

I hereby give notice that an ordinary meeting of the Golden Bay Community Board will be held on:

Date: Tuesday 8 December 2020
Time: 9.30am
Meeting Room: Collingwood Firestation, Tasman
Venue: Street, Collingwood

Golden Bay Community Board

ATTACHMENTS

ITEM	PAGE
Correspondence Report	
1. GB Weekly Invoice.....	3
2. A Thompson	5
3. B Collings.....	7
4. D Pearson.....	9
5. L Jarrett.....	13
6. Freedom Camping Submission	19
7. PRCA Presentation (N Lloyd).....	21
8. PRCA Presentation (L Jarrett).....	43
9. GBSRF Accountability Form	89
10. D Pearson.....	93
11. J Win.....	97
12. D Pearson.....	99
13. R Robilliard	103
14. Big Brothers Big Sisters Accountability Form	105
15. M Allen.....	109



TAX INVOICE

GB COMMUNITY BOARD
C/- TDC
Commercial Street
Takaka 7110

Invoice Date
31 Oct 2020

Invoice Number
INV-8634

GST Number
122-760-480

Mohua Media Limited
T/A The Golden Bay
Weekly
PO Box 156
Takaka 7142
E: admin@gbweekly.co.nz
W: www.gbweekly.co.nz
P: 027 525 8679

PO 430981

Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Amount NZD
Classified advert 30 October - Community Board change of venue - Jess McAlinden	21.00	0.48	10.04
		Subtotal	10.04
		TOTAL GST 15%	1.51
		TOTAL NZD	11.55

Due Date: 20 Nov 2020

Direct Credit payment to: Kiwibank 38-9018-0707902-00

Important notice:

As a customer of Kiwibank, we will no longer be able to accept cheques from 28 February 2020. Please talk to us about other payment options or if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Payments by cash may also be left at our agents:
Paradise Entertainment, Commercial St, Takaka or
On The Spot, Tasman St, Collingwood.

Payment due 20th of month following invoice



PAYMENT ADVICE

To: Mohua Media Limited
T/A The Golden Bay Weekly
PO Box 156
Takaka 7142
E: admin@gbweekly.co.nz
W: www.gbweekly.co.nz
P: 027 525 8679

Customer GB COMMUNITY BOARD
Invoice Number INV-8634


Amount Due **11.55**
Due Date 20 Nov 2020


Amount Enclosed

Enter the amount you are paying above

2/2

Anne Thomason 10/11/2020





1/2
Anne Thompson 10/11/2020

GB Community Board Meeting Pakawau 10th November 2020 bruce.collings@xtra.co.nz

Last Wednesday I attended the Bainham Rural Women's Christmas fund raising event. Although it was absolutely chucking it down with rain the hall was packed and it reminded me of an event 16 years ago when it was also chucking it down with rain.

The event was this, anybody know what it was? It was the reopening of the Salisbury footbridge, (on June 26th 2004) which used to be one of the most popular tourist attractions in this part of the Bay. It is one of three tourist attractions that we have lost from this part of the Bay in recent years, the other two being The Naked Possum and the Farewell Spit Café.

The TDC had no interest in rebuilding the Salisbury Footbridge, when it got washed away in 2010, and now we are so deep in debt, there is no chance the TDC would ever consider reinstating it.

However, we have entered what's being called a "new normal" and money is being created for all sorts of projects that previously would not have received funding. I suggest this might be an excellent time to try to reinstate this historic attraction,

Last week I heard on the news that our newly reelected Tasman-West Coast MP, Cabinet member, Minister of Agriculture and Minister for Rural Communities has found another \$3 Million for Wanaka as part of the Government's Jobs for Nature programme, which is "creating nature-based jobs to benefit the environment and support the economic recovery following the COVID-19 pandemic."

As Minister for Rural Communities Damien's responsibilities include "ensuring that rural communities can thrive in the face of emerging challenges and support the economic recovery following the COVID-19 pandemic."

The bridge has been there, on and off with repairs and rebuilds, since 1887, that's 133 years! Back then it cost £220, now about \$450. It would be a bit more expensive to replace now but there has never been a better time to beg for funds to replace this wonderful, historic construction. Strike while the iron is hot!

