

I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Golden Bay Community Board will be held on:

Date: Tuesday 11 September 2018
Time: 9.00 am
Meeting Room: Collingwood Fire Station, Tasman
Venue: Street, Collingwood

Golden Bay Community Board

CORRESPONDENCE

ITEM	PAGE
Correspondence August 2018	
1. RM160469 Greenways (2010) Limited	3
2. Representation Review	7
3. Golden Bay Grandstand Restoration Society	13
4. Freedom Camping Funding.....	15
5. N Birse	17
6. Penny Griffith	19
7. Amanda Clarke	21
8. Louise Coleman	25
9. Interests Register	31
10. Elected Members Interests Questionnaire.....	41
11. Luminare Meeting	57
12. Four Winds Pump Station and Rising Main Update	59
13. Community Awards Ceremony Invitation.....	61
14. Ratepayers and Residents AGM Invitation.....	63
15. Living Wood Fair	67
16. Local Government Commission Invitation	69

Emma Gee

From: Alastair Jewell
Sent: Friday, 3 August 2018 5:44 p.m.
To: Golden Bay Community Board
Subject: Resource consent application - notice of hearing for RM160469 Greenways (2010) Ltd - 44 Park Avenue

Submission received from:
 Golden Bay Community Board
 PO Box 74
 Takaka 7142

Sent by email: gcb@tasman.govt.nz

Dear submitter,

Resource consent application – notice of hearing

Application number(s)	RM160469; RM160387
Applicant	Greenways (2010) Limited
Proposed activity	To subdivide Rural 1 zoned land into 25 residential allotments with Roads 1 and 2 to vest with Council. To construct a dwelling on each of Lots 1-25 on land zoned Rural 1.
Address	44 Park Avenue, Takaka

We have organised a two day hearing for this resource consent application as follows:

Date:	Monday 3 September and Tuesday 4 September 2018.
Start time:	10:00am (Monday)
Venue:	Golden Bay Recreation Park Centre, 2032 Takaka Valley Road, Takaka

You are invited to attend the hearing. The Hearing Commissioner is Graham Taylor, who will consider the resource consent application and hear submissions from those submitters who asked to speak at the hearing.

Pre-circulation of evidence

The council and the applicant must provide all their evidence before the hearing (under section 103B of the Resource Management Act 1991). Any submitter with any expert evidence must also provide it before the hearing, although any non-expert evidence (ie personal submissions) are not needed before the hearing. An expert is a professional such as a planner, engineer, or landscape architect.

You must provide your expert evidence within the deadlines.

Key deadlines

Council section 42A report available (15 working days before hearing)	by 9am Monday 13 August 2018
Applicant's evidence due (10 working days before hearing)	by 9am Monday 20 August 2018
Submitters' expert evidence due (5 working days before hearing)	by 9am Monday 27 August 2018

How the evidence is circulated

The expert evidence is required in electronic form, and sent to the Principal Planner Resource Consents by email at alastair.jewell@tasman.govt.nz. Individual electronic documents should be less than 10Mb in size.

Council will upload the applicant's and submitters' evidence to its website within 24 hours of receipt. The link to the webpage on www.tasman.govt.nz is:

[Home » Property & Building » Resource Consents » Find out about Current Resource Consents and Applications » Publicly Notified Resource Consent Applications » Applications Awaiting Hearings » Greenways - Subdivision - Takaka](#)

Evidence / statements at the hearing

For any evidence/statements that was not required to be pre-circulated, so is presented at the hearing, we ask for an electronic copy (emailed or USB memory stick) and a minimum of six hard copies.

Confirmation of attendance by submitters

If you are submitter who asked to be heard at the hearing, we would greatly appreciate your confirmation you are still attending and wanting to speak. Please confirm by 5pm Monday 27 August 2018. You can do this by emailing alastair.jewell@tasman.govt.nz or clicking the following link, and ticking both days or indicating you are not attending:

<https://doodle.com/poll/hbmm3axfwemw5w2r>

In preparing as a submitter for the hearing, you can find information on our website and on the Ministry for the Environment website on how to make an effective submission and more information about the hearing process.

Link to Tasman District Council's guide to making an effective submission:

<http://www.tasman.govt.nz/property/resource-consents/submissions-objections-and-appeals/making-a-submission/>

Link to Ministry for the Environment's guide to resource consent hearings:

<http://www.mfe.govt.nz/publications/rma/everyday-guide-rma-appearing-council-resource-consent-hearing>

Please contact me if you have any questions about this hearing process.

Ngā mihi,

Alastair Jewell
Principal Planner - Resource Consents
DDI 03 543 8400 | Alastair.Jewell@tasman.govt.nz
Private Bag 4, Richmond 7050, NZ



This e-mail message and any attached files may contain confidential information, and may be subject to legal professional privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete.

Item

Attachment 1

Emma Gee

From: Sandra Hartley
Sent: Monday, 6 August 2018 12:00 p.m.
To: Golden Bay Community Board
Subject: Tasman District Council's Final Representation Review Proposal
Attachments: GBCB response.pdf; FINAL PROPOSAL FOR REPRESENTATION PROPOSAL FOR PUBLIC NOTIFICATION.pdf

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Please find attached a letter in response to your submission to Council's Initial Representation Review.

Also attached is a copy of the public notice that will be published in Newsline on 17 August 2018 outlining Council's Final Representation Review.

Kind regards

Sandra Hartley
Policy Officer
DDI 03 543 8554 | Sandra.Hartley@tasman.govt.nz
Private Bag 4, Richmond 7050, NZ



This e-mail message and any attached files may contain confidential information, and may be subject to legal professional privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete.

Item

Attachment 2



File:
Silent One ID:
sandra.hartley@tasman.govt.nz
Phone 543 8554

6 August 2018

Abbie Langford
Chair – Golden Bay Community Board
C/- 78 Commercial Street
Takaka 7110

gbc@tasman.govt.nz

Dear Abbie

Tasman District Council's Final Representation Review Proposal

Thank you for your submission in support of Council's Initial Representation Proposal. The Mayor and Councillors appreciated the input of all the submitters and those that took the time to speak to their submissions at the Council meeting on 1 August 2018.

We received a total of fourteen submissions, of which 10 were in support of the Initial Proposal, and one partly in support. Several submitters raised matters which were outside the scope of this Review, and were therefore unable to be accepted.

After deliberating on all submissions, Council resolved to accept your late submission, and to adopt its Final Representation Proposal on 1 August 2018, which was primarily based on the Initial Proposal, with some minor wording changes. This Final Proposal will be open for consultation from 17 August until 18 September 2018. A copy of the public notice is enclosed for your information.

As you were a submitter to the Initial Proposal, you may lodge an appeal to Council's Final Representation Proposal. Any appeal must relate to the matter(s) raised in your original submission.

Any appeal must be made in writing, and received by Council no later than 18 September 2018.

Appeals can be made via our Council website at: www.tasman.govt.nz/feedback, or

- Delivered to any Council office
- Mailed to: Tasman District Council, Private Bag 4, Richmond 7050; or
- Emailed to: info@tasman.govt.nz

Tasman District Council
Email info@tasman.govt.nz
Website www.tasman.govt.nz
24 hour assistance

Richmond
189 Oyster Street
Private Bag 4
Richmond 7050
New Zealand
Phone 03 543 8400
Fax 03 543 9224

Marionise
25 Harris Street
Marionise 7007
New Zealand
Phone 03 523 1013
Fax 03 523 1012

Motueka
7 Hickmott Place
PO Box 23
Motueka 7143
New Zealand
Phone 03 528 3922
Fax 03 528 9751

Takaka
78 Commercial Street
PO Box 74
Takaka 7142
New Zealand
Phone 03 525 0026
Fax 03 525 0992

Item

Yours sincerely

Sandra Hartley
Policy Officer/Electoral Officer

Attachment 2

FINAL PROPOSAL FOR REPRESENTATION ARRANGEMENTS FOR THE 2019 LOCAL ELECTIONS

On 1 August 2018, the Tasman District Council (Council) considered the submissions received on its Initial Proposal regarding the representation arrangements for Council and Community Boards for the elections to be held on 12 October 2019 and 2022.

Council received 14 submissions on its proposal. Ten submissions were in support of the Initial Proposal, one partially in support, and several submissions raised matters that were outside the scope of this Review.

Having considered all submissions and objections, Council resolved to adopt its Initial Proposal as Council's Final Proposal, viz:

- Retain the current five wards, their names and boundaries.
- Mayor, who will be elected at large, and 13 Councillors to be elected from wards as follows: two councillors for Golden Bay Ward, one for Lakes-Murchison Ward, three councillors for Motueka Ward, three councillors for Moutere-Waimea Ward and four councillors for Richmond Ward.
- Retain the current Motueka and Golden Bay Community Boards, their current boundaries, names and membership.

