judy Ray	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	N/A - So is Rototai
250 65	Abbie Langford	Existing 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Change 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Remarks: Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area.	No -	N/A - The Golden Bay Community Board support the proposed changes to the Freedom Camping Bylaw 2017. We recommend to the Regulatory Committee: • That limits be placed on the number of sites available due to the risks posed by large groups in close proximity to one another. • That the proposed Rototai site be fully fenced to limit access to the adjoining estuary • Ensure adequate compliance monitoring of freedom campers at the site The Board also requests increased compliance and monitoring at the designated Self Contained only Motupipi Carpark camping area.
250 65	Abbie Langford	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 65	Abbie Langford	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - • That limits be placed on the number of sites available due to the risks posed by large groups in close proximity to one another. • That the proposed Rototai site be fully fenced to limit access to the adjoining estuary • Ensure adequate compliance monitoring of freedom campers at the site

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 65	Abbie Langford	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 65	Abbie Langford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 65	Abbie Langford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A -
250 66	Anne & Des Gentleman	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>Yes - Approve of removal of Waitapu Bridge and Taupata Gravel reserve as FC sites</p> <p>It appears that from the site allocation that Golden Bay is to have to accept more freedom Campers than other areas of Tasman district. My main concern is how much effective ie regular and consistent policing will actually happen at the Rototai site. This a crucial consideration for any site chose for FC. How much confidence can we have that this policing will actually be available.</p> <p>It also appears to have become a compulsory requirement that our councils / the ratepayers, provide facilities for Freedom Camping, who says so?</p>
250 66	Anne & Des Gentleman	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 67	Hamish Neill	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I wish to express my concerns and opposition to the proposed Amendment relating to the authorisation of the Motupipi dump as a freedom camping area.</p> <p>It is clear that the TDC proposes to ignore the recommendations and conclusions reached in 2017 regarding the use of this site. Unsurprisingly the reasons for the initial rejection have not changed.</p> <p>In my view these relate primarily to the safety of this site. The TDC is fully aware of its toxic nature. We know this was the site of agrichemical dumping, of dead livestock, batteries, fridges, all forms of metals and industrial and household waste. The locals have been aware of the toxicity of this site for many years, and some indeed have been involved in the dumping of such waste.</p> <p>You suggest site surveys have been carried out "to ensure the safety of the sealing cap". I assume they have, but where are the results of these, and if they have been released to the public, I would be pleased to be advised how to obtain them? And if not, why not, and how can you expect the public to accept your decision without being party to this information?</p> <p>Nowhere are dump sites suitable for human habitation. As we submitted in 2017, we know that even after extensive remediation, the dump site in Nelson which is nowPark, for decades produced a great number of infected superficial wounds in sports people due to its bacterial toxicity. (as a GP in the local area I can attest to that). I suspect the chemical toxicity at the Motupipi site surpasses even the bacterial risk.</p> <p>It is a concern that the TDC knowingly plans to expose campers to Health and Safety risks. I quote part of the proposed Amendments —</p> <p>5.2.2 The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area.</p> <p>I cannot envisage how this aligns with the proposed dump usage, and speculate that TDC would be liable for any resulting negative health outcomes.</p> <p>A further concern is that since 2017 we have had a very significant flooding event, as Council staff are well aware (incidentally flooding the toilet on the Motupipi reserve). Flooding, heavy rain and inundation will increase the toxicity of the site and add further to the already very degraded state of the Motupipi river.</p> <p>And a few unexplained practicalities — the TDC plans to supply "temporary toilets". Does this imply there will not be toilets in the future, or be replaced with "long term toilets"? —one of the consultation purpose was to set up "effective regulatio</p>
250 72	John Hall	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - This area is a site sacred to Maori and should be respected as such & properly cared for.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 72	John Hall	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Carparks are not suitable for overnight parking & should be used for original use as a carpark, easily cleaned for OSH standards.
250 72	John Hall	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Should have barrier arm installed & be user pays small fee to stay and pay for internet & shower. This should be the only site in Golden Bay or use a motor camp Ratepayers should not pay for .Can't stay for free overseas,
250 72	John Hall	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This area has been desecrated by rubbish & human waste, it is an important breeding site for birds.
250 72	John Hall	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support: this area has become a rubbish dump & human waste dump. Not safe for health or safe as a picnic/river reserve.
250 78	Mrs Silvia Selder	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I heard your proposal for making a freedom camping site at Rototai Road. I love to go on vacation over there but this i think is a very bad idea. First of all the fact that the area is placed on a land-fill site and never has been investigated on gasses although the locals are certain there is coming methaangaz. It seem to be very dangerous for the children en other people. Also the area is a beautiful place for specific birds. So many people will disturb them and the ecosystem will disturbed too. There is no fresh water for hygiene (COVID-19) There could be high risk from flooding, this happened several times I hope you will consider this, i am only a tourist but i love your beautiful country and I hope it will be beautiful for everyone especially for the locals and the very special nature. Don't disturb this please there are so little places in the world so special. And don't risk lives investigate the environment properly.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 78	Mrs Silvia Selder	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 78	Mrs Silvia Selder	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 85	Mrs Martine Jimenez	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Such a toxic site to put people on,
250 86	Diane & Rodney Connolly	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - This type of freedom camping is not suitable so close to the Rototai Reserve. Toxic material may leach, no drinking water, estuary is polluted. Traffic concerns. Overflow to Rototai Reserve. Area subject to flooding. Threat to shore birds
250 87	Mr Robert Goundrill	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 88	Mr Bruce Burgess	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - I absolutely do not support using the former Rototai refuse site, adjacent to the Rototai Reserve for any type of freedom camping whatsoever. In the past, freedom camping was possible in NZ before the advent of cheap air travel. What is currently called 'freedom camping' has become an expectation for foreign tourists to arrive in NZ, purchase a cheap van or station wagon, and then to travel about one or both islands looking to spend little or nothing on lodging wherever they go, avoiding holiday parks and DOC sites where a fee is charged for an overnight stay. New Zealand citizens are paying the fees to camp overnight in holiday parks and DOC sites. Why are foreign tourists allowed to camp wherever they please? Why is this issue of freedom camping framed as if it is understood that freedom camping must be accommodated and catered for, rather than discouraged and fined as an activity? I am a citizen living in Takaka for the past 15 years. Every manifestation of 'freedom camping' that has appeared in, or near the township, in the past few years has proven a disaster. The scene along the road to the Takaka River grew to be over 100 vehicles a night with hundreds of freeloaders avoiding the holiday parks and campground the locals were paying to stay in. Rubbish, abandoned vehicles, noise, river bathing, public urination and defecation ran on unchecked for two years. There was plenty of money for alcohol but apparently none for campground fees. Pass a council bylaw that forbids 'freedom camping' anywhere in the entirety of the Tasman District. Hire Camping Rangers to canvass the popular areas to park up and issue infringement notices to pay for the salaries of the rangers. Have the local constabulary make a pass through the old sites each evening to move on or arrest those who refuse to change. Let it be known that there is no more 'freedom camping' in Tasman. No more taxpayer money wasted on 'free showers' and 'public toilets'. Neither of those amenities are "free". Both cost the ratepayer, as does the rubbish collection and removal. The freeloaders were so happy with the former river road site that crude shelters were built and maintained along the river until the ratepayers had to pay to have it all scraped away. No freedom camping at Rototai, Waitapu bridge, Taupata Point, or anywhere else in the Tasman District. We are tired of paying to support freeloaders and squatters. Change your mind and stop enabling these cheapskates.
250 88	Mr Bruce Burgess	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes -
250 88	Mr Bruce Burgess	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - See above