In these times when we need to encourage domestic visitors, having yet another reason for people to visit the Bay would be good for all the Bay's businesses. From his website, Damien boasts "Having a seat at the Cabinet table means I can advocate for my constituents better than anyone"

My proposal: if the Community Board is in favour; I will try to find out a rough costing for the bridge's replacement and draft a letter, from the Community Board to Damien, our Minister for Rural Communities requesting a central government grant, not a loan, for its reinstatement.

This could be followed up by local bodies and associations like Golden Bay Promotion Association, Rural Women, P.C.R.A., et al, communicating their support. What do you think?

Full history of the Salisbury Bridge is here:

<http://www.theprow.org.nz/enterprise/salisbury-footbridge/#.X6hvgWgzaUk>

Bruce Collings
10/11/2020

MOHUA GOLDEN BAY 2042

a Sustainability Strategy for Golden Bay

Background

We can all agree we want a healthy, sustainable future here in Golden Bay, but what exactly does that look like and what needs to be done to make that happen?

The best way to experience the shared future we want as a community is to clarify it and then work towards it together. Ideally we are all pulling towards a shared vision, a shared strategy and a community-lead action plan to get there.

A core group from our community are helping make this happen. The seed was planted at the Mohua 2040 Adaptability Forum in Oct 2019. The forum generated lots of great ideas, lots of great discussion – but there was a need for a coordinated plan and agreement on a common vision. The community-lead Golden Bay sustainability strategy was born: **Mohua Golden Bay 2042**

Why a Sustainability Strategy?

With pressing issues such as climate change, and water quality, each of us has a part to play in living more sustainably on the earth. If we work together as a community our positive impact is so much larger than any one of us can achieve alone. A strategy and action plan is a good way to achieve that cohesion.

We see that what's needed is a positive, clear vision to cut through all the confusion and inspire people to take action together.

This may seem simple and perhaps idealistic, but we applied this approach to the cycle way issue five years ago. We came in at a time when spirits were low and an injection of energy was needed. We decided to build upon the body of work that had been done by our community, and combine it with the intent to nurture positive relationships with all stakeholders, especially council, along the way.

The cycleway results speak for themselves. And now we feel we can apply this approach on a larger scale.

We believe developing, and showcasing, our sustainable way in Golden Bay can create a positive ripple effect. Golden Bay is well placed to be a shining example of sustainable practices in action – an exemplar for the world. We are a relatively small, engaged community, with a strong ethic of caring for each other and the earth. We are surrounded by beautiful wild spaces and natural wonders. We already have some good initiatives in place.

What will the Strategy look like?

Mohua Golden Bay 2042 will be community-led, engaging with the different facets of our community in all its diversity. The Strategy will articulate our shared vision for the future. It will celebrate what we are doing well that supports reaching our vision, and it will identify where our gaps are, and what actions we want to initiate to fill those gaps. Already the engagement process has unveiled a breadth of community-led projects that support a sustainable future. It's inspiring!

We envisage a list of around 10 key projects that will spearhead the strategy action plan. Some of these will already be in train, others may be filling gaps that are identified by the community.

To help focus our thinking and to help structure the strategy, we have organised the action areas into broad categories. You will find the categories depicted on the arms of the beautiful tree you see on the following page. The elements under each of these categories is guided by a category specific vision. So for instance the category "Built Environment" has the following guiding statement

Good quality, healthy affordable homes for all residents. Eco-villages and co-housing initiatives. Well-developed infrastructure that utilizes sustainable technology. Safe roads and interconnected cycle and walkways. People focused townships.

These guiding statements have been formulated from the community input we have received so far.

How will we engage with the Community?

Despite COVID disruptions we have continued to receive community input. To date the core group has

- (1) reviewed the ideas and input from the Adaptability Forum
- (2) run a facilitated workshop at the Sustainable GB Hui at the Sustainable Living Centre
- (3) provided an online tool for public input called “Padlet”. The tool provides structured prompts for the community to add their thoughts or to support others ideas.
- (4) run a stall at the Village Market where folk could share their thoughts by adding sticky notes to the category areas or brainstorm with us solutions under the categories. The stall was well received and generated some interesting (and sometimes protracted!) conversations.
- (5) reviewed other sustainability strategies and overarching strategies for our region such as Te Taihū Intergenerational Strategy, GB Strategy 2022 compiled by the Work Centre, the draft Nelson/Tasman Climate Change Strategy, and Tasman District Council Vision.
- (6) reviewed/engaged with key projects in Golden Bay including Project Mohua, Farming 2030, Cycle Infrastructure projects, Biological Farming network, Sustainable Living Centre, Mill processing proposal, Mohua Ventures Cohousing Development, Climate Love, MR WERP. This work will continue as there are many more great initiatives underway.