Council rejected the matters raised in submissions for the following reasons:

- Requests for further Community Board delegations – Council rejects these two requests as being out of scope of this Review, and as any additional delegations can be made by Council at any time as an amendment to the Delegations Register.
- Request to offer Community Boards to those wards that do not have them – Council rejects this request as Councillors have been advised in the past that ratepayer groups in Wards that do not have a Community Board do not support the establishment of such. Also, these communities had the opportunity to ask for community boards through this Review process, and no such requests were received.
- Caveat that Councillor roles are spelt out more clearly regarding acting and making decisions district wide as against in their own Ward – Council rejects this submission, as all elected members must make a declaration under Schedule 7, S14 of the Local Government Act 2002, attesting they “execute and perform in the best interests of the District”.
- No legislative definition of “representation”, and lack of understanding of Councillors oath of office and voting – Council notes and acknowledges the submitters comments, but rejects them as they are outside this Review, but will consider ways of explaining Council's processes and roles to its communities.
- First Past the Post (FPP) Electoral system (two requests in favour of changing this system, and one in favour of retaining it) – Council rejects these submissions, as they are out of scope of this Review, and as it resolved in 2017 to retain FPP for the 2019 and 2022 triennial elections, and the public can at any time present a petition to Council requesting a poll on electoral systems.
- Establishment of Maori Wards (two submissions opposed to this) – Council rejects these submissions as they are out of scope of this Review and as it resolved in 2017 not to establish a Maori Ward for the 2019 elections.

- Removal of casting vote from Standing Orders – Council rejects this submission as it is outside the scope of this Review, and changes to the Standing Orders can be considered by Council at any time.

The Final Representation Proposal, along with the Council's resolution and maps setting out the areas of the proposed wards/communities may be viewed online at <http://www.tasman.govt.nz/policy/public-consultation/representation-review/> or viewed at any Tasman District Council office or library.

Appeals

Any person who made a submission on the Council's initial proposal may lodge an appeal against the Council decision. An appeal must relate to the matters raised in that persons' submission.

Appeals must be made in writing and must be received by Council no later than 18 September 2018.

Online appeals can be made at www.tasman.govt.nz/feedback

Appeals can also be:

- delivered to any Council office
- mailed to: Tasman District Council, Private Bag 4, Richmond 7050; or
- emailed to: info@tasman.govt.nz

Janine Dowding
Chief Executive

17 August 2018

Golden Bay Grandstand Restoration Society
c/o 65 Selwyn Street,
Pohara,
Golden Bay.
Ph. 5257566



The Golden Bay Community Board Chair,
Tasman District Council,
Commercial Street,
Takaka.

06/08/18

Dear Abbie,

Now that the grandstand has been saved from demolition, the GBGRS and the GBGCT are working together to get the grandstand usable and restored.

One of the first steps in taking the project forward is to ensure that the level of restoration, & the funding for it, is acceptable to the majority of our community.

Although the detail of this consultation process is yet to be determined, we feel that the Community Board should be included in the process and think the organization would be the most appropriate to front the public consultation process.

We look forward to having the Community Board as part of the team to make our grandstand proud again and be back in use by our community. In anticipation, please forward the contact details of the member assigned to the project a.s.a.p. as there is work to be done.

Yours faithfully,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Noel Baigent".

Noel Baigent,
Chair, Golden Bay Grandstand Restoration Society.

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to be "Duncan McKenzie".

Duncan McKenzie,
Chair, Golden Bay Grandstand Community Trust.



16 August 2018

Media Release

KiwiCamp freedom camping facility planned for Tasman

A “KiwiCamp” system for freedom campers is among a suite of new camping facilities to be provided in Tasman District, thanks to newly-announced Government funding.

Tasman District Council will receive \$660,000 after making an application to the Responsible Camping Working Group for assistance addressing some of the issues associated with freedom camping in the region.

Tasman Mayor Richard Kempthorne said the money would help provide extra monitoring and enforcement of the Council’s Freedom Camping Bylaw, as well as provide toilets, sinks, waste compactors and other improvements for campers while reducing some of the nuisance effects of freedom camping.

Nearly half the funding, \$300,000, would be used for a portable, purpose-built “KiwiCamp” ablution block, similar to one that has been used in Spring Creek, Marlborough.

The KiwiCamp block includes toilets, showers, dishwashing facilities, wifi and charging ports, which campers can access after purchasing and swiping a KiwiCash card.

The Council is considering sites near Motueka and Wakefield for the KiwiCamp facility.

Richard said: “We’re one of many tourist destinations in New Zealand that have struggled to accommodate the large numbers of campers who come to some of our most scenic areas in summer and who choose not to stay in commercial campgrounds or other accommodation.

“We want to be welcoming hosts. This funding will help us provide some much-needed facilities for campers, reducing the negative effects freedom camping has had on the environment - and on the patience of locals - in some locations.”

The list of camping improvements planned for Tasman includes:

- Extra monitoring and enforcement
- A KiwiCamp facility
- A toilet block at a new freedom camping site in Richmond
- Portaloos at camping spots

- Sinks at camping spots
- Waste compactors at camping spots
- Site improvements (such as site levelling, gravelling muddy areas, marking parking spaces, fencing and signage) at Waitapu Bridge, Alexander Bluff and the KiwiCamp site
- An upgrade of the intersection at Waitapu Bridge

The improvements will be in place by December, ready for the summer camping season.

ENDS

For more information, contact Mayor Richard Kempthorne

Phone: (03) 543 8402

Mobile: 027 223 4000

Email: Richard.kempthorne@tasman.govt.nz

25 OCTOBER

NEW ZEALAND GAZETTE

4029

9738 square metres, more or less, being Section 15, Block I, Silverpeak Survey District. S.O. Plan 6810 (no certificate of title).

59.70 hectares, more or less, being Section 1, S.O. Plan 23193, Block I, Silverpeak Survey District (no certificate of title).

Dated at Wellington this 15th day of October 1990.

P. TAPSELL, Minister of Lands.

P. WOOLLASTON, Minister of Conservation.

(DOC H.O. LAN 0016: C.O. LS50)

ln11570

Declaring Land to be Held for Conservation Purposes

Pursuant to section 7 (1) of the Conservation Act 1987, the Minister of Conservation and the Minister of Lands being the Minister responsible for the Department of State that has control of the land hereby jointly declare that the land described in the Schedule hereto, is held for conservation purposes and it shall thereafter be so held.

Schedule

Otago Land District, Queenstown—Lakes District

5170 square metres, more or less, being Section 129, Block V, Shotover Survey District, S.O. 20674. Gazetted 1984, page 202 (part).

Dated at Wellington this 15th day of October 1990.

P. TAPSELL, Minister of Lands.

P. WOOLLASTON, Minister of Conservation.

(DOC H.O. LAN 0016: C.O. ACQ 7/25)

ln11571

Reserves Act 1977

Vesting a Reserve in The Christchurch City Council

Pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977, and to a delegation from the Minister of Conservation, the Regional Conservator, Canterbury, hereby vests the reserve, described in the Schedule hereto, in The Christchurch City Council in trust as a local purpose (community buildings) reserve.

Schedule

Canterbury Land District—Christchurch City

2782 square metres, more or less, being Lot 2, D.P. 26629, situated in Block VI, Christchurch Survey District. All *New Zealand Gazette*, 1989, page 5763.

Dated at Christchurch this 16th day of October 1990.

MIKE CUDDIHY, Regional Conservator.

(DOC C.O. RSL 013)

ln11743

Vesting a Reserve in The Tasman District Council

Pursuant to the Reserves Act 1977, and to a delegation from the Minister of Conservation, the Nelson/Marlborough Regional Conservator, Department of Conservation, hereby vests the reserve, described in the Schedule hereto, in The Tasman District Council, in trust for recreation purposes.

Schedule

Nelson Land District—Tasman District

6106 square metres, more or less, being Lots 4 and 5, D.P. 1067, Lot 3, D.P. 1021, part Reserve A Square 15, and part Section 200, District of Takaka, situated in Block XV,

Pakawau Survey District. All certificates of title 49/244, 66/216 and 72/241.

Dated at Nelson this 12th day of October 1990.

I. BLACK, Nelson/Marlborough Regional Conservator.

(C.O. RES:024 CU:097)

ln11568

New Zealand Railways Corporation

New Zealand Railways Corporation Act 1981

Declaring Land at Ellerslie to be Set Apart for Railway Purposes

Pursuant to sections 24 and 30 of the New Zealand Railways Corporation Act 1981 and section 52 of the Public Works Act 1981, the Chief Executive of the New Zealand Railways Corporation hereby declares the land described in the Schedule hereto to be set apart for railway purposes.

Schedule

North Auckland Land District—Auckland City

1599 square metres, more or less, being Lots 1, 2 and 3, L.T. Plan 140196 situated in Block I, Otahuhu Survey District; part proc. 2222, part conv. 34617 (D.I. 12A/628).

Dated this 18th day of October 1990.

P. K. TROTMAN, for Chief Executive, New Zealand Railways Corporation.

(N.Z.R. L.O. 3354)

ln11739

Survey and Land Information

Public Works Act 1981

Corrigendum

Declaring Land Taken for Road and for the Use, Convenience or Enjoyment of a Road in Paparua County

In the notice with the above heading, dated 13 February 1981 and published in the *New Zealand Gazette* of 19 February 1981, No. 17 at page 341 (GN 316476/1), omit from the Second Schedule thereto the following:

"3 m² Part Lot 2, D.P. 1315; marked "T" on S.O. 13403" and insert

"3 m² Part Lot 2, D.P. 13315; marked "T" on S.O. 13403"

which reference appears in the original notice signed on behalf of the Minister of Works and Development.