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 89	Ms Marianne Hermsen- van Wanrooy	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
250 89	Ms Marianne Hermsen- van Wanrooy	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - No NoNo to Rototai freedom campers.</p> <p>To whom it may concern,</p> <p>I wish to express my deep concern about the proposal of a the use of the old Rototai tip as a terrain for freedom campers.</p> <p>In this Covid era, no it's not over yet, we need to do everything possible to support our local economy. Who in their right mind would think it necessary to allow people to camp for free, at the expense of the locals for the infrastructure, toilets, roads etc.</p> <p>What benefit do these people bring to our local economy. None whatsoever!!!</p> <p>What happens to commercial and well developed camping grounds in the area where these people could have the most fantastic facilities at a very reasonable price. Do we have no respect for the owners of these places who try to make a living for their families and contribute to the community?</p> <p>The mind boggles and from me it's a big NO!!!</p>
250 89	Ms Marianne Hermsen- van Wanrooy	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 89	Ms Marianne Hermsen- van Wanrooy	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 90	Ms Pascale Hanegraaf	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - its on an old Land-fill site, with really bad unidentified contaminants, no safety measures nor soil testing Compromises the dynamics of the river/estuary Its a well-used local amenity of value such used by the locals and tourists for swimming, beach and dog-walking and picnicking, to make it inaccessible to them. The risks are high from flooding and tidal inundation and where well documented episodes occurred in the past. A narrow, flood-prone, poorly maintained road, where emergency services would find difficulty manoeuvring Danger of wrecking the adjacent bird-life ,fish and shell-fish gathering and lwi interests</p> <p>I am a concerned tourist visiting New Zealand every year, that free camping damages the image of New Zealand as a land for nature loving tourist. Promoting free camping is a bad idea anywhere. Support local hotels, bed and breakfasts etc. Don't undermine them by offering free camping.</p> <p>Thank you I hope this supports a wise decision.</p>
250 91	Mr Derek van der Wilt	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - As a tourist visiting the area every year since 2010, I've seen the impact growing of the increasing tourism and freedom camping in particular. Three years ago the same proposal was also going on and a lot of people where against it via submissions, so it was cancelled. What happen't that the sam proposal found it's way through again? Rototai Reserve it is called and the old tip is positioned in this area. So the visiting people will make use and miss use of the reserve what will have a damaging impact on the eco system of the whole area. What can I say more..?! For me it is a big NO. Thank you</p>
250 92	phillip thomas	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 92	phillip thomas	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Yes, with landscaping to improve amenity and an abutions block.A onsite manager along the lines, during peak season of what D.o.c does at some of their grounds would deter shenanagins.
250 92	phillip thomas	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 93	Natalie Claire Birch	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Great news
250 93	Natalie Claire Birch	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Again, this site, near the river/estuary, reserve and their ecosystems i.e. all the wildlife, is not suitable at all.
250 93	Natalie Claire Birch	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This pristine area should never ever have been a freedom camping site.
250 93	Natalie Claire Birch	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Great outcome. The disrespectfulness shown by many campers here was appalling.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 94	Mrs Robyn Hogg	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Self contained responsible covers shod be able to camp in discreet spots, with no impact. Cats should be on a lead at all times
250 94	Mrs Robyn Hogg	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 94	Mrs Robyn Hogg	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 94	Mrs Robyn Hogg	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 95	Mr Barney Thomas	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Support the removal Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve from Bylaw
250 95	Mr Barney Thomas	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - Oppose the addition of the former Rototai refuse site due to the immense cultural significance of the area.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 95	Mr Barney Thomas	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - Support the removal of Taupata Reserve from the Bylaw
250 95	Mr Barney Thomas	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Support the removal of Waitapu Bridge from the Bylaw.
250 96	Mrs Regan Williams	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - I agree
250 96	Mrs Regan Williams	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - There should be no freedom camping in Golden Bay. People should be paying use to the campgrounds.
250 96	Mrs Regan Williams	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
250 96	Mrs Regan Williams	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - I agree

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 97	Ms Anna Reynolds	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - See uploaded file for feedback - overall oppose due to increased traffic on Rototai Road and disturbance to wildlife at Rototai Beach.
250 97	Ms Anna Reynolds	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I am very pleased that TDC have prohibited freedom camping at Taupata Gravel Reserve.
250 97	Ms Anna Reynolds	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - I understand the ownership reasoning behind the removal of the Waitapu Bridge Freedom camping area. However, I felt this was a reasonable spot for freedom camping as it was away from residential housing, situated along the main highway and had good amenity values for campers. If properly managed and overcrowding was prevented I think a lot less people would have been against it as a site.
250 98	Mrs Toni Power	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
250 98	Mrs Toni Power	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I am a Golden Bay resident who strongly opposes the location of up to 60 Freedom Camping spots on the old Tip site at Rototai. This could mean up to 120 or even more 180 to 240 people freedom camping in one area that has had toxicity problems in the past. This along with the flooding issue would pose health & safety problems. Having this many people in one area would have a significant impact on the physical ecological environment and bird life and not to mention the social impacts on having so many people freedom camping on one site, the other sites had far less, why so many? Is TDC going to monitor this and take responsibility for social issues that could arise and the effects on the environment that this amount of freedom campers will have?</p> <p>Have Doc, Forest and Birds and Iwi been consulted on this decision? Is there a COVID-19 plan with this amount of uncontrolled people in one area that could put the whole region at risk? Were the residents and adjacent owners considered in this proposal to let so many freedom campers use this site? We are residents who pay to live in Golden Bay, why should freedom campers be able to live free?</p> <p>Who is going to regulate the term of the stay, what if people decide to stay for long periods of time?</p> <p>I would like a total ban on freedom campers, they are welcome to use our camping grounds and pay as others do and support local businesses</p>
250 99	Mr Todd Adlam	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Only to self contained campers.
250 99	Mr Todd Adlam	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I strongly disagree with the proposed old tip site at Rototai. 60 vehicles could allow 100-200 people on this site at any one time, who will regulate the stay time? Who will sort out issues eg Health & safety if covid arises again? Social issues eg violence, drugs, alcohol consumption can cause unnecessary disturbances to the surrounding residences.</p> <p>The effects on the environment and the immediate residence well being with such an influx of people will not benefit anybody.</p> <p>This is a huge jump in numbers that have been previously allowed in other parts of the region. There are other campgrounds around that they can stay in and pay their way like most other people have to do.</p> <p>I would like to see this proposal dropped as I think it is a knee jerk response to the freedom camping problem.</p> <p>There will be long term ongoing issues if this goes ahead.</p> <p>Is the TDC willing to sort these out when they arise?</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 00	Mr Tony Rusbatch	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Set this site up like a "kiwicamp". Entry through a toll arm like access to Tarakohe boat launch site. Pay as you use it ablution block in centre of site. Gravel tracks. Fence across river frontage.
251 00	Mr Tony Rusbatch	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
251 01	Ms Lisa Sheppard	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - No comment
251 01	Ms Lisa Sheppard	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - I do not support provision of free camping sites for non-self contained vehicles in the Tasman area
251 01	Ms Lisa Sheppard	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - See attached file
251 01	Ms Lisa Sheppard	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - I support restriction of the number of free camping sites and provision only for a small number (no more than 3) of self contained vehicles in a number of acceptable spots through the region

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 01	Ms Lisa Sheppard	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - I support any proposal to restrict free camping in the tasman area
251 01	Ms Lisa Sheppard	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - supported
251 02	Ms Hazel Molloy	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - No comment
251 02	Ms Hazel Molloy	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I do not support provision of free camping sites for non-self contained vehicles in the Tasman area
251 02	Ms Hazel Molloy	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Hi my name is Hazel i'm 14 years old I live in arapeta place near the rototai beach. If the freedom camping was allowed, I would feel threatend to visit the beach, me and my friends go there often for a walk and would feel unsafe if freedom campers were there. I would also be concerned about the damage of the beach and wouldn't want it to be trashed.
251 02	Ms Hazel Molloy	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I support restriction of the number of free camping sites and provision only for a small number (no more than 3) of self contained vehicles in a number of acceptable spots through the region