We are now looking to engage in a more targeted way with key sectors of the community. We are planning an invited forum for Nov 24th that will bring a diverse selection of people from our community together to firm up the vision, to complete the capture of what we have and what we need, and to agree how we want to prioritise our community effort through projects and initiatives.

Our progress so far

We have taken the input from the community so far and distilled it into the following vision:

<p style="text-align: center;">OUR VISION</p> <p style="text-align: center;">Thriving Community – Healthy Environment</p> <p style="text-align: center;">OUR VISION STATEMENT</p> <p>Our vision is for a flourishing community that is adaptable, caring and inclusive. We work in concert with nature to preserve and improve our environment. Our sustainable approach inspires others</p>
--

The Categories

Thanks to the input to date, already many of the categories are populated with what initiatives we have in place that support the vision and what we need. These lists and category visions will be enhanced by the community input at the planned forum end Nov.

The tree on the following page gives an artistic depiction of the categories we are using in the strategy.



16.11.20
L Jarrett

FOR COMMUNITY BOARD

Introduction: My name is Laurie Jarrett, I am the immediate past president of the "Pakawau Community Residents Association" and now lead a sub-committee dealing with the ongoing erosion issues effecting the coastal village of Pakawau. I am here today to acquaint you with some of the facts and ask you for your help.

A brief history:

In 1959 – 60 The local body approved and signed off on 2 subdivisions along the coastline at Pakawau. Prior to that time erosion was present during spasmodic weather events to the extent that the road north of Totara Avenue was washed out many times and moved inland at least once so erosion at Pakawau was evident even as early as the beginning of the 20th century. Council of the day claimed a chain (20 metres) of ground seaward of the surveyed sections for an esplanade reserve and this has now eroded down to a metre in some places. When the sections were sold, the Council of the day issued consents to build homes along the beach front and approved the construction of the existing homes on those sections. During the various builds Council staff did their inspections of the homes and associated infrastructure, sewerage and storm water etc. On completion of the individual builds, council effected the final inspections and duly signed off each property as up to standard and fit for habitation. All this well before the RMA 1991 and the NZCPS 2010 and a multitude of other council policies. Since then, about 60 years, each property owner has paid rates annually, which currently is almost \$2,000.00 a year.

Easter 2012, a savage storm carved metres from the esplanade reserve. Approach was made to Council but as Council was defending against Sustainable Ventures in the environment court they would not accept a consent application. I was told, we will get back to you in due course (Roz Squires), but this did not eventuate. Incidentally in that case the environment court found, and I quote:

The Court noted that even more significant issues arose when considering the altered character and effects of the coast care conditions as opposed to SVL's proposal. SVL's proposal to maintain and eventually upgrade the existing rock wall effectively "holds the line" of the coast along the line of the existing rock wall at the front of SVL's site, whereas the coast care option would likely lead to an eventual retreat of the existing coastline. Such an outcome would have significant implications for other property owners along the Pakawau sea frontage. In particular, the Court found that the efficacy of neighbouring rock wall structures protecting other properties along the coast would be compromised if SVL implemented the coast care conditions, rather than maintaining and upgrading its rock wall. End of quote.

Easter 2014, another tail end of a cyclone wreaked havoc on the coastal settlement tearing out trees, flax and various shrubs etc and moving the erosion scarp closer to the property boundaries. A further approach was made to Council and as a result an endless series of public meetings, pleading correspondence from residents etc ensued but no action until Council implemented a sand push-up just prior to Christmas 2014. That served to make the disaster appear in a better light for Christmas visitors.

Again Easter 2015, a further storm event lashed the coast causing extensive erosion and advancing the erosion scarp even closer to properties. Approaches to Council were obviously futile, they produced nothing but talk fests hollow promises and misleading advice.