Dated at Christchurch this 15th day of October 1990.

R. J. MILNE, District Solicitor.

(DOSLI Ch. D.O. 35/1/62)

ln11573

Corrigendum

Land Acquired for Road and in Connection With a Road (Hayward Road) in Whangarei District

In the notice with the above heading published in the *New Zealand Gazette*, 30 August 1990, No. 150, page 3172, amend the operative clause by inserting after the words "in the

DATE: 13 August 2018
TO: Golden Bay Community Board
FROM: Penny Griffith 53 Gibbs Road, Collingwood
SUBJECT: **Walkway connection to SH60, Collingwood**

1 Background

Collingwood has one strip of properly formed and maintained walkway. It is approximately 100m long and connects the Ruataniwha Drive (subdivision) footpath with the McDonald footbridge.

It therefore provides pedestrian access to/from SH60 (Takaka-Collingwood Highway) and local roads at the "Zatori" intersection which are well used by walkers, some with dogs. Children attending Collingwood Area School also use the walkway as access.

2 The problem

See pictures (page 2); note the damage to a private driveway from uncontrolled stormwater.

There is no special provision for pedestrians in the 25-metre distance between the concrete walkway and the tarsealed roads. This gap is mainly a casually formed grassed area that is well used as a pick-up/drop-off and carpooling parking place. But it also has to provide informal open stormwater drainage, in continuation of the adjoining shaped concrete channel on SH60.

In winter there is no way for pedestrians to avoid getting wet and muddy feet, especially immediately after rain. The stormwater strip is continuously swampy, and requires a leap to get across it when stormwater is flowing. Vehicle use also creates muddy ruts in the surface.

3 Conclusion

This strategic corner, the main entry point to Collingwood, is neither adequately functional for pedestrians, nor is it attractive for residents or visitors. Pedestrians especially would benefit from improvements to this area.

4 Request

I ask the Golden Bay Community Board to consider this problem and work towards enabling improvements.

Thank you.



Penny Griffith

e: griffith.penny@gmail.com

tel: (03) 524-8112; (021) 02333-770



Takaka-Collingwood Highway at Collingwood: Junction of SH60 with local roads at "Zatori" corner, showing walkway exit area which is shared between pedestrians, carparking and stormwater.

Presentation to Community Board

August 2018

Introduction

Three points:

1. Councillors and Community Board members should be responding to ratepayers in a timely fashion
2. The Community Board needs to promote the rates rebate for low income property owners
3. The Waimea Dam is unaffordable and needs to stop now.

Communication from Councillors

On 26 July I sent an email to Paul and another to Sue as a low income ratepayer concerned about the costs of the Waimea Dam. This was the first time in my life that I have attempted to engage my own Councillors. I received a (quite inadequate) reply from Paul the next day, but at least it was a reply. I am still waiting for a reply from Sue.

In the June Community Board meeting, Sue saw fit to stand up and read a statement from the GB Goodwillers. The message I take from this, is that she is selective in who she engages with. I would like to remind Sue and Paul (and Community Board members) that you are representing all people in Golden Bay. Not just your friends, or people you like, or people you agree with, or rich people. You represent everyone, even me.

I have written to another Councillor, in a different ward and received a well-written reply within 24 hours. It is my understanding that this Councillor diligently responds to any emails sent to them. I am quite upset and disappointed that our Councillors, who serve far fewer people, cannot be bothered to acknowledge the concerns of their own constituents.

I would like to recommend that GB Councillors and Community Board members set a KPI of responding to 80% of emails and phone calls within 24 hours of receiving them, and within 48 hours for the remaining 20%. If you are away you can easily set up an 'out of office' message.

Promotion of Subsidy for Low-Income Ratepayers

When I received my latest rates demand for the new financial year, I carefully looked for information on the rates rebate for low-income property owners, as I believe I fall into this category. I recall reading something about this last year and it had piqued my interest but I didn't follow it up as I usually believe that my rates money goes to good things like libraries, service centres, rubbish collection and parks and reserves.

However, as the costs for the Waimea Dam are added to my rates bill, I find I am now paying for an unnecessary project and a huge waste of resources, that could go on far more productive things, like fixing stormwater issues. The 2017 Ratepayers report shows Tasman District has the third highest residential rates in the country and I imagine they will become much, much higher in coming years if the Waimea Dam proceeds.

There is information on the TDC website about the Rates Rebate Scheme (<http://www.tasman.govt.nz/property/rates/rates-rebate/>) but I would like the Community Board to publicise widely that this is an option for low-income property owners (up to about \$42,000). And, in my opinion, a good way to protest the increase in rates from the Waimea Dam.

(Note that while the income eligibility threshold is stated as being \$25,180, this is for the full amount of the rebate. Property owners whose income is up to \$42,000 may still be eligible for a partial rebate.)

Affordability of the Waimea Dam

All I want to say about the Waimea Dam is that it is not affordable and the project needs to be stopped before any more ratepayer money is wasted. John Palmer and the Mayor may have been blind-sided by the increase in estimated construction costs (<https://www.stuff.co.nz/business/105583793/waimea-dam-build-price-18m-over-estimate-project-cost-blows-out-by-26m>) but nobody else was. And it doesn't take a rocket scientist to know that the final invoiced amount for construction costs will be far higher again. And what about non-construction costs?

It is high time our GB Councillors voted against the foolishness of proceeding with the Waimea Dam. Instead the Council needs to explore other options with the input of the community using a process that follows best practice and is wholly open and transparent. Smart people (and I don't mean the ones at TDC as there don't appear to be any there) can come up with smart solutions.

* Disappointing that CB members didn't inform themselves, as not dead until voted against.

Recommendations

1. That GB Councillors and Community Board members set a KPI of responding to 80% of emails and phone calls within 24 hours of receiving them, and within 48 hours for the remaining 20%.
2. That the Community Board publicise widely the rates rebate option for property owners with annual incomes less than \$42,000.
3. That the GB Councillors vote against proceeding with the Waimea Dam.
4. That the GB Community Board and Councillors support Councillor Turley's idea of an independent panel to examine water supply options.

Appendix

<http://www.ratepayersreport.nz/report>

Council Data		Personnel	Financial Performance (Group)	Financial Performance (Council)	Financial Position (Group)
Type	Council	Population	Area (km ²)	Ratepayer Count	Average residential rates
		1	2	3	4
	Western Bay of Plenty District	47,800	1,951	10,581	\$3,234
	Auckland Council	1,614,400	4,938	496,950	\$3,210
	Tasman District	50,200	9,616	20,224	\$3,056
	Waikato District	9,660	3,535	2,454	\$2,927
	Manawatu District	29,800	2,567	5,440	\$2,850
	Nelson City	50,600	424	19,083	\$2,804
	Meriborough District	45,500	10,458	24,872	\$2,717

<http://www.tasman.govt.nz/property/rates/rates-rebate/>

Calculating Your Rebate

Tasman District Council administers the Rates Rebate Scheme on behalf of the Department of Internal Affairs. Rebates are calculated based on your household income, rates and the number of dependents.

- The income eligibility threshold for the 2018/2019 year is \$25,180.

However, if your household income exceeds this amount you could still be entitled to a rebate depending on the total cost of your rates and the number of dependents. For example, you might receive a lower amount of rates rebate for income up to ~\$42,000.

- The maximum rebate amount for the 2018/2019 year is \$630.

Rebates are granted under the Rates Rebate Act 1973 and you must provide income information so your rebate can be worked out.

- [Calculate your Rates Rebate Entitlement \(Department of Internal Affairs\)](#)

<https://www.stuff.co.nz/nelson-mail/news/105794706/mayor-richard-kempthorne-accused-of-scaremongering-over-nodam-claims>

2018/09/11 10:59 AM

Turley outlined some other options including fixing leaks in the system, talking with Nelson City Council and making water supply a regional issue, "low-cost" weirs along the Lee River, ponds and reservoirs along with improved rainwater harvesting and reticulation systems.

"It might be in Tasman District Council's best interests to appoint an independent professionally qualified panel to consider the options rather than continue to refer to those who are tainted with a dam culture," Turley said.

Item

Attachment 7

"The cost to irrigators to construct on farm storage - will be more than 4 times what they are contributing to the Dam"

Question Why are ratepayers subsidising a 75% discount on irrigators input
 GB Community Board Meeting 14 August 2018 - what a terrible, terrible deal for Ratepayers

Waimea Dam

A response to Dennis Bush King – GB Weekly 13 July

"If there's no Dam, there's no more residential development in Waimea and we revert to the no Dam options".

However he does not consider the alternative options.

1. Rainwater Harvesting and Greywater Recycling.

Not just tanks, large range of large volume underground storage solutions, look outside NZ. Nil cost to ratepayer as costs would be included in the purchase price, developer will save on connection charges and householder saves on water charges.