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 02	Ms Hazel Molloy	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I support any proposal to restrict free camping in the tasman area
251 02	Ms Hazel Molloy	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - supported
251 03	Ms Angela Stoodley	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I think the size and proximity of this proposed site has a huge impact on the residents and locals who live or use the Rorotai/Nees reserve. People make a calculated choice to live in Golden Bay for the specific reasons of its peace and tranquility. They have made a conscious decision not to live next to a high traffic area or in the proximity of crowds. This has its compromises but the benefits for them out way the inconveniences. The gravel beach access via Nees road already sees a number of users bringing their dogs to the beach some of which disturb the estuary birds. This would become the nearest beach to the freedom campsite increasing traffic/people/dog volume and wildlife disturbance.</p> <p>To put a face to someone affected by this. I own a small section on Nees road. I have been working 27 yrs in order to raise funds to eventually build and realise my dream of living in the tranquil paradise which is Rototai.</p> <p>Facilities such as these are only successful for a community if they do not have a severe impact on the residents who live and work in the area. Why would the local council accommodate people who are freeloading and passing through over the needs of the residents and rate payers who they serve. The people you are attempting to serve have no connection to the Bay and I doubt contribute in any way.</p> <p>If you do not want to ban freedom camping then this cannot be your solution. Maybe multiple smaller solutions would serve or leasing some land off a farmer or backing smaller enterprises that could offer cheaper safe camping. A creative solution needs to be found.</p> <p>I am working in Napier at present, they also have a large influx of freedom campers over the summer. The council have done an excellent job in locating a site, although unsightly and noisy it has minimal/nil effect on the locals, Therefore no complaints or safety issues. The same in Timaru although smaller due to less demand and excellent reasonable private camping facility. Please be very careful with your decision on this as a yes would have a serious effect on the wellbeing of the members of the Rototai community.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 03	Ms Angela Stoodley	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
251 03	Ms Angela Stoodley	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	N/A - I thought this was a reasonable site that did not effect locals.
251 04	Richard Molloy	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -
251 23	Mrs Elizabeth Pearson	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Yes so long as it doesn't mean that DOC allows camping there...
251 23	Mrs Elizabeth Pearson	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - The proposal to use existing spaces in the car park in Motupipi St should be the only available freeloading camping space in the Bay
251 23	Mrs Elizabeth Pearson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 23	Mrs Elizabeth Pearson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Great idea respect the wishes of the local IWI. Always thought it odd all the bluster about the Puppu Springs and a few Kns further down we have total disrespect for the river.
251 24	Ms Debs Martin	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Understand the reason for removal and support.
251 24	Ms Debs Martin	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	<p>No - These comments are in addition to those submitted by the Golden Bay Branch of Forest & Bird.</p> <p>The entire area around the site is subject to significant flooding, and is under ongoing and increasing threats from sea level rise/climate change, especially according to maps provided by Tasman District Council available on the website. The entire stretch of road providing an escape route would be submerged. Even during existing king tides, significant parts of the Rototai Road go under water. It was an area that was severely affected by Cyclone Fehi. Putting a freedom camping site here is foolish and reckless. Freedom campers are often not aware of the local risks, and will park there even if a storm is coming in the belief they will be safe. The current maps show that the area would become an island even under current sea level predictions. It is not appropriate management to be utilising this site.</p> <p>Forest & Bird suggests that a specific site that is removed from sensitive areas and is safe from flooding, e.g. a paddock or carpark with toilets, etc is provided for freedom campers in Golden Bay to stop this ongoing pressure in sensitive areas. It seems the current model is to move from one problematic area, and create a problem at yet another area. That type of management must stop.</p> <p>Making this area a freedom camping site will mean that the area will not be able to be restored and protected for the ongoing needs of shorebirds in the Rototai area (as outlined in the Golden Bay Branch submission), e.g. as a high tide roost site in storm events, etc.</p> <p>There are requirements also under the New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement for sites like these to be better protected.</p>
251 24	Ms Debs Martin	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 24	Ms Debs Martin	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - Forest & Bird strongly supports the removal of this area, as outlined in the Golden Bay Branch submission.
251 24	Ms Debs Martin	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Understand the reason for removal, and support.
251 25	Mrs Helen Beck	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - ok
251 25	Mrs Helen Beck	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Council approved freedom camping areas should be limited to self-contained only
251 25	Mrs Helen Beck	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Mainly concerns for personal safety when walking dog on beach and losing the feeling of solitude. Also concerned about "visual pollution" in the form of the extra signage that will be needed. At the moment the area feels very unspoilt and natural. Worried increased traffic on refuse site could disturb what is underfoot. Do you have guarantees that gases won't be released that could be a bad mix with camp fires? And what about the emergency call-outs for the times the campers decide to go for a swim in a very soft boggy muddy area and get stuck or worse? Please keep it as an area for local ratepayers to go in peace. To add this as a permitted area will be tantamount to gross neglect of solving an issue, instead you are proposing to just move the problem somewhere less accessible hoping that out of sight will be out of mind.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 25	Mrs Helen Beck	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - The local population are the ratepayers and so should have priority
251 25	Mrs Helen Beck	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
251 25	Mrs Helen Beck	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Good
251 27	Paul & Lenaire Crockford	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No -
251 27	Paul & Lenaire Crockford	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - Controlling it would be more than it's worth.
251 27	Paul & Lenaire Crockford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 27	Paul & Lenaire Crockford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Yes.
251 28	Mr Kevin Fourie	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Long Overdue . By redefining the area as valuable to 'all' users and apply National Covid legislation to the outcome
251 28	Mr Kevin Fourie	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - Decks reserve is an 'increasingly' important Asset to " Our Town Motueka" With the Library completion in late 2021 the Value is all the more important to the community . The Influx of the previously uncontrolled Freedom Campers in overusing the "Public Conveniences " has placed added strain on the community facilities in general. The New library is not an out of hours freedom camper wash facility . The limit of 15 is more than reasonable if controlled and is issued under Covid 19 Level rules .
251 28	Mr Kevin Fourie	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - Supported . By limiting the site to 'manageable' numbers all users benefit and the health outcomes are better controlled for all .Temporary toilets and Waste bins are commendable and well resourced use of Ratepayer monies .
251 28	Mr Kevin Fourie	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - Support but also add in the temporay TDC toilets that will reduce the Hygiene risk of irresponsible FC users in defaicting on public lands.
251 28	Mr Kevin Fourie	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - Our hapless Shorebirds are under ever increasing environmental threat to their very survival. By not protecting this natural habitat we would be breaching our duty of care to all users .