On the 7th of May 2015, under the guidance of the late Warwick Heal and advice from Lindsay McKenzie (the previous CEO) The Pakawau Community Residents Association was formed and currently boasts nearly 130 members.

September 2015, one of the most devastating storms you could imagine took the erosion scarp to within a metre of property boundaries in some cases, and the best reaction available was a further sand push-up, the very system that allowed this to progress to the state it is now.

Prior to Christmas 2015 a further sand push-up, once again made the situation look better for visitors, a sort of feel good operation if you will. The life span of a sand push-up ranges from 4 days (approx. \$5,000.00) to about 3 months tops. It lasts as long as no wave action attacks on spring tides. As soon as water gets to sand, it collapses and travels off north in the littoral drift. Ask the kids building sandcastles.

This account of proceedings is as brief as I can make it but I am happy to elaborate and further explain if required.

As a direct result of Council's entrenched desire to pile loose sand against the scarp, the local residents now have a further trial to endure. I refer to 6 years of wind-blown sand being whipped off the push-up by sea breezes and driven onto the lawns, smothering cherished gardens, and infiltrating into the homes. Even one new home with the latest coastal rated aluminium joinery typically will have sand over the bench, polished floors and in the furniture, it gets everywhere. This may be a concept very hard to comprehend unless you actually experience it, to see the ranch slider tracks so full of sand it is hard to push them open enough to get outside. Can you imagine the life span of the rollers and seals etc? Can you imagine the cost of continually having to have these repaired? More importantly, can you imagine the health issues here? The sand is but ground up rock/gravel from the Aorere catchment and is high in silica, this can well cause respiratory issues like silicosis and emphysema. Would you put up with that for 6 years?

Peace of mind and a lack of unnecessary stress is a great recipe for a long and happy life, can you imagine the stress involved, you are aware loose sand is the only barrier between you and disaster, a raging storm is hammering on the beach front just a few metres from your home, with each wave that lands, your house shakes and when each wave recedes, some more sand recedes with it. These residents do not deserve this sort of treatment from a body that is legislated to "Protect Communities" (Local Government Act). Recent discussions with council revealed: **If the erosion gets to the sewerage tanks and associated soak areas, we will disconnect the sewerage from the homes. And again If beach access becomes a health and safety issue we will block all access across the reserve.** That is not only despicable and unethical but downright unhelpful and I am struggling to ascertain if it is Elder Abuse or just straight out Bullying, or both, I will need to take advice before deciding whether or not to advance this to the appropriate authorities. Due to practical options being removed, these people have had sand push-ups forced on them as an alternative erosion protection, it is an inappropriate response. It may well be sufficient in some areas, just not at Pakawau. This coastal community needs a system that will hold the line in storm events, it is too late to start action once the storm is in progress. No good shutting the gate after the cows have escaped.

PCRA tried, in good faith, to gain a resource consent and spent \$50,000.00 on expert advice and reports. In spite of a Memorandum of Understanding with Council, basically agreeing that Council would not refuse permission to undertake work on Council land, This same Council, author of the MOU, spent \$150,000.00 of rate payers money opposing this application. This has diminished any trust PCRA had in Council processes and integrity and will not attempt that again without written and binding quotes on costs. On the surface some Council staff have been seen to be most helpful but there is a massive difference in being helpful and pretending to be helpful. If the help was genuine, we wouldn't be here would we. Council are legally entitled and indeed required to protect their esplanade reserve with what-ever method they think fit and have chosen to push loose sand up against the scarp as opposed to the permanent method of a rock wall, as is applied to Council owned assets. If sand and grass was both cost effective and fit for purpose, then why do Council not use it to protect their own assets? Why is the aged defenceless community of Pakawau being singled out for this treatment?

One "fall back on" document that is quoted in most meetings is the "New Zealand Coastal Policy Statement 2010" This is a comprehensive document being a guide for local body decision making and does not necessarily prevent or make it mandatory to protect foreshores with hard engineered structures. Incidentally, the environment court recently ruled (Auckland Council) Quote: The NZCPS 2010 holds very little weight if a proposed structure is above MHWS. End quote. Just to put that aside for a moment, Policy 27 (3) & (4) are significant and I quote:

Para (3) **Where hard protection structures are considered to be necessary, ensure that the form and location of any structures are designed to minimise adverse effects on the coastal environment.** End quote

Note: Environment includes communities, people and structures.