To underpin this suggestion I know that a developer was refused consent for rainwater harvesting in relation to a development in the Waimea Catchment area – he didn't want to connect to the Urban Supply. This is proof developers want to install alternative water solutions.

2. Swap between irrigation and development

Irrigation take per hectare can be significantly more than the water take for a housing development covering the same area. Even in dry periods where the irrigation take would be at 20% of the consent, the 20% can still provide for average household usage of the development. You would need to look at each proposed development on previously irrigated land to determine the water use impact.

Lower Queen St development missed a significant opportunity to include rainwater harvesting and greywater recycling in it's planning consent.

Even without Rainwater Harvesting, if the information I have been provided from WIN is correct, the development actually saves water based on the water permit for the previous irrigation on this land, even in periods of drought where water take for irrigation would drop to 20% of consent it would provide for 960 houses. Based on previous permitted take of 16,800m³ per week.

Sue Brown stated in GB Weekly 10 August:

"Likely to take 5 years to commission alternatives" This is just untrue!

Demand side options can be implemented much sooner if needs be.

The supply side – such as fixing leaks would also be much quicker.

These can stave off the increased problems the new flow requirements may present and more. It thus frees up time to develop more cost effective medium term alternatives, if they are required.

According to a number of Councillors in the Zone of Benefit, no one has complained to them about Stage 3 rationing.

Sue Brown also states

“So far the alternatives are not coming up any cheaper for ratepayers”

If Sue Brown is talking about the 4 over engineered options contained in the option appraisal, Richard Kirby is busy updating these cost to make the Waimea dam the best option again. However there are other potential options which would be cheaper than the Waimea Dam.

MWH Report presented to Council July 2015 – made a number of recommended Alternatives to the Dam for the Urban Supply.

Demand Side options which were listed in this report are also in line with what a lot of people outside TDC have been saying (see attached)

MWH said it was very important to adopt a demand side management plan.

These suggestions should have been option appraised as a package to determine the cost and the water savings, however they have been ignored or discounted without full appraisal.

Supply side options suggested

The report recommended for further investigation of a small Dam in Teapot Valley which would include a pipeline to deliver water to Waimea River via wetlands – the estimated cost at the time was \$10.5m

The report recommended against the Teapot Valley Dam option which included water treatment and related pipelines due to cost, however this more expensive option is the option the Council used in the option appraisal – rather than the cheaper one recommended by the consultants!!

The report also recommended investigating off River Harvesting – (riverside ponds) – again the report recommended the option without the expensive pipelines and treatment plants. Again the expensive option is the one included in Richard Kirby’s official option appraisal.

The MWH recommended option does have potential problems - discharge straight back to the river has water quality issues

Another option for riverside storage in the report was to direct discharge to aquifer – you would need to consider impact of mixing water direct to aquifer rather than filtration.

The MWH report included a consent assessment for these options, the summary is below:

In summary, whilst the TRMP does not specifically cater for harvesting of high flows and their release back to the water system, it is my opinion that consents should be able to be obtained, provided the adverse effects are minor (discussed below). We do not think that a plan change is necessary as there is scope in the upcoming plan change to seek changes to better enable harvesting of high flows.

Working with NCC on options for water supply

This was another option proposed, that many people outside TDC have been suggesting.

There are also other options for water supply

Reducing Irrigation

The Urban Water supply could benefit from efficiency savings in irrigators investing in water efficient irrigation methods, this was recommended in an Engineering Report circa 1991/2. We can all still see the ineffective overhead irrigation. TDC could set targets and deadlines and reduce irrigators take accordingly if they do not meet the targets. NIL Cost to ratepayer

TDC could simply reduce irrigators take in dry periods even further. No the economy wouldn't suffer unduly. But you would need to assess it. NIL Cost to ratepayer

Fixing Leaks

MWH Report 2017 Water Demand

Page 19

"The estimated current volume of leakage in the Waimea Basin scheme (after subtraction of apparent losses) – *so this is real leaks* – is expected to be over 3,000m³/Day".

And Page 26

"It was evident from the Non Revenue Water analysis that the Richmond/Waimea Area should be a priority for reduction of Non Revenue Water and particularly leakage"

Projected current Demand Gap shortfall stage 3 rationing 4,400m³ per day.

TDC can't reduce leaks to nil, but leaks can be reduced and would probably be, cost effective. You would have to repair/replace pipes anyway at some stage, so it is not **additional** money, it is a case of spending it now rather than later, the option costs would be in the main the time value of money, the cost of bringing the spend forward. If you could save half the leakage it would meet 34% of the current shortfall, in just this one measure

Leaks can also be reduced by reducing system pressure and TDC's system pressure is high according to the Water NZ website.

Ponds and Weirs

These should be reinvestigated given the current price escalation of the Waimea Dam

A Critical Point

The Waimea Dam is not even fully costed. Cyclical maintenance and decommissioning costs have been excluded. These are real future costs and should be included. Whole life costing is a requirement of the Better Business Case Guidance. Sue Brown and Paul Sangster have blocked the inclusion of whole life costs in relation to the Waimea Dam, so they know it isn't fully costed. Other options may not have this type of significant high future costs at all.

Operational Costs

The issue of looking at operational costs as well as capital costs is critical. The annual operational costs of the Waimea Dam are extremely high and rising. An update of operational costs has not been presented in Committee, for nearly a year. This is staggering. You can't consider progressing this scheme unless all costs are presented and the effect on rates clearly determined and assumptions specified.

Cost moving forward

The costs of the Waimea Dam presented on the 9th August to Committee are the latest estimate, costs will likely increase again by financial close and as the contract is not a fixed price, they will increase again on project completion. All of these additional costs will fall on the ratepayer, not the water sucking irrigators! The \$8.5m contingency in the current costings is just not enough.

The only just and sensible option for the ratepayer is to stop the Dam now!

* NELSON PINE CONSUMES approx 1,000m³ per day.
 Significant savings on this consumption would contribute ~~greatly~~
 to the Stage 3 shortfall of 4,400m³ per day



MWH

Evaluation of Options for Waimea Basin Urban Water Supplies in the Event
 Waimea Community Dam Does Not Proceed

5 Demand Side Options

The demand side options that have been selected through the initial screening process include the following:

Option ID	Description	Screening Outcome
4A	Restrictions – Council control	Investigate
4B	Restrictions – Council educate, Contract enforce	Investigate
5A	Household Water tanks \$1000 subsidy	Investigate
5B	External water use management	Shortlist
* 5C	Business water saving initiatives NP - could save	Shortlist
8A	Price increase	Shortlist
⑨	TRMP Change to encourage savings	Shortlist

used to save!!

Through the screening process, we understand that Council considered these options as a means of providing security of supply as direct alternatives to the supply side options with a view to deciding whether they should be pursued or not.

We recommend that Council change how they look at these demand side options. In a "no dam" future, the Waimea Plains are going to be water short. In a water short environment, Council need to be able to demonstrate 'wise use of water', and to demonstrate this, they need to have a robust water conservation and demand management programme in place that is able to produce quantifiable results that can be benchmarked. We therefore see these demand side options not as an alternative that Council may choose not to pursue, but a rather a 'must do' that Council needs to plan for and invest in.

We believe Council should adopt this approach because:

- In an over-allocation situation where consented allocations almost certainly will be reviewed and bona fide use will be a significant factor, Council as a water user will need to be able to demonstrate wise use of water. If they are not able to do this they may face losing allocation or more likely having conditions imposed that will force Council to manage demand. We have already seen how wise use of water can impact on the consenting processes through the Motueka Water Take Environment Court case. In this case, Council were able to demonstrate a robust demand management approach, which we believe was influential in convincing the Court that Council should be allowed the water they were asking for. We have observed similar focus on demand management in the Waikato region where over-allocation is also a significant issue before the Environment Court.
- In the "no dam" future, when rationing is applied, irrigators will be required to reduce their irrigation which will have a direct impact on their productivity and thus their livelihoods. When this occurs it is conceptually challenging to consider that it is appropriate for urban users to continue un-restricted water use to water their lawns and gardens. We therefore suggest that Council re-consider whether their level of service around avoiding water restrictions in 9 out of 10 summers is appropriate. Water restrictions in a water short area should not be seen as 'failure', rather an appropriate demand management measure to ensure equitable use of water. If the community do want this level of service, then larger scale supply side options need to be pursued.
- In the "no dam" future, Council risks reputation damage if Council is not seen to be doing their bit to conserve water by the local community, the irrigators and by central government. We note in the past that Tasman District Council's water management has been reviewed by the Office of the Auditor General⁴ and was found to be one of three Councils to be "effectively managing their drinking water supplies" (eight were reviewed). We can see that in the future, the focus on demand management will increase.

⁴ Local Authorities: Planning to meet the forecast demand for drinking water, February 2010, Office of the Auditor-General, <http://www.oag.govt.nz/2010/water/docs/oag-water.pdf>.