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 28	Mr Kevin Fourie	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Support . It has become a Squatter camp and massive environmental degradation in the area Long after the Freedom campers have vacated ; without recompense to the(hapless) often "overtaxed" Rate payer
251 29	Ms Patsy Garrett	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Initially I thought who cares if freedom campers go to Rototai. However I have changed my mind when I see the distress and fear this is causing the local people. Already living at the beach is a very public type place and these people are regularly asked for water (they are on tank supply)and see the impact of many people on a small area- especially when the tide is in. Then there is the massive impact people have on bird life. Rototai is becoming increasingly important as a roost and feeding ground for waders. TDC has no legal obligation to provide for freedom campers and why should TDC put freedom campers before their own people and rate payers. Yes it is a sort of nimbyism but how do those people living at Rototai voice their fear and concern any other way. Rototai is a great spot at low tide for local people and visitors to come but staying overnight will destroy it's uniqueness.
251 29	Ms Patsy Garrett	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Great ot have Taupata gravel area removed as a freedom camping area but I don't understand how Rototai would be any different. Sure the old dump is set back and fenced but people will spill into all the area and bird disturbance will be immense.
251 29	Ms Patsy Garrett	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - I feel that we don't need to encourage freedom camping anywhere but rather educate that in NZ those days are over and with the huge increase in tourists a new regime of camping has to begin.
251 30	Mr Philip Gaffney	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - No comment. I do not support freedom camping at Puppu Springs so this is now for DOC to manage
251 30	Mr Philip Gaffney	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - This site has already been rejected as inappropriate and there are potential health & safety issues.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 30	Mr Philip Gaffney	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - This area is strictly for the birds
251 30	Mr Philip Gaffney	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - The ridiculous number of people camping under the bridge in recent years have far exceeded the capacity of the very limited facilities provided. These people are not interested in camping in the outdoors. They just want to stay for free. Totally inappropriate.
251 35	Ms pamela nelson	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - this makes a lovely freedom camping site, why get rid of it?
251 35	Ms pamela nelson	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
251 35	Ms pamela nelson	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - its not looking very pretty down there right now, you have alot of work to do to make it nice, looks like a lot of gorse and weeds and of course being the old dump site, have any tests been done on the soil? is there going to be fresh running water, coin operated showers, rubbish bins, if your going to create a new freedom camp site, do it proper, have some one manning it, take 5 bucks per night, its just a cup of coffee, most campers will pay that if they hav a nice spot, if you stick them in a dump, their going to treat it like a dump. Freedom campers aren't going anywhere, please welcome them and make their stay a pleasant one, take examples of freedom camp sites in other towns, it works if you have a lovely well run organised spot.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 35	Ms pamela nelson	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - if theres space for plenty, let them camp there whether local or not
251 35	Ms pamela nelson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - we need as much freedom camping sites available as possible, i don't see any dead birds at this camp ground,
251 35	Ms pamela nelson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - once again why remove a perfectly good freedom camp site? how ever this one is an eye sore for public passers to complain about and it does flood and does not have enough facilities, surely you can find a nice peice of land thats tucked away with all the appropriate facilites. I for one am not an advocate to stay in a camp ground, theres nothing like camping in full nature, with birds and trees around you.
251 36	Aimee Brown	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - In regard to the proposed permitted camping sites, FENZ has concerns about both the new Rototai Site and existing Alexander Bluff Road Reserve, as the local brigade has been called in the past for fires in these areas. As such, FENZ requests that Tasman District Council puts up "No Fire" signs at both the Rototai Site and Alexander Bluff Road Reserve and monitors and enforces this. It is also recommended that grass be kept low to prevent fires in these areas.
251 36	Aimee Brown	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - In regard to the proposed permitted camping sites, FENZ has concerns about both the new Rototai Site and existing Alexander Bluff Road Reserve, as the local brigade has been called in the past for fires in these areas. As such, FENZ requests that Tasman District Council puts up "No Fire" signs at both the Rototai Site and Alexander Bluff Road Reserve and monitors and enforces this. It is also recommended that grass be kept low to prevent fires in these areas.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 37	Mrs Emma Allen	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 37	Mrs Emma Allen	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I do not support this by any means.</p> <p>As a seasoned international traveller I come back home to Golden Bay to enjoy the peace and tranquility the beaches and community has to offer.</p> <p>I don't think it is up to Golden Bay to provide "temporary homes" for holiday makers, who most often can afford to pay for accommodation and with all due respect, often leave litter behind with no care for the environment.</p> <p>We do not need to promote Golden Bay as a destination.</p> <p>Yes, freedom campers will come. This doesn't mean we should be accommodating a nice picturesque place for them to stay, especially at the detriment to our beaches.</p> <p>Rototai is such a special place. It is one of the few beaches left in Golden Bay that has not been gentrified by holiday makers.</p> <p>I personally think we should treasure and preserve our beaches rather than opening them up to be used as a camping site.</p> <p>The rubbish dump was closed down for a reason when it was located in this spot. Surely we should be learning from the mistake of putting a rubbish tip in place so carelessly? Why would we now be so stupid as to casually say come one and all, stay for free/leave your rubbish/be noisy/bring your friends/pollute our beaches/scare our native birds/spoil our serenity.</p> <p>I think as the TDC you have been given an honourable job to protect our nature / beaches and to govern it rightly for future generations. This is a responsibility! How will opening up one of our last clean beaches to be trampled all over by non-residents benefit our community?</p> <p>I would personally think a person willing to uphold and preserve our beaches is far more likely to be a hero in Golden Bay's rich history than one who is happy to destroy such regional areas.</p> <p>I don't think any argument to allow freedom campers into such a divine spot is strong enough for the detrimental affects it will have going forward.</p> <p>Thank you for your time and I wish you to make the right decision based on our future generations, not simply looking for a quick fix.</p>
251 39	Albie & Felicity Burgers	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - We strongly oppose the proposed addition of the former Rototai tip site due to possible contaminants, disturbance of birds in the estuary, pollution of the estuary by campers and increased numbers of users of the road and especially of our precious Rototai Beach.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 39	Albie & Felicity Burgers	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - We support the removal of the Waitapu Bridge site and the Taupata Gravel Reserve from the list of Freedom camping sites (Freedom Camping should never have been allowed in these places)
251 39	Albie & Felicity Burgers	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - We support the removal of the Waitapu Bridge site and the Taupata Gravel Reserve from the list of Freedom camping sites (Freedom Camping should never have been allowed in these places)