Quote:

Para (4) **Hard protection structures, where considered necessary to protect private assets, should not be located on public land if there is no significant public or environmental benefit in doing so.** End quote

Now let's look at this, Para 3, it has been proven beyond a shadow of a doubt that hard protection is the only satisfactory, long term affordable method of protecting these homes at this location. Just look at the camp and most of Council's assets and make the comparison with the area in question.

Now to section 4, Quote: **No significant public or environmental benefit in doing so.** End quote. These residents are the public, in the eyes of the NZ Police they are members of the public, they use public libraries, use & pay for public roads and pay taxes and rates etc which are levied on the public of NZ. Quote: **The environmental benefit,** End quote. the RMA defines the environment clearly and includes, people, communities and all structures.

These sections have been strategically ignored in the decision making connected with this particular issue.

The RMA is not the devilish, one sided document we have been led to believe, at least not the part we have been saddled with, the massive problems we have come up against is Council's selective interpretation of the legislation to fit it's agendas.

In conclusion: Niwa are predicting several cyclones will be active in the pacific this summer and if Pakawau is left unprotected there will surely be severe damage to homes and property should this eventuate. We are not asking for money, all costs will be borne by the property owners and all that is asked of Council is permission to protect their esplanade. As of legal right, council can protect it's reserve by whatever method it sees fit. The method used is largely up to council but protect it they must, it is in the reserves act and 2 legal opinions confirm this. Council's policy of managed retreat (Susan Edwards), or do nothing, is not an option. The rate payers of Tasman District do not pay 22 million dollars annually in salaries to have council **'Do Nothing'** Please help us get this sorted now, it has been 8 years now and we are no further ahead but a bit wiser and a lot poorer. We are not building the channel tunnel or the Hoover dam we only want to build a simple retaining wall above mean high water springs. All Council have to do, is process a consent under staff delegated authority, just as was done for the push-ups, then email us and request we protect the reserve and it will be done to the highest standard and at no cost to Council.

.Thank-you, is there anything unclear you may like me to explain more fully?

Laurie Jarrett JP (retired) QBE. hons

10 November 2020

Tasman District Council

Freedom Camping Bylaw 2017 Amendments

Kia ora

The Golden Bay Community Board support the proposed changes to the Freedom Camping Bylaw 2017.

We recommend to the Regulatory Committee:

- That limits be placed on the number of sites available due to the risks posed by large groups in close proximity to one another.
- That the proposed Rototai site be fully fenced to limit access to the adjoining estuary
- Ensure adequate compliance monitoring of freedom campers at the site

The Board also requests increased compliance and monitoring at the designated Self Contained only Motupipi Carpark camping area.

Ngā mihi,



Abbie Langford
Chair

Golden Bay Community Board

Retreat or Defend: Pakawau Response to Coastal Erosion

.....On 29 April 2019 the independent Hearing Commissioner appointed by the Tasman District Council refused the application of the Pakawau Community Residents Association (PCRA) for various resource consents to construct and use a rock wall on public land at Pakawau, Golden Bay, to protect private properties against coastal erosion....



Coastal Erosion is not a new Phenomena




1901: Marram Grass planting to combat erosion

1912 and 1940s: Washout Recorded and Public Works Department tasked with erosion control and coastal protection

Risk aversion and costs make this an expensive and complicated legal situation no one in authority is prepared to solve..



A Brief History....




1959-60: 33 Sections

1971: 8 Sections

2007: 7 Sections

Goodbye Esplanade Reserve



The Issue



Between
Rocks and a..

..... Soft Place



**TDC policy is for Managed Retreat and not allow our community to
implement Defend measures in line with Environmental Court
findings**

The Response



Actions taken to date contradict the TDC Coastal Activity Plan.