Evaluation of Options for Waimea Basin Urban Water Supplies in the Event
Waimea Community Dam Does Not Proceed

- While Council has been able to demonstrate a robust level of demand management in the past, we recommend that this needs increased priority, focus and investment. The deployment of water meters was a major step forward, however the bar will keep increasing and Council has only really just started their journey.
- Under the "no dam" future, Council can only accommodate about 10 years-worth of growth within current allocations and the rationing regime. Beyond that, if growth is to occur it has come either from new water from outside sources (large versions of the supply side options outlined in section 4) or through more efficient use of water. We recommend that Council use the 10 years to improve demand management to extend the time they can live with current allocations.

For these reasons, we recommend that the question around the demand side options should be more which ones should we employ rather than should they be adopted or not.

6 Recommendations

MWH recommends that in the no dam scenario, Tasman District Council:

1. Plans to increase their water demand management and water conservation programme to increase the time within which Council can live with-in current consent allocations and to demonstrate that they 'use water wisely' in a water short area.
2. Adopts the storage options provided by :
 - a. Off River Harvesting (Options 3A, 3B and 3C)
 - b. Small Dam (Options 11A and 11B).
3. Investigates in further detail how the water storage options above can be best used to benefit Council, specifically addressing whether recharge to river/aquifer can be consentable, how much recharge is needed and where is it needed to offset Council's continued abstraction to meet demand, and if recharge is not possible, what are the treatment implications to be able to use the stored water directly for supply into Council networks.
4. Considers the water that is available to Council from Nelson City Council as a short-term solution that may help through the next few years until a more robust solution is completed.
5. Considers the Spring Grove bores as a necessary upgrade for the Wakefield and Brightwater supplies, but not for Richmond and Waimea.
6. Discounts the remaining options being:
 - New Moutere Deep Bore (Options 2A and 2B)
 - Redwoods Hall Bore (Option 7A)
 - Motueka River Source (Option 10D)
 - Full Supply from NCC (Option 1B).



INTERESTS REGISTER TASMAN DISTRICT COUNCIL

This register is used to record the interests of the elected members of the Council



BACKGROUND

Elected members must make a general declaration of interest annually and as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any new interests. These declarations are recorded in a register of interests maintained by Council. The declaration must notify the Council of the nature and extent of any interest including:

- *Any employment, trade or profession carried on by the member or the member's spouse for profit or gain.*
- *Any company, trust, partnership etc for which the member or their spouse is a director, partner, trustee or beneficiary.*
- *The address of any land in which the member has a beneficial interest and which is in Tasman District.*
- *The address of any land where the landlord is the Tasman District Council and:*
 - *The member or their spouse is a tenant; or*
 - *The land is tenanted by a firm in which the member or spouse is a partner, or a company of which the member or spouse is a director, or a trust of which the member or spouse is a trustee or beneficiary.*
- *Any other matters which the public might reasonably regard as likely to influence the member's actions during the course of their duties as a member.*

Declarations of interest from elected and appointed members are available for public inspection at any time.



Mayor and Councillors

Mayor Kempthorne (last updated October 2017)

- Primary residence at 11 Hillplough Heights, Richmond
- R G & J E Kempthorne Family Trust owns:
 - Forestry block, Aniseed Valley Road, Richmond
 - Investment at First New Zealand Capital
- Estate property Eves Valley, RD 1, Brightwater
- Chairman, Tasman Regional Sports Trust
- Local Government representative on Land and Water Forum
- Ex-officio Trustee, Cawthron Institute Trust Board
- Chair Zone 5 LGNZ
- Chair South Island Strategic Alliance
- LGNZ National Council member

Jane Kempthorne (Spouse)

- Trustee, R G & J E Kempthorne Family Trust

Deputy Mayor Tim King (last updated October 2017)

- Partner, T B & J G King Farm Partnership
- Trustee, Pinegrove Trust
- Lease of 23.8 hectares from Tasman District Council at 212 Eves Valley Road (expires 2019)
- Member, Wanderers Sports Club Management Committee (*until 22 February 2018*)
- Board member, Kaiteriteri Recreation Reserve Board
- Property at 309 Eves Valley Road
- Director, Port Nelson Ltd
- Independent Director, Waimea Rural Fire Authority (*until 30 February 2018*)
- Member, Rural Fire Committee of the Fire Services Commission
- Member, NZ Institute of Directors

Gail King (Spouse)



- Partner, T B & J G King Farm Partnership

Councillor Sue Brown (last updated Nov 2017)

- Director and Shareholder (40%), Nalders Ferntown (2001) Ltd
- Trustee, Inc Society, Collingwood Health Centre
- Elected Member (Tasman / Marlborough Ward), Fonterra Shareholders Councillor
- Joint membership of Federated Farmers, through farming business - Nalders Ferntown 2001 Ltd

John Nalder (Spouse)

- Director / Shareholder, Nalders Ferntown (201) Ltd

Councillor Stuart Bryant (last updated July 2018)

- Primary residence at 4827 Motueka Valley Highway
- Owns property at 394 Main Road, Spring Grove
- Trustee, Wakefield Medical Centre
- Trustee of Bryant Family Trust (ownership of 4827 Motueka Valley Highway)

Councillor Peter Canton (last updated July 2017)

- Owner, The Cobbler Shop
- Director, Pandam Family Trust
- Joint owner of 6 properties in Motueka with Anne-Maree Canton
- Joint owner of forestry block Pangatotara with Anne-Maree Canton

Anne-Maree Canton (Spouse)

- Owner, The Cobbler Shop
- Director, Pandam Family Trust

Councillor Mark Greening (Updated March 2018)

- Director – Nelson Airport

Councillor Paul Hawkes (nothing to advise – February 2017)

Councillor Kit Maling (last updated December 2017)



- Trustee, Kit and Leanne Maling Family Trust
- Trust owns property at 261 Pugh Road, Hope (primary residence)
- Trust holds 136 shares in Waimea East Irrigation Company as part of its land holding.
- Trustee, Nelson Tasman Business Trust
- Chairman, Nelson Regional Sewage Business Unit (appointed December 2017 – previously Vice-Chairman)
- Employment – Contractor to Preferred Insurance Brokers, Palmerston North

Leanne Maling (Spouse)

- Trustee, Kit and Leanne Maling Family Trust
- Employment between February and Dec as part-time apple-grader at Hoddy's Orchard (recently bought by R G Holding)

Kit and Leanne Maling Family Trust sold land on plains 7 years ago and are about to apply for two separate titles.

Councillor Dean McNamara (last updated October 2017)

- Director, 0800 Tree Trim Ltd
- Director, Rural Courier Ltd
- Trustee, Iiyana Dee Trust
- Primary Residence, 22a Edward Street, Wakefield
- Commercial Rental, 18 Edward Street, Wakefield

Katrina McNamara (Spouse)

- Teacher, the ark preschool

Brian McNamara (Father)

- Primary Residence, 105 Whitby Road
- Section Owner, 236 Coastal Highway

Christopher Rowland (Father-in-law)

- Primary residence, 242 Higgins Road

Councillor David Ogilvie (last updated February 2017)

- Trustee, Motueka Clock Tower Trust
- Trustee, Motueka Events Charitable Trust
- Trustee, Motueka and District Museum



- Member, Keep Motueka Beautiful Committee
- Member, Motueka Historical Association Motueka RSA
- Member, Motueka Memorial Park Pavilion
- Member, Motueka Toy Library
- Primary Residence – 7 Goodman Drive, Motueka
- Liaison Person between the special Olympics, the SPCA, Motueka, Marahau Residents Association and the Abel Taman Promotions (does not vote in any)

John Ogilvie (Son)

- Employee at Abel Electric
- Member, Motueka Cricket
- Member, Motueka Football
- Member, Motueka Tennis
- Primary Residence – 17 Fry Street Motueka

Councillor Paul Sangster (last updated February 2017)

- Employee, Control Services Ltd

Councillor Trevor Tuffnell (last updated February 2017)

- Chairman, Waimea Village
- Deputy Chair, Network Tasman Trust
- Trustee, Nelson Tasman Bus Trust
- Trustee, Tasman Environmental Trust

Councillor Anne Turley (last updated November 2017)

- Primary residence – 57 Malthouse Crescent Brightwater
- Settlor and Trustee of the Turley Family Trust, owns residential properties at 22 Jenner Road and 57 Malthouse Crescent Brightwater

Councillor Dana Wensley (last updated February 2017)

- Director, Copyright Licensing – CLNZ
- Legal Representative, National Ethics Advisory Committee – Ministry of Health



- Spokesperson/Volunteer, Friends of Motueka Estuaries
- Committee Member, New Zealand Society of Authors
- Spokesperson, Freedom of Speech
- Volunteer, (PEN) NZ
- Primary Residence, 9 Langdale Drive, Richmond
- Beneficiary of Estate, 91 Motueka Quay

David McDonald (Spouse)

- Professor, University of Guelph (Canada)

Community Board Members

Motueka Community Board Chair – Brent Maru (last updated February 2017)

- Employed by the Tasman Regional Sports Trust to manage community facilities of which two are contracted from the Tasman District Council – Motueka Recreation Centre and Richmond Town Hall.