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 41	Mrs Annette Joan Le Cren	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - Freedom camping in NZ has been fraught with problems in many areas. Unfortunately the reputation of the so called freedom camping has been given a bad name by those people who have little or no respect for the environment. Rubbish, noise, over crowding, misuse of amenities are a huge concern. A TV documentary has shown that freedom campers offer very little economic value to the local community. If any!</p> <p>Sixty cars, 120+ people during summer in Rototai?</p> <p>1. Rototai's former rubbish site is totally unsuitable. It's a health hazard to people, their children and possible pets.</p> <p>2. It's an area with rare and endangered coastal birds. The sheer number of people (and pets?) allowed to stay there will offer a threat to these birds.</p> <p>3. Increased traffic volume, dust, noise, pollution will all pay havoc for the well being of the local residents.</p> <p>We were in Iceland two years ago. Iceland has an economy similar to ours with much tourism. Freedom camping around the island is no longer allowed as like here it was also fraught with problems. There is police and community control present and young folks and their cars and vans are escorted to a local campsite. Not expensive, but with good facilities, a communal room, tables etc. With rubbish disposal. The campsite was full of young people with their little tents, cars and vans paying their way.</p> <p>The solution will be for TDC to rent a paddock, put up some toilets with toilet paper and maybe washing facilities. A few taps will do. A site suitable for little tense etc, like the above in Iceland. The condition for people to stay is a payment like a DOC camping ground. Payment will allow the appointment of a warden, cleaning and waste disposal costs.</p> <p>The concept of any site that is FREE will invite abuse and disrespect.</p> <p>If people can't afford to stay and pay, they should spend some more saving some money.</p> <p>We stayed in Hawea. There WAS a freedom camping site, again fraught with problems. The neighbouring camping ground was nearly empty. At night some of the freedom camping folks snuck into the camping ground for a free shower.</p> <p>Common sense? I think not.</p> <p>So TDC, please rethink your proposal</p>
251 45	Elizabeth McCarthy	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Support
251 45	Elizabeth McCarthy	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Unless millions of dollars are spent to remediate a dumpsite it is not habitable. Fences do not prevent plastic and other rubbish entering the estuary whether from human neglect or just the wind. How about spending the freedom camping budget on vouchers to offset the campground fees. Obviously needs co-operation between TDC and Camp ground owners.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 45	Elizabeth McCarthy	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I support all those concerned for protection of this important bird breeding site.
251 45	Elizabeth McCarthy	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support Hopefully NZTA will heed the Iwi concerns and calls for local access.
251 45	Elizabeth McCarthy	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support
251 46	Jill Margaret Pearson	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Agree.
251 46	Jill Margaret Pearson	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - I really think there should be no non-self-contained freedom camping at all. The Freedom Camping Act permits this.
251 46	Jill Margaret Pearson	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - Vitally important that any freedom camping is not near any body of water. The health and safety of campers is at risk as campers will use the water to bathe, prepare food, wash dishes etc. They will be introducing untreated grey and black water to Motupipi estuary, and therefore their drinking water.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 46	Jill Margaret Pearson	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes -
251 46	Jill Margaret Pearson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	Yes - Agree thank you.
251 46	Jill Margaret Pearson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Agree thank you very much. After lock down I went down there and it was lovely. It made me realise I hadn't been there for years because it was very unwelcoming.
251 47	Judith Johnstone	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Freedom Camping is not a right a person who can afford to holiday with a vehicle can afford to pay for a place to park. Sites should be for a maximum of 20 vehicles and at a cost per person per night. Freedom Camping is a thing of the past in Golden Bay.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 48	Mr Graham Garadiner	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	<p>N/A - I do wonder what business DOC is in. DOC are securing so many of OUR lands, and charging us LOCALS for the use of it, no matter if self contained or not. They seem to have a mandate to stop all freedom camping. Our family has been freedom camping for so many generations, and now I want to take my grand children out, and show them the country we own, all of a sudden, we are losing this, not rite, but maybe privilage, we have savored for so long. A lot of NZ owned businesses have made a lot of money hiring out vans/cars/station wagons, creating this scrooge type camping, and now that it out their, and we are ALL stepping up to stop issues when seen, I truly hope we can get past thinking ALL campers are PROBLEM makers.</p> <p>Recently I visited the West coast, from Westport to Karamea, and was visited by their Camp warden. What a lovely lady. A real ambassador for the Coast. She covered from Ross to Karamea, and had all sough's of advise. The signs erected very clearly spell out the rules. YOU are WELCOME to camp here/ at this site, if you are SELF contained, but if found not compliant, a \$200-00 instant fine.</p> <p>It has cleaned up the coast considerably. What a very welcoming place. Complete contradiction south of Hast, and into the Queens Town area. PLEASE don't let our home land area be like this example.</p>
251 48	Mr Graham Garadiner	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
251 48	Mr Graham Garadiner	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>Yes - My only concern is the number of vehicles traveling past the village their. We go the cemetery often, and have so wanted to camp in this lovely location.</p> <p>I believe 60 too many, but maybe fewer could enjoy a more spread out camp!!</p>
251 48	Mr Graham Garadiner	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I think the 'PERMANT 'campers are more of an issue, however I don't now were else they could go.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 48	Mr Graham Garadiner	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - This exactly what we go camping to see, and learn about. How are we NZ campers going to see OUR' wild life, and learn what we have to see, and care for, if areas are locked up for a 'few'.
251 48	Mr Graham Garadiner	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Ownership, hasn't stopped many, including myself, camp their overnight for a quicker access to the duck hunting, and fishing down river for years now, so why now??? This a perfect spot, away from town, yet close for supplies, for SELF Contained Vehicles.
251 49	Kerstin Knight	Existing 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Change 5.2.2: The river side of any embankment to protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area. Remarks: Council cannot include Waitapu Bridge in any new bylaw as NZTA are taking over control of the area.	No -	N/A - Is a NO from me to the proposed site at Rototai Tip for freedom camping, my reasons are: • being an old tip site, I'm very surprised this site even was suggested as a possibility to make available for freedom camping in the Golden Bay area • it is concerning that the Tasman District Council is willing to exposed itself to unforeseen liabilities with having the public coming and going on the old tip site in Rototai with the potential of earth disturbances by the heavy vehicle eg. Motorhomes & Housetrucks • it is concerning having tent site on the old Rototai tip, with campers sleeping at ground level. • it is concerning to have campers using gas cookers on the ground of the old tip site, eg. potential gas leakage from under the ground seeping through due to the potential disturbance of the ground by Motorhomes & heavy Housetrucks.
251 50	Kura Stafford	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 50	Kura Stafford	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Rototai site due to the cultural significance of the whole area and for health and safety reasons. Ngāti Tama ki te Waipounamu Trust recommend that TDC: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discuss with Iwi Trusts, at the outset, the identification of appropriate sites for freedom camping; • Ensure that the assessment criteria for freedom camping sites includes the Iwi/Māori cultural context of proposed site/area; • Re-evaluate the suitability of the Tākaka Recreation Reserve as a freedom camping site; • Investigate options to establish a Freedom camping site on private land (away from waterways and the coast); and • Review and evaluate the Ambassador programme, due to community concerns about its effectiveness.
251 50	Kura Stafford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
251 50	Kura Stafford	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 51	Dr Beth Erceg	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I hold a first class honours in Physics and Doctorate in Physics, both in areas relating to the ocean. I also have regional Council experience in developing regulations under the RMA. I come at things from a scientific perspective and strongly oppose the addition of a by law to allow freedom camping in part of or any part of the former tip.</p> <p>I spent the first 18 years of my life in the bay and have travelled back very regularly, I am now a resident. For my whole life I have valued Rototai.</p> <p>My reasons for opposing this change are below:</p> <p>1)I note that according to the freedom camping act of 2011, Golden Bay is not required to provide for freedom campers.</p> <p>2) Rototai should be fully protected for its vulnerable ecology in the estuary alone, let alone for it's International significance for Birdnesting.</p> <p>3) Having spent a lot of time over the recent years at Rototai, I have seen the significant adverse affects that freedom campers have brought to the area. I have found myself almost always having to educate freedom campers (on a arrogant and sometimes abusive ear) about the signage and the rules, that I can honestly say I have almost never been adhered too. Most of this relates to lack of use of the toilets provided and fires.</p> <p>4)We are an isolated community at the best of times. Add to that the current worldwide pandemic, lack of facilities and use of them by freedom campers, and we are unfairly exposing our community that does not have the health facilities for this, to another level of problems.</p>
251 52	Chris Watson	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 52	Chris Watson	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - Over the next two years, due to Covid we have the unique opportunity to set things in place for managing Golden Bay the way we want it as a NO to Freedom Camping.</p> <p>We can make a start at protecting Popular Golden Bays environment from the impact of Freedom Campers by sign posting Takaka Hill, at the top of the hill and at the bottom of either sides stating very clearly No Freedom Camping in Golden Bay. Please use our Motor Camps, Holiday Parks & DOC campsites.</p> <p>This notice to be put on the website for Freedom Campers (see attached). stating that they need to book ahead, as to ignore is to face the possible impounding of their vehicle. It will go viral.</p> <p>There also needs to be a powerful campaign to teach people how to behave environmentally in Golden Bay.</p> <p>Not only visitors but there are those in our own community, who need to be educated to respect and appreciate our precious bio diversity.</p> <p>It's a wake up call. We can set an example for NZ so its managed with care and even richer for our future generations.</p>
251 52	Chris Watson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
251 52	Chris Watson	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	<p>N/A - Support. Ive never understood why the advertised 'for parking' paddock of land in Motopipi seems to be continually vacant??? Maybe the owners are asking a too high price??</p> <p>I would have thought there would be others in the Bay who would likewise be prepared to release a paddock for nominal fee Freedom camping Maybe the Council could suggest this?</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 54	Ron Eckman	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	<p>N/A - Let's put this into perspective.</p> <p>Auckland has approximately 1,000 parks and reserves and numerous beaches and large areas of Council owned bush. It has a population of 1,717,500. Auckland provides approximately 120 Freedom Camping spaces, i.e. 1 Freedom Camping space per 14,312 residents</p> <p>Golden Bay has a population of 3,756. TDC is proposing 60 Freedom Camping spaces in addition to the 12 at Motupipi Street, a total of 72 spaces. In other words, GB would be providing 1 Freedom Camping space per 52 residents.</p> <p>If Auckland, on a per capita basis, wanted to catch up with TDC proposed additional spaces, it would need to provide 33,029 Freedom spaces! However, Auckland does not accept the idea that they need to provide more spaces simply because visitors want them.</p> <p>Other Local Authorities with lots of tourists such as Rotorua provide far less spaces than Tasman district.</p> <p>As a resident, I already pay for my own septic system, water system, toilet paper, rubbish and wifi. TDC cannot rationally justify expecting resident ratepayers and taxpayers to foot the bill for all these services so that visitors can have subsidised holidays at our expense.</p> <p>TDC has an excellent set of criteria for site selection in its Responsible Camping Strategy. It appears that these criteria were ignored when the former Rototai Tip site was proposed. It is hard to imagine a worse place to establish a Freedom Camping site, dangerous for campers and bad for the environment. We already had to evacuate Freedom Campers from Waitapu so why is another flood zone proposed?</p> <p>Visitors to Golden Bay are fortunate to be able to choose from a very wide range of accommodation providers. We have a lot of accommodation and that is why the population of Golden Bay swells in the summer season.</p> <p>TDC ratepayers don't want to purchase land to provide more Freedom Camping sites.</p> <p>It is time to face the facts that we have run out of sensible alternative options.</p> <p>That is why Freedom Camping in Golden Bay should be limited to Self Contained Vehicles at the Motupipi Street carpark.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 54	Ron Eckman	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - There are also additional problems with Freedom Camping in nearby neighbourhoods. For example, in Rangihaeata there have been problems with Freedom Camping on the side of the roads next to dwellings, noise late at night, rubbish, theft of avocados, trespass on private property, illegal taking of shellfish, illegal fires without permits, etc.</p> <p>It is essential to remember that the Freedom Camping Act does not stipulate how many spaces a Local Authority must provide. The ACT only states that Freedom Camping cannot be banned throughout the entire Local Authority.</p> <p>Tasman District is already extremely generous in providing Freedom Camping spaces, it is perhaps the most generous Local Authority in the country. Sites are already available in Takaka, Motueka, Richmond and the Alexander Bluff Road Reserve.</p> <p>There is absolutely no need to create a new Freedom Camping site.</p> <p>That would be a gross waste of ratepayer's and taxpayer's money especially when TDC is facing a budget overrun on the Waimea Dam project and many residents have lost jobs and/or income due to Covid 19.</p> <p>It is incorrect to assume that Freedom Campers are going to come anyway so we therefore need to provide for them. We don't need to provide for them.</p> <p>Instead, we need to reduce the inflow of Freedom Campers to Golden Bay. We need to reduce the expectation that they can get something for nothing.</p> <p>TDC has done nothing to reduce this inflow.</p> <p>We need to take immediate action to stem the flow of Freedom Campers coming over the hill expecting to find spaces. This is especially urgent because historically there were so many "spaces" – as many as could cram into a site. There were approximately 300 campers at Waitapu Bridge in January.</p> <p>I recommend that TDC immediately communicate on CamperMate, Rankers and all the camping apps that "Freedom Camping spaces" are extremely limited in Tasman District, especially Golden Bay.</p> <p>Yesterday morning (15 November) there were already more than 25 Freedom Camping vehicles, primarily young international visitors, at Waitapu Bridge.</p> <p>I predict that the problem will increase when more young international travellers come to NZ on 2 year working holiday visas. The horticultural industries are already demanding access to more overseas workers.</p> <p>Tasman District is already extremely generous in providing Freedom Camping spaces.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 54	Ron Eckman	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>Yes - I support the closure of Freedom Camping at Waitapu Bridge and Taupata stream.</p> <p>The Taupata and Waitapu sites, if they were managed by DOC, would have had an on-site ranger or volunteer manager. If they were campgrounds they would have had an on-site manager.</p> <p>However, TDC has not actively managed the three Freedom Camping sites in Golden Bay. In fairness to our Enforcement Manager, he has a desk job based in Richmond, he is not employed as an on-site manager. These Freedom Camping sites had numerous problems.</p> <p>The problems of Freedom Camping in Golden Bay are well known such as the continual disturbance of critical wildlife at Tautapa stream.</p> <p>At Waitapu Bridge the problems include:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Dangerous overcrowding, no defined spaces for vehicles. • Evacuations due to flooding • Human excrement and soiled toilet paper • Rubbish • Drugs, violence, disorderly behaviour • Harassment of locals and visitors • Destruction of TDC signs • Fires without permits and despite the no fires signage • Destruction of vegetation • Site so overrun with campers that locals cannot use it or avoid it altogether • Cultural disrespect such as washing dishes and laundry in the Waitapu River • People claiming to be Gypsies with inherent rights to live at the site (until they were eventually removed by the police.) • High end tourists were intimidated • Damage to our tourism industry <p>Sadly, Waitapu at its worst, met every definition of a slum, an embarrassment to our community.</p>
251 54	Ron Eckman	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 55	Sabina Wick	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I oppose the provision</p> <p>Besides concerns about the chemical toxicity of the site, my opposition stems from the predictable increase in traffic on Rototai Road. Rototai Rd is a quiet country road used by bikers, walkers and school children as well as an access road for residents and farms.</p> <p>To have a freedom camping site by the reserve will increase the traffic and make the road unsafe and noisy. This will change the family friendly feel of the neighbourhood.</p> <p>I would like TDC to put our money into managing the site at Waitapu bridge safely and hygienically. When this site is full, people will have to pay for a campground.</p>
251 56	Gaya Brabant	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - See attached