Motueka Community Board Member – Barry Dowler (last updated - July 2017)

- Trustee, Secretary and Treasurer, Good Sports Motueka Inc.
- Trustee, Motueka Clock Tower Trust
- Secretary, Golden Bay Rodders Inc.
- Owner and Certifier, Vehicle Certification Motueka Ltd
- Partner, Dowler/Horrell Partnership
- Primary Address, 250 Queen Victoria Street, Motueka
- Business Rental, 9 Monahan Street, Motueka
- House Rental, 246 Queen Victoria Street, Motueka.
- House Rental, 252 Queen Victoria Street, Motueka.
- House Rental, 26 Moutere Highway, RD2, Upper Moutere.
- House Rental 49 Moutere Highway, RD2, Upper Moutere.
- Business Rental, 25 Huffam Street, Motueka.

Marion Horrell (Spouse)

- Owner, Vehicle Certification Motueka Ltd



Motueka Community Board Member – Richard Horrell (last updated February 2017)

- Chairman, Lower Moutere Hall Committee
- President, Motueka Judo Club
- Area Director, Central Districts Judo Association
- Committee Member, Good Sports Motueka
- Main Director, Horrell Farms (Motueka) Ltd

Susan Horrell (Spouse)

- Committee Member, Kiyosato Committee

Motueka Community Board Member – Claire Hutt (last updated February 2017)

- Trustee, Vision Motueka
- Chair Person, Motueka District Toy Library
- Committee Member, Motueka Riding for the Disabled
- Trustee, Motueka Events Charitable Trust

Golden Bay Community Chair – Abbie Langford (last updated July 2017)

- Trustee, Rural Support Trust Top of the South
- Rental Property, 1802 Collingwood-Bainham Main Road.
- Primary Address – 53 McCallum Road RD1, Kotinga, Takaka

Travis Langford (Spouse)

- Shareholder, Langford Family Trust
- Shareholder, Langford Farms Ltd.
- Owner/Partner, SJ and TJ Langford
- Employee, CA and JC Langford
- Farm on Collingwood-Bainham Road

Golden Bay Community Board Member – David Gowland (last updated July 2017)

- Partner / Director, Tasman Gowland Surveyor's
- Committee Member, Pupu Hydro Society
- Secretary, NZ Axeman's Association
- Primary residence, 51 Waitapu Road, Takaka



Serena Gowland (Spouse)

- Employee, New Zealand King Salmon

Trevor Gowland

- Primary residence, 10a Feary Crescent, Takaka
- Section Owner, Parapara Beach road

Ardenne Gowland

- Section Owner, 6 Sunbelt Crescent

Golden Bay Community Board Member – Averill Grant

- Board Member, Tasman District Council

Golden Bay Community Board Member – Grant Knowles (last updated July 2017)

- Owner, Village Market
- Manager, Art Bank Takaka
- Primary Residence, 458 State Highway 60 Takaka/Collingwood, Golden Bay.
- License to operate - Village Market in the TDC owned Takaka Library Carpark (Under \$10,000 income per year)

Independent Members

Audit and Risk Subcommittee Independent Member – Graham Naylor (last updated February 2017)

- Director and Chair, Audit and Risk Committee Buller Electricity Ltd and subsidiary companies
- Director and Chair, Audit and Risk Committee Pulse Energy Alliance (LP)
- Director and Chair Audit and Risk Committee Open Polytechnic of New Zealand
- Director and Chair, Audit and Risk Committee Public Trust
- Chairman, Braemar Hospital Ltd
- ~~Director, Ngati Manawa Development Ltd Resigned 31 May 2018~~
- ~~Chairman, DGH LP Resigned 31 May 2018~~
- ~~Director, Te Whananki GP Ltd Resigned 31 May 2018~~
- ~~Director, Murupara Motors Ltd Resigned 31 May 2018~~
- Director, Bay Radiotherapy Services GP Ltd



- Chair, Midland Fees Review Committee
- Independent Member, Audit and Risk Committee Toi Ohomai Institute of Technology
- Independent Member, Audit and Risk Committee Waikato Regional Council
- Independent Member, Audit and Risk Committee Tasman District Council
- Director and Shareholder, Naylor and Associates Ltd
- Trustee and Beneficiary, Naylor Family Trust
- Receives a small pension from Deloitte
- Primary Residence 3a Pacific View Road, Papamoa

Commercial Committee Independent Member – appointment pending

Commercial Committee Independent Member – Roger Taylor (last updated February 2017)

- Chair – Board of Trustees, Nelson School of Music
- Primary Residence, 69 Higgs Road, Mapua
- Self Employed, Financial Consulting/Directorships.

Catherine Taylor (Spouse)

- Director, Nelson Airport Ltd
- Self Employed, Directorships.

Commercial Committee Independent Member – Alan Dunn (Last Updated – July 2017)

- Director, Trumpeter Consulting Ltd.
- Primary Residence – 160 Aranui Road, Mapua.
- Land Owner, 68 Admirals Way, Ruby Bay.

Nelson Regional Sewerage Business Unit Independent Member – appointment pending



Elected Members Interests Questionnaire

Councillors, Community Board Members and Appointed Members

BACKGROUND

Elected members must make a general declaration of interest annually and as soon as practicable after becoming aware of any new interests. These declarations are recorded in a register of interests maintained by Council. The declaration must notify the Council of the nature and extent of any interest including:

- Any employment, trade or profession carried on by the member or the member's spouse for profit or gain.
- Any company, trust, partnership etc for which the member or their spouse is a director, partner, trustee or beneficiary.
- The address of any land in which the member has a beneficial interest and which is in Tasman District.
- The address of any land where the landlord is the Tasman District Council and:
 - The member or their spouse is a tenant; or
 - The land is tenanted by a firm in which the member or spouse is a partner, or a company of which the member or spouse is a director, or a trust of which the member or spouse is a trustee or beneficiary.
- Any other matters which the public might reasonably regard as likely to influence the member's actions during the course of their duties as a member.

Declarations of interest from elected and appointed members are available for public inspection at any time.

Updated August 2018

1 Employment

a) Please provide details of any employment, trade or profession carried out by **you** for profit or gain.

Name of Organisation	Relationship with Organisation

b) Please provide details of any employment, trade or profession carried out by a **close family member**¹ for profit or gain.

Name of Organisation	Name of Family Member	Relationship to You	Relationship to Organisation

There is an ongoing requirement to disclose changes, as they occur.

2 Investments, Trusts and Partnerships

¹ close family members of an individual that can influence or be influenced including the individual's domestic partner and children, children of the domestic partner, and dependants of the individual or the individual's domestic partner.

- a) Please provide details of organisations (including for-profit and non-profit corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies, sole proprietorships, trusts, estates and other entities of any kind) of which **you** are a director, officer, trustee, administrator, partner, member, sole proprietor, or significant shareholder (own or control the voting of over 5% of shares outstanding) or participate in a profit-sharing arrangement, and which, to the best of your knowledge, are engaged in any financial or other business transactions of any kind (see last page for examples of transactions and details required) with Tasman District Council and/or its associated entities.

Interests in family trusts do not need to be disclosed, unless the nature of their investments in Tasman or Nelson, are greater than the public at large.

Name of Organisation	Relationship with Organisation, including ownership percentage if over 5%

- b) Please provide details of organisations (including for-profit and non-profit corporations, partnerships, limited liability companies, sole proprietorships, trusts, estates and other entities of any kind) of which a **close family member** is a director, officer, trustee, administrator, partner, member, sole proprietor, or significant shareholder (own or control the voting of over 5% of shares outstanding) or participate in a profit-sharing arrangement, and which, to the best of your knowledge, are engaged in any financial or other business transactions of any kind (see last page for examples of transactions and details required) with Tasman District Council and/or its associated entities.

Name of Organisation	Name of Family Member	Relationship to You	Relationship to Organisation

There is an ongoing requirement to disclose changes, as they occur.

3 Land

- a) Please list the address of any land in which **you** have a beneficial interest and which is in the Tasman District, including your primary residence.

Address	Nature of Interest (i.e. primary residence)

- b) Please provide the address of any land where the landlord is the Tasman District Council and **you** are a tenant, or the land is tenanted by an organisation in which you are a partner, director, member, trustee or beneficiary.

Address (where you are the tenant)

c) Please list the address of any land in which a **close family member** has a beneficial interest and which is in the Tasman District, including their primary residence, if different or additional to those already listed by you in section 3(a).

Address	Name of Family Member	Nature of Interest (i.e. primary residence)

d) Please provide the address of any land where the landlord is the Tasman District Council and a **close family member** is a tenant, or the land is tenanted by an organisation in which a close family member is a partner, director, member, trustee or beneficiary, if different or additional to those already listed by you in section 3(b).

Address	Name of Family Member	Name of Tenant Organisation	Relationship to Tenant Organisation

There is an ongoing requirement to disclose changes, as they occur.

4 Transactions

Please describe any transaction(s) (see last page for examples of transactions and details required) involving **you** or a **close family member** wherein you or your family member received goods or services free of charge or at a reduced rate from:

- a) Tasman District Council, and/or its related entities, or
- b) any person or entity that does business or is seeking to do business with Tasman District Council, and/or its related entities

There is an ongoing requirement to disclose changes, as they occur.

5 Any Other Matters

Please list any other matters which the public might reasonably regard as likely to influence your actions during the course of your duties as a member (include any club memberships and your role).