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 57	Helen McKinlay	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	<p>No - Please show respect for the people of Golden Bay and their taonga. Rototai Landfill was a rubbish tip. To us it has reverted to an important part of this important environment.</p> <p>According to the Freedom Camping Act of 2011 'A local authority may not make bylaws under section 11 that have the effect of prohibiting freedom camping in all the local authority areas in its district.' Note the word "all." It is not a requirement for Golden Bay to have freedom campers.</p> <p>I am asking for TDC to respect the people and land of Golden Bay. The population of Takaka is 1,236 people, Our facilities have been stretched beyond limits already over the last few years. And now in a time where Covid 19 is still at</p> <p>We have already objected to the Rototai landfill freedom camping proposal and told you the reasons. The old landfill is a no go for a number of reasons.</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. It is toxic and locals who have worked on the tip when it was in use can vouch for that. Why would any camper want to stay on a toxic site? 2. A few toilets have been proposed for the area but there is no mention of water. They will have to use the inlet. 3. The road is not suitable for a lot of campervans or other traffic. And the vision is not good when coming out of Nees Road back toward Takaka. 4. In 2017 TDC stated that hundreds of campers are expected. Given that many people are looking for cheap housing the possibility of that happening if freedom camping goes ahead at Rototai is a reality. Look at the mess that was the Waitapu Bridge site. WE DO NOT WANT THAT AGAIN! 5. TDC has never been totally successful in keeping the Rototai reserve free of campers in spite of notices and officers. Give permission for people to camp at the landfill and you give them permission to camp at the reserve. I myself and other locals have seen the estuary there treated as a sink and a toilet. I have seen 'respectable' camper vanners who have lit fires within centimetres metres of the grasses and newly planted areas and called the fire brigade three times. As TDC who commissions the reports on estuarine health knows, Motupipi has been classed as vulnerable for a number of years. It also holds international importance for its bird population. Does TDC take this into consideration? 6. Does council know what a treasure Rototai is to locals? Is council aware of how many people come here for rest and peace? That women feel safe to come with their children. That families use it. Rototai is the main beach for Takaka residents.
251 58	Michelle Page	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - lack of consideration to residents, Forest and Birds and the ecology of the adjoining area and fragility affecting bird life.</p> <p>Do not allow freedom camping on Rototai Tip Site. Do not allow freedom camping in or around Golden Bay.</p>
251 59	William Brabant	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - See attached

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 60	Kathleen Hindmarsh	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Oppose adding to bylaw. Once again a sensitive riparian area in an estuary not suitable for lots of people to gather. - Will scare away wildlife. Do not make a freedom camping area. All freedom camping areas are only suitable for truly self contained vehicles - have a built in toilet and holding tank. In Golden Bay, not only are there quite a few proper camping grounds with the full amenities provided, there are some minimal (basic) camping grounds as well. These fulfill the TDC's regulations and it is completely unfair to these businesses that the TDC can allow camping on their land that does not fulfill the same regulations. There is the freedom camping area in Takaka township near public loos, which will still be available.
251 60	Kathleen Hindmarsh	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Support removal of bylaw. Being a sensitive bird roost place again in a riparian area - Not suitable especially with no properly contained vehicles. Agree, remove as a freedom camping area.
251 60	Kathleen Hindmarsh	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support removal of bylaw. Being Flood prone as well as Waitapu is totally not suitable for freedom camping. Agree, remove as a freedom camping area.
251 61	Hendrina Van de Lagemaat	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I totally disapprove of all non self contained vehicle camping except for in paid for camp grounds, never an old toxic site. Say no to this stupidity. Ask the Government to change the freedom camping Act and make Bylaws consistent all over the country.
251 62	Joss Brown	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	No - Freedom camping should be a no go in NZ Rototai is a tip site not a good place for adults or children. You must clearly state they are on a toxic dump which leaches on high tides.
251 63	Stephen Lewis	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Supported

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 63	Stephen Lewis	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Supported
251 63	Stephen Lewis	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - Opposed</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Proposed number of vehicles far too large - Approach appears as a flagrant "thin" workaround and circumventing of the Camping Ground Regulations and presents as "working to the letter of the law but not seeking to adhered to the spirit and intent of the law: such an approach will lead to the very outcomes the legislations and duty the Council has in administering it seeks to prevent in terms of health and wellbeing of those using the site and the local community in close proximity to the site - Current circumstances due to COVID-19 and potential future impact of the management of carbon emissions and footprint mean there may not be a requirement to meet the previous projected needs. - The proposal which will require a RMC and be notified application falling within a Rural 1 and Coastal Environment Area. Motupipi estuary is identified in schedule 25.1F of the TRMP as an area having nationally or internationally important natural ecosystem values, therefore development alongside its coastal margin needs careful consideration. Such a RMC application should be unlikely to meet the tests of the RMA and TRMP under challenge of the "preservation of natural character within the coastal environment" and the "retention of rural character" - It is difficult to believe required compliance at such a site can easily be managed would be professionally managed by the Council. This failure of confidence is partly informed by the outcomes at previous designated sites in the area plus the failure of the Engineering Services Department to date to of the closed landfill site - Rejection of the current proposal - An amended proposal to landscape the closed landfill site which appropriate planting to both preserve and the natural character of the Coastal Environment Area to mitigate the previous decades of a travesty and abuse of such environments by previous administrations and make this an addition to Rototai Reserve. - To encompass in the above landscape proposal well-spaced out and designated spaces to meet the requirements of Schedule 2 of the bylaw. Such spaces to be limited to a maximum of 15 self-contained vehicles but with a personal preference that it be limited to 10 self-contained vehicles.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 63	Stephen Lewis	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -
251 63	Stephen Lewis	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - Supported
251 63	Stephen Lewis	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Supported
251 64	Guy and Nicole Hockly	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Oppose - Old HP - Health Hazard. - Non-confirming/non-self-contained vehicles camping for free is not fair on ratepayers; paying tourists security! Decline
251 65	Rolf Brouwer	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - See attached

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 66	John Kelly	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	<p>No - 5.2.2 If we understand this, how does this work with regards to the location at the Alexander Bluff reserve?</p> <p>With Decks Reserve diminishing greatly short-term and somewhat long-term, what are options in Motueka? We really don't see how the reasons given for prohibition of Freedom Camping for the Hickmott place parking area (see below) are unique and couldn't be given for any parking area in the District.</p> <p>The parking area off Hickmott has many place that are far from residential dwellings and could be used for FC purposes with specified hours.</p> <p>Records of complaints that freedom camping in this area has disrupted the use of the area in that anti-social behavior has prevented other persons from using the area. Complaints also relate to congestion in this area. Prohibition is necessary to protect the health and safety of people who may visit this area and to ensure that access is provided to this area.</p>
251 66	John Kelly	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	<p>Yes - Alexander Bluff Reserve: The wording here is very vague. The plan says there will be changes to the permitted camping area. "Amend permitted camping area to allow more control of camping activities"... "The freedom camping site would be identified using appropriate posts and markings. This would also allow free use of the reserve by others." This is very vague. Is there any target for a maximum number of vehicles? For the size in M² of the area to be set aside?</p> <p>We have been to the Alexander Bluff Reserve when it had 67 vehicles in it. Mountains of rubbish are piled by the toilets and people downstream find plastic, rubbish and even faeces coming down during times of peak occupation. Abutting the river, with only a small toilet block, this is a site that should have tightly controlled occupancy. Comment on Freedom Camping penalties. While we don't think it's within the authority of the TDC to change the regulations on fines from breaches of the act, we hope it could be stressed to government that regulations will be meaningless to some unless they have "teeth". The current procedure where there is 28 day period between fines and there being action against the vehicle's owner makes the regulations meaningless for the irresponsible.</p> <p>Until fines are quickly applied to the vehicle and will be the responsibility of the hire companies or the owners of the vehicles – resulting in charges to the renters' credit cards or preventing registration or sale of private vehicles while there are fees outstanding – regulations will be meaningless to some.</p>
251 67	Patrick Riddett	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - Oppose - In the 40 years I have known the Motupipi river estuary at Rototai I have observed ongoing degrading of fragile dune and sand bank systems due to ever increasing foot traffic and dogs. Allowing up to 120 (60 vehicles) people to camp nearby will only hasten the process and seriously compromise struggling bird sites (besting and roosting). Do not add to bylaw.</p>

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 68	Catherine Klein	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Support
251 68	Catherine Klein	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - I wish to put forward this letter as a written document submission for freedom camping by law amendments. As a ratepayer and a resident of Golden Bay this has been an ongoing issue for our community. I feel that the Tasman district Council should and must be responsible for ensuring that the community voices and views are at the forefront of any changes to the by law. Having a Beachfront property over many years I have seen most freedom campers feel that they do not and will not abide by any rules or by laws.</p> <p>This resulting in freedom campers trespassing on my property to dump rubbish along with human waste. In my opinion some of these vehicles that are certified as self-contained looked to be so small how is it possible that they were certified at all? There seems to be very little enforcement or checking.</p> <p>Freedom campers now have a wonderful thing called social media in which they find out very quickly soft target communities.</p> <p>Freedom campers should not be camping near any land that is adjacent to rivers, lakes and oceans. These are all places that should be enjoyed by visitors and residents. There are many purpose built camping sites should they want to enjoy waterside camping.</p> <p>Over the last many years I have not enjoyed going to the Waitapu bridge river site for recreational use, due to all the freedom campers.</p> <p>Waitapu bridge site, Taupata Gravel Reserve and Waikoropupu Springs Rd Reserve should not be available for freedom camping.</p> <p>Golden bay Is being abused by freedom campers and therefore I do not want to see any additional sites being made available.</p> <p>Maybe Mr Humphries and fellow Council employees should have them set up in their neighbourhood to fully experience how it impacts residents, communities and wild life.</p> <p>It is well overdue that New Zealand needs to live by it's "clean green image".</p>
251 68	Catherine Klein	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes -

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 68	Catherine Klein	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Support
251 69	Kaye McKay	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - See attached
251 70	Devangi Farah	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - As an organisation we do not support the former Rototai tip site being used for a freedom camping area. We feel that the current 7 spaces allocated in the Motupipi St car park goes above and beyond what is required of our community to comply with the Freedom Camping legislation. The former Rototai Tip Site is a vulnerable site. It is disappointing that this area is even being considered for recreational use, especially a freedom camping area. This is an area that has had years of household and industrial waste buried on this land. It is time to treat this whenua with the respect that it deserves, to clean the site up and ensure that there is no leaching into the Motupipi River. It is time to take on the role of kaitiakitanga, (guardianship) and protection of our environment.</p> <p>Golden Bay Promotion Association is in a position where it will NOT support more freedom camping initiatives or the development of the Rototai dump site for this purpose. We ask that TDC redirect the funds to support local businesses and invest in visitor attraction and projects that will enhance the community and everyone benefits.</p> <p>• The Rototai dump site is set to house 60 vehicles, we can only see the same chaos, danger and overcrowding in this area too.</p>
251 70	Devangi Farah	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - The Waitapu Bridge site was deemed to house 50 self contained vehicles, over the last 2 summers this has exceeded 300 cars all squashed into the space in a very unsafe manner. This overcrowding is very dangerous, these vehicles do not have usable toilets, and are not self contained.
251 71	Dawson McKay	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - SEE ATTACHED