There is an ongoing requirement to disclose changes, as they occur.

If additional space is required, feel free to attach separate pages.

Please return your reply directly to the office of the Chief Executive.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Hannah Simpson by phone (DDI 03 543 8444) or email hannah.simpson@tasman.govt.nz.

Janine Dowding
Chief Executive

Printed Name

Signature

Date

Guidance Notes

Related Party Transactions

In the annual report, we are required to provide details of all related party transactions with members of the governing body and key management personnel.

This document summarises the requirements placed upon Council, Councillors and key staff. The following needs to be taken into account when considering related party transactions:

- Local Authorities (Members' Interests) Act 1968
- NZ (International Accounting Standard) IAS-24 – Related Party Disclosures
- Common Law relating to conflicts of interest

Guidance and good practice has been provided the Controller and Auditor General in the Managing Conflicts of Interest publication available from the OAG website (<http://www.oag.govt.nz/2007/conflicts-public-entities>)

Local Authorities (Members' Interests) Act 1968

This Act is administered by the Auditor-General. In October 2010, the Auditor-General published "Guidance for members of local authorities about the Local Authorities (Members' Interests) Act 1968". Below is a summary of this booklet.

Pecuniary Interests

A pecuniary interest is one that affects the Councillor in a way that could reasonably give rise to an expectation of a gain or loss of money for the Councillor concerned.

There are two underlying purposes within the Act:

- To ensure members are not affected by personal motives when they participate in local authority matters;
- In contracting situations, preventing members from using their position to obtain preferential treatment from the authority.

The Act:

- Controls the making of contracts worth more than \$25,000 (including GST) in a financial year between a member and their authority. This amount includes sub-contracts to Council's contractors.
- Prevents members from participating in matters before the authority in which they have a pecuniary interest, other than an interest in common with the public.

For the purpose of this Act, you are deemed to be interested in a transaction if it involves your domestic partner (husband, wife, civil union partner or de facto partner).

When dealing with companies you are considered interested if:

- You and your domestic partner, singly or together own 10% or more of the share in that company or a controlling company;
- You or your domestic partner are a shareholder (of the company or a controlling company) and are either the Managing Director/General Manager of the company.

Prior approval to exceed the \$25,000 limit can be sought from the Auditor-General.

If the limit is breached, a member may be disqualified from holding office. note that the restriction applies to individual Councillors and not the local authority.

Councillors are under no obligation to declare their interests unless an item regarding the interested party is discussed at a meeting. However, on a best endeavours basis, to assist Councillors in maintaining a record of the amounts involved, if an interest is declared the Finance Team will review transactions on a six-monthly basis, and notify Councillors if total transactions are nearing the limit.

Non-Pecuniary Interests – Conflicts of Interest

The question that needs to be considered, drawn from case law, is:

“Is there a real danger of bias on the part of a member of the decision-making body, in the sense that he or she might unfairly regard with favour (or disfavour) the case of a party to the issue under consideration?”

One key thing to realise is that the appearance of bias is important and not the proof of actual bias.

In instances where a conflict of interest is considered normal, expected procedure is to declare the interest, and abstain from discussion and voting.

When considering non-pecuniary interests, the relationship to others is broader and covers members of your immediate family or close friends.

Other relationships membership of other organisations, and employment should also be considered. The nature of the relationship and the nature of the transaction would have an influence on determining if a conflict of interest exists. An executive or trustee of an organisation is more likely to have a conflict of interest than an ordinary member of a club. At the same time, if a matter specifically and significantly concerns a club then all members may be deemed to have an interest.

If in doubt, it is better to declare an interest and let the Chair of the meeting determine the appropriate action.

In the situation of a non-pecuniary interest, a Councillor would not normally be subject to allegation of personal financial benefit. Instead, the validity of the Council's decision could be at risk.

NZ IFRS 24 – Related Party Disclosures

With the implementation of the New Zealand Equivalent to International Accounting Standards, the disclosure of related party transactions in the Annual Report has been expanded.

NZ IAS 24 defines related parties as

- a) *Parties related through control, including parent and subsidiary companies;*
- b) *Associates and joint ventures;*
- c) *Individuals owning an interest in the voting power that gives them significant influences (ie Councillors)*
- d) *Key management personnel*

- e) *Close family members² of related parties, and*
- f) *Other entities controlled or influenced by someone qualifying as a related party.*

NZ IAS 24 gives examples of transactions that should be disclosed.

- a) *Purchases or sales of goods (finished or unfinished);*
- b) *Entities with joint control or significant influence over the entity;*
- c) *Rendering or receiving of services;*
- d) *Leases;*
- e) *Transfers of research and development;*
- f) *Transfers under licence agreements;*
- g) *Transfers under finance arrangements (including loans and equity contributions in cash or in kind);*
- h) *Provision of guarantees or collateral; and*
- i) *Settlement of liabilities on behalf of the entity or by the entity on behalf of another party.*

If there are any transactions with a related party, a number of disclosures in the Annual Report must be made:

- The nature of the relationship
- The terms and conditions of the transaction including any security given
- The amount of the transactions and any amounts outstanding at balance date
- Details of any guarantees given or received
- If the provision for doubtful debts includes any amount for related parties, any movement in the provision for the year in regard to related parties and any related party bad debts written off.

² close family members of an individual that can influence or be influenced including the individual's domestic partner and children, children of the domestic partner, and dependants of the individual or the individual's domestic partner.

Note: transactions that occur as a part of the normal council to customer relations, such as invoiced rates, parking charges and fees, and admission fees to council services will be covered by a global statement.

Remuneration Disclosures

The disclosure of remuneration is governed by legislation and/or accounting standards.

Remuneration paid to the governing body and the Chief Executive are required to be disclosed individually.

Personnel remuneration compensation of key management must be disclosed in total by category (short-term employee benefits, post-employment benefits, other long-term benefits and termination benefits).

TDC-related Parties

For the purposes of the Annual Report disclosure, the following are considered to be related parties:

Controlled entities:	
Other CCOs:	Port Nelson Ltd Nelson Airport Ltd Tasman Bays Heritage Trust NZ Local Government Insurance Corporation (Civic Assurance) NZ Local Government Funding Agency Ltd
Governing body:	The Mayor and Councillors
Key management personnel:	Chief Executive Corporate Services Manager Engineering Services Manager Environment and Planning Manager

	Community Development Manager Human Resources Manager Property Services Manager
Community Board Members	

Staff with Secondary Employment, Staff with Management/Governance Role in Entities Contracting with Nelson City Council, Tasman District Council or a Council CCO

This section in the Interests Register is to record secondary employment or staff with management/governance roles in entities contracting with Nelson City Council, Tasman District or a Council CCO, and other arrangements that could be perceived as giving rise to a conflict of interest.

Staff should read and understand the Council's "Staff Conflict of Interest Policy".

The register will be updated six monthly.

Emma Gee

From: Martine Baanvinger <martine@luminatfestival.co.nz>
Sent: Sunday, 19 August 2018 4:36 p.m.
To: Abbie Langford; Grant Knowles; Golden Bay Community Board; averillgrant@hotmail.com; David Gowland; Sue Brown; Paul Sangster
Cc: rita luminate; Jules Luminate; adriana kiss; gayle vols
Subject: Golden Bay Community Bard meeting with Luminate Festival Management team

Hello Golden Bay Community Board members,

On request of the Golden Bay Community Board, Luminate Festival management team would like to meet with you in the week to come.

Our proposed date and time would be: Thursday 23rd August at 12 noon at the Takaka Library meeting room.

Please confirm this date and time asap.

Warm regards,

--

Martine Baanvinger
Luminate Festival Management Team
022-6523078

Emma Gee

From: Graham Rimmer
Sent: Tuesday, 21 August 2018 12:03 p.m.
To: Golden Bay Community Board; Reception Takaka
Cc: Beth Catley
Subject: Four Winds Pump Station and Rising main - Construction start update

Follow Up Flag: Follow up
Flag Status: Flagged

Good morning

Just an update on the four winds waste water pump station.

The construction start date is 10th September now. This delay is due to other commitments and weather.

We posted out 360 letters to the residents explaining the project and a start date, but unfortunately 160 letters came back, as the 160 residents either have not registered with the post people or have a PO box, which we do not know about.

We have also advertised on the TDC website, the Golden Bay weekly, Newsline and the Takaka notice board on facebook.

We will also be installing for the first month a VMS board (visual message sign) which will be situated on Abel Tasman Drive.

If you have any issues please get in contact

Many Thanks

Graham

Graham Rimmer
 Project Manager
 DDI 03 543 8631 | Graham.Rimmer@tasman.govt.nz
 Private Bag 4, Richmond 7050, NZ



This e-mail message and any attached files may contain confidential information, and may be subject to legal professional privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete.

From: Graham Rimmer
Sent: Wednesday, 25 July 2018 12:24 p.m.
To: Golden Bay Community Board <GoldenBayCommunityBoard@tasman.govt.nz>; Reception Takaka <Reception.Takaka@tasman.govt.nz>
Subject: Four Winds Pump Station and Rising main - Construction start

Good Afternoon

As you may be aware I have taken over from Jenny Callaghan as the Project Manager for the Four Winds Pump Station and Rising Main

We are about to start construction on this pump station and rising main next to the Totally Roasted Café

The contract for this work has been awarded to Donaldson Civil Ltd and construction is expected to start on 27 August 2018 and finish by March 2019

We appreciate that these works may be disruptive and that those closest to the work may experience traffic and noise disruption. To minimise disruption, there will be no pipeline construction in December 2018 and January 2019, and no pump station construction between 14 December 2018 and 18 January 2019. For other time lines click on the link below.

All details can be found on the Tasman District Council's web page. [Click here](#)

Any questions please give me a call.

Many thanks

Emma Gee

From: Melanie Ellis
Sent: Monday, 27 August 2018 12:39 p.m.
To: Councillors; Susan Edwards; Golden Bay Community Board
Subject: Community Awards Ceremony Invitation

Kia ora,

You are invited to the 2018 Community Awards Ceremony:

Date: Tuesday 11 September 2018
Time: 2:00pm
Where: Golden Bay Office (78 Commercial Street, Takaka)

Please RSVP by 4 September (catering and seating purposes only).

Thank you very much.

Noho ora mai ra

Melanie

Melanie Ellis
Administration Officer - Community Development
DDI 03 543 7203 | Melanie.Ellis@tasman.govt.nz
Private Bag 4, Richmond 7050, NZ



This e-mail message and any attached files may contain confidential information, and may be subject to legal professional privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete.

Emma Gee

From: Ratepayers and Residents <ratepayersandresidents@gmail.com>
Sent: Monday, 27 August 2018 6:10 p.m.
To: ratepayersandresidents
Cc: chairperson.bgwca@gmail.com; secretary.bgwca@gmail.com; Sue Rewcastle; ourmapua@gmail.com; Marahau Sandy Bay Ratepayers and Residents Association; secretary@mhra.org.nz; Motueka Valley Association; Murchison and Districts Community Council; Brent Higgins; Tim Leyland; Tony Pearson Tasman Area Community; sonia@allaccounts.co.nz; Golden Bay Community Board
Subject: 20180827 Inaugural Conference of the Ratepayers & Residents Association of NZ Inc @ Nelson : Saturday 10 & Sunday 11 November 2018

Monday 27th of August 2018

Kia ora to ratepayers and residents and to elected representatives in the Tasman District

Invitation.

There is now a growing level of interest in the establishment of a National Ratepayer organisation with a charter and a mandate to represent the interests of the ratepaying community at every decision-making level. By ratepaying community we mean those who own houses and pay rates, and those who live in houses and pay rent that includes rates. We do not mean property developers, real estate firms, lawyers, money lenders, politicians or anyone else whose primary line of business is to exploit the ratepaying community.

There is, today, NO co-ordinated ratepayer voice in Aotearoa. There is a taxpayers union that has come into existence to hold the taxers to account. They have begun to raise a voice against the excesses of some councils, having realised that taxes such as GST and income tax are only a part of the story, and for many, especially the elderly, a small and diminishing part of the story. So here's the deal:

A conference will be held in Nelson on 10/11 November 2018. You and everyone you know is invited to participate. You need a break and there is no better place than Nelson to have one.

A draft agenda is appended at the end. It will change considerably before the date. The purpose of this conference is to establish a national ratepayer organisation which will represent the interests of ratepayers in negotiations with central government and the various statutory and other bodies that make decisions that affect ratepayers. At present there are at least three bodies that make decisions that affect ratepayers and they do this without any input from ratepayers themselves. Proposals are afoot to enable councils to raise additional revenues by way of new fees and taxes, and ratepayers are having no say in these discussions at all. There is an urgent need to counterbalance the pressure from vested interests and the bureaucracy, who are always looking for new ways to lay hands on and spend your money.

Every ratepayer group in the country is encouraged to participate, and it would be excellent if groups that have a good working relationship with their local council

were to participate, as well as those who are having difficulties.. The objective is to form a body that takes a rational balanced view but which ensures that the voice of this country's ratepayers is heard and heeded by decision makers and policy makers at all levels.

The meeting will be over two days. There will be some guest speakers, and there will be breakout sessions where groups with particular interests or expertise will be encouraged to bring recommendations to the conference.

Anyone who would like to be involved or who would like to make suggestions about content, format, goals, is most welcome to do so.

If you are interested please reply in the first instance to brucerogan2017@gmail.com. A specific email address and website will be established in the near future, and you will be advised of that. A very favourable rate has been negotiated with Ocean Lodge, and if you contact them they will find you accommodation at that same rate even if all their rooms are taken.

Please tell as many people as you can about this initiative. The National Ratepayer Body needs to have enough members to make central government listen and take heed. Nelson has been chosen because it is central, it is a wonderful place to visit, and its ratepayers' association are very enthusiastic about hosting the event

DRAFT Run Sheet (go to : www.ratepayersandresidents.org.nz to check for updates)

Inaugural Conference of the Ratepayers & Residents Association of NZ Inc		
Saturday 10 & Sunday 11 November 2018		
Ocean Lodge, 33 Beach Rd, Tahunanui, Nelson		
Contact Name:	Mob:	Email:
John Walker	0274 432 525	john@jwauctions.co.nz
Bruce Rogan	021 081 801 62	brucerogan2017@gmail.com

Run Plan –

TIME	EVENT
FRIDAY	
6pm – 8pm	Early bird registration @ Ocean Lodge
SATURDAY	
8am – 9am	

	Registration
9am – 10am	Welcome, housekeeping; procedure, objectives and format of conference
10am – 10.30am	Morning Tea
10.30am – 11.30am	Dr Catherine Strong – Guest Speaker introduced by Kerry Neal; Q&A to follow her talk
11.30am – 12.30pm	First breakout session
12.30pm – 1.30pm	Lunch
1.30pm – 2pm	Second Guest speaker
2pm-3pm	Second breakout session
3pm – 3.30pm	Afternoon Tea
3.30pm – 4.30pm	Plenary session report back on workshops
4.30pm	Close for the Day
4.30pm – 5.30pm	Bar Open

6pm onwards	Dinner @ Ocean Lodge; Raffles drawn, Auction
7pm- 7:20pm	Dinner speaker
SUNDAY	
9am – 10.30am	Adoption of Constitution
10.30am -11am	Morning Tea
11am – 11.30	Election of Office-bearers
11.30am – 12pm	Official Close of Conference
12pm – 1pm	Lunch
1pm – 3pm	Workshops/Future Planning
3pm-4pm	Prepare communique and press releases, Close

Please check to see if your organisation is listed on the www.ratepayersandresidents.org.nz website, and let us know by if you'd like to add/update or change anything: ratepayersandresidents@gmail.com in order that we be able to communicate and co-ordinate with each other to combine our energies to more effectively achieve the outcomes we seek.



Golden Bay Community Board

tasman district council

22 August 2018

Sacha Horton
C/- Living Wood Fair

Dear Sacha

Living Wood Fair

The Living Wood Fair is a wonderful initiative that has been created in Golden Bay and potentially has an impact for the rest of the nation. The fair showcases all aspects of the importance of trees. The Golden Bay Community Board fully support the project this year and in the long term. Last year there was support and funding from Tasman District Council and support from the Ministry for Primary Industries. Several of the Golden Bay Community Board members personally attended the fair in 2018 and were impressed at the diverse range of services, information and the level of professional standards.

Any funding to help this project fulfil their objectives would bring so much to a wide community of people involved in all aspects of wood and trees, be it raw products or value-added products, education and sustainability.

Yours sincerely

A handwritten signature in dark ink that reads "Abbie Langford". The signature is fluid and cursive.

Abbie Langford
Chair
Golden Bay Community Board

Golden Bay Community Board
C/- Tasman District Council
PO Box 74
Takaka 7142

Chair Abbie Langford: abbie.langford22@gmail.com
Secretary Laura Page: emma.gee@tasman.govt.nz

Emma Gee

From: Emma Gee
Sent: Thursday, 30 August 2018 9:40 a.m.
To: 'info@lgc.govt.nz'
Cc: Abbie Langford
Subject: Golden Bay Community Board

Good Morning

The Golden Bay Community Board received a presentation from Golden Bay resident Tony Lawton at the August meeting. Mr Lawton is part of a local working group looking at the option of a Golden Bay Local Board

The Board had a discussion and had the opportunity to ask Mr Lawton questions. Mr Lawton told the Board that a Local Board would enable more decision making in Golden Bay on local issues. The Board resolved to invite a Local Government Commission Representative to present to the Board on Local Board community representations and to liaise with the working group to run a community session.

I apologise for the late notice but the Agenda for the 11th September Board meeting closes today, although the October meeting is planned for the 9th if you have a representative that may be able to attend.

Kind Regards

Emma

Emma Gee
Team Leader Customer Services - Takaka
DDI (03) 525 0054 | Emma.Gee@tasman.govt.nz
PO Box 74, Takaka 7142, NZ



This e-mail message and any attached files may contain confidential information, and may be subject to legal professional privilege. If you are not the intended recipient, please delete.