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 72	Gabrielle McKay	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Strongly oppose - As a Rototai resident we already witness many visitors to Nees Road driving onto the beach then knocking on our door for help. This would become even more regular. The campers would spend more time at the Reserve than the proposed site making it a much busier place on an already popular place to visit. The narrow roads to the site are of concern as well as the gravel road (Nees Road) where people are walking, biking and running dogs. An accident waiting to happen in my opinion! If this goes ahead I feel our houses will go down in value. Please don't just shift this problem to another piece of paradise in Golden Bay. And remember our previous bird life. I would like to see freedom camping banned in Golden Bay all together. There are plenty of places already setup to stay for people wanting to enjoy our town.
251 73	Mary Lafrentz	Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current Self contained area and allocate 15 existing Self Contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - I know Decks reserve is a bone of argument. The parks are not all required for shopping at night. Council needs to have compliance officers to check that vehicles are moved outside the official parking times. And; fine, on the spot. Officers should be employed over the whole of TDC. We get fined for many other illegal misdemeanors. This area if going to require workers to harvest all our crops. This brings huge dollars to all of NZ. Perhaps Council needs to find a way to support these people who will help the harvest. Motueka lost the opportunity to use Central Government money to build a facility that could have helped this issue.
251 74	Peter Fullerton	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - The proposed new freedom camping area at the old dump site should not be used, safety issues . The real issue is ,why do we need a rate payer subsidised camp area for free when there are at least 4 good camping ground areas now that should be used. and where is the data that says we need to offer 60 sites, 10 maybe and the rest use camp areas now. There is no reason why private land owners could open up small pockets of farms to allow 4 or 5 places. this idea is the best approach. Other aresa in NZ have stopped providing this free intrusion. Its not necessary.
251 75	Moana Solly	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Oppose! Traffic safety on narrow roads. General Public Safety, Rubbish and waste. Ban freedom camping. User Pay.
251 76	Dave Schorr	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	No -	N/A - Do not support.After the recent Labor Day weekend holiday, I visited the Pupu Springs site, to see what it's condition was, after a heavy weekend of usage. It was spotless. People were tidy, and took care of all their own belongings and trash.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 76	Dave Schorr	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	<p>No - These locations provide a valuable community resource. Freedom camping is a culturally relevant and significant activity in New Zealand, both for native Kiwi, and for visitors.</p> <p>TDC may be unaware of the financial value of freedom camping to travelers. Many people are under the impression that the next level up of accommodation, holiday parks, are well within the price range of budget travelers. Most are unaware that holiday parks now cost between \$40 and \$50 per night for two people – that's more than rent on a house in many situations, and prohibitive for people on low income or part-time wages. The people who avail themselves of freedom camping for financial reasons still spend considerable money on petrol, groceries, and supplies. They are contributing to the financial well-being of the communities where they park up.</p> <p>We are visitors from the United States, who arrived here in early March but have stayed for (legally) a good number of reasons. We have stayed in posh hotels, backpacker accommodations, holiday parks, we have freedom camped, and we are now renting a house in Golden Bay. Freedom camping is an important component of the ability to travel the country, and experience your many natural wonders, and Kiwi hospitality. As mentioned above, freedom camping is valued highly within native Kiwi society. Removing opportunities for freedom camping is flat wrong.</p> <p>Additionally, freedom camping is providing a safe and sanitary location for many essential workers to live – agricultural workers are frequently tenants of freedom camping locations. They don't make that much money, and removing a free housing option from them is simply not right.</p> <p>Freedom camping opportunities are already severely constrained within Golden Bay, removing these sites will only make things worse.</p> <p>After the recent Labor Day weekend holiday, I visited the Pupu Springs site, to see what it's condition was, after a heavy weekend of usage. It was spotless. People were tidy, and took care of all their own belongings and trash.</p> <p>Similarly, I recently visited the Gravel Reserve location, and found it to be similarly spotless.</p> <p>I believe that you should trust people to do the right thing. Encourage them, provide reasonable toilet facilities, and most everybody will play fair.</p> <p>Removing these well-known freedom camping sites would not be beneficial to the community. It would just displace low budget travelers into other, non-sanctioned locations, typically without toi</p>
251 76	Dave Schorr	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	No -	N/A - Do not support

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 77	Kyra Christen	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Oppose - Puponga (Gravel pit) West haven. Campers are here! Why should rates pay coin BBQ's/Facility like Pitas Point! Plenty of areas are possible development along Westhaven road where grounds could be developed where the district and not camper companies could benefit! Taupata Gravel Reserve over flows and needs attention and Services.
251 78	Marcus Benecke	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - I support the proposal to make a site near Rototai Reserve available for so-called Freedom Camping. I don't know what the capacity (number of camp sites) should be, but I note that rubbish bins and temporary toilets will be made available by accessing the government's responsible camping fund. The addition of this location is timely due to the loss of other sites in Golden Bay. I wouldn't anticipate overuse of the site this season because the number of overseas visitors is down. However, it is important for the future of any public camping sites to police numbers and duration of stay so that capacity is not breached and tolerance by all the community is enhanced.
251 79	David Melville	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - See attached
251 80	Dr Paul Mosley	Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Supported
251 80	Dr Paul Mosley	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Insufficient detail is provided for me to comment on this proposal
251 80	Dr Paul Mosley	Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessability to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Insufficient detail is provided for me to comment on this proposal. The graphic does not adequately indicate the proposed camp area. In general, however, I support use of the Alexander Bluff site for camping, when it is managed in such a way as not to compromise use by District residents.

ID	Submitter	Subject	Speak	Opinion/Comments
251 80	Dr Paul Mosley	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Supported
251 80	Dr Paul Mosley	Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	Yes - Takaka	N/A - Supported
251 81	Susan Seddon	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	No - Oppose - We must keep our rivers and oceans clean, and avoid campers who are non conforming/non-self-contained and camping for free. I do not know what areas the council has responsibility for or own - I am sure some other plan be used.
251 82	Shirley & Paul Marcussen	Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	No -	Yes - We support the proposal. We think the old tip site at Rototai is an excellent site, HOWEVER we do have concerns that unwanted consequences may happen at the recreational area on the sea / River exit. We don't consider the toxic residue underground will be of any concern, freedom campers don't stay long enough to grow lettuces. Toilets, water supply and rubbish/recycling bins will minimise the rubbish problems that seem to go with small van freedom camping. The Rototai beach reserve area is well used & as golden bay locals we would be very concerned if we lost it's use as has happened at the Waitapu Bridge site.

Subject	Summary	Staff Comment
Existing 5.2.2: The riverside of any embankment, except designated areas in the vicinity of the State Highway Bridge at Waitapu, Golden Bay. To protect the health and safety of people who may visit the area.		This is a consequential change reflecting proposed changes to Schedule 3
Schedule 1: Remove Part 14 Area of prohibition Waikoropupu Springs Road Reserve. Remarks: Remove from Bylaw as DoC now have control of whole area.	General support	It never was a permitted camp site, it was an area under Tasman District Council jurisdiction and it is now controlled by DoC
Schedule 2: Part 3 Decks Reserve. The new library construction will impinge on the current FC area. The intention is to retain the current self-contained area and allocate 15 existing self-contained camping spaces to Non Self Contained vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Concern about the presence of non-self contained vehicles with some not wanting to use the area	This is just an amendment to what is already permitted arising from the library development
Schedule 3: Add permitted area. Former Rototai refuse site, Rototai Road. Maximum 60 vehicles. Do you support this proposal?	Some support but not universal. Suggestions of a cap in numbers, fencing to improve security and access. Location is still close to inlet where bird life is present	With other changes to Schedule 3, there will be limited options for designated FC sites if Rototai deleted. No available spaces will result in campers going everywhere and anywhere and this is more unmanageable. FC sites are available across the District and not just in Golden Bay. Will request the parks in Motupipi be renumbered etc. The site can be developed using funds from Government.
Schedule 3: Alexander Bluff Road Reserve. Restrict number of camping sites to increase accessibility to area for local population. Do you support this proposal?	Concern that the site interferes with local interests and is not the best location. Suggestions about better signage and location management	The proposed changes will limit FCs to a specific area. Agree signage could be improved
Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Taupata Gravel reserve. Remarks: This is a	General but not universal agreement	

shorebird sensitive area. Do you support this proposal?		
Schedule 3: Part 2. Remove permitted area Waitapu Bridge from Bylaw. Remarks: NZTA are taking control of the area therefore it cannot be included in the Bylaw.	General but not universal agreement to removal	