- **Pakawau response fails to meet their declared Levels of Service (Protection, Safety and Amenity)**
- **Short Term actions are counter to long term Climate Change solutions (It will only get worse)**
- **Focus only on Coastal Protection of TDC Assets**

The Result



**Next Day After
Push Up**



**And the Day
After**



30 Days Later

Consequences



TDC not giving honest consideration to any privately funded construction of shoreline property unless taken to costly Environmental Court

TDC using evolving Climate Change Policies and Legislation to avoid / stall/ delay / confuse / ignore decisions that need immediate and lasting solutions


Expectations

**TDC is about meeting needs
of Customers and
Stakeholders.**

**This means they can do
better in terms of
understanding expectations
and preferences to meet
community needs, engage
and inform, review, be open
minded and acknowledge
community concerns**



Pakawau Considerations



Coastal Environment includes
Communities, People and all
Structures (Homes)

TDC is using Hard Protection for
their own Assets

Residents are the Public

Selective Legislative Interpretation
by the TDC


The Pakawau Solution

NIWA predicts future cyclone activity and as Pakawau remains unprotected and vulnerable, our homes and property are at risk

Property Owners ready to fund Hard Protection options

TDC needs to implement a way to protect the Esplanade

Managed Retreat or Do Nothing are not viable options



A Plan for Pakawau: Top of the Top of the South



Tasman Vision

Vision: Thriving Communities Enjoying The Tasman Lifestyle

Mission: To Enhance Community Well-Being And Quality Of Life



Our unique natural environment is healthy and protected.

Our urban and rural environments are people-friendly, well-planned and sustainably managed.

Our infrastructure is efficient, cost effective and meets current and future needs.

Our communities are healthy, safe, inclusive and resilient.

Our communities have opportunities to celebrate and explore their heritage, identity and creativity.

Our communities have access to a range of social, educational and recreational facilities and activities.

Our Council provides leadership and fosters partnerships, a regional perspective and community engagement.

Our region is supported by an innovative and sustainable economy.

Golden Bay Vision: Top of the Top of the South Concerns

Natural Environment:

Stock Effluent / DOC Estate / Coast Erosion / Marine and River Use / Pest Control

Infrastructure

Roading and Bridges / Rock Walls / Utilities / Freedom Camps

Community

Health / Community Stratification / Aged Population

Business and Industry

Value to Community / Sustainability / Post Covid Opportunities

Governance and Planning

TDC working with GBCB/LB supported by the PCRA

Tourism and Development

Impacts / Benefits / Threats

TDC (GBCB) Activity Management Plans (AMPs)

Contents

Executive Summary

Introduction

Strategic Direction

Key Linkages

Levels of Service

Our Customers and Stakeholders

Current and Future Demand

Lifecycle Management


Financials

Sustainability

Risk Management and Assumptions

Asset Management Processes and Practice


Improvement Planning



Transportation: Collingwood - Puponga Main Road AMP

Strategic Direction

Objectives and Outcomes



Access	Provide increased access for economic and social opportunities	Investment in CP Main Road
Access	System is resilient	Funded Maintenance
Safety	Safe System, free of death and serious injury	Speed Limit Awareness
Access	Enable transport choice and access	Footpaths and Cycleways

Regional Threats


Vulnerable Infrastructure	One Lane Bridges, Culverts, Worn Road Surfaces, Poor Metalled Roads
Driver Behaviour	Excessive Speeding, Bends not signed, Poor line of sight at Bridges
Climate Change	Known Flood Points, Coastal Erosion, High Wind Gusts

Collingwood - Puponga Main Road AMP

Community Outcomes

Our infrastructure is efficient, cost effective and meets current and future needs.

Our communities are healthy, safe, inclusive and resilient.



Natural environment is healthy and protected	Undertake routine road sweeping, sump cleaning, and litter removal.
Environment is people friendly, well-planned and sustainably managed	Provide a transportation network that is safe to use and accessible to all. The Road network is the backbone that connects our communities.
Infrastructure is efficient, cost effective and meets current and future needs	Enable infrastructure and activity management decisions to meet current and future demand.
Communities are healthy, safe, inclusive and resilient	Provide a safe and resilient transport network, which also provides opportunities for active recreation with associated health benefits
Access to a range of social, educational and recreational facilities and activities.	Enable the community to travel to their social, educational and recreational activities.
Foster partnerships, a regional perspective and community engagement.	Prepare Regional Land Transport Plans that are aligned across the Top of the South
Our region is supported by an innovative and sustainable economy.	Our transport system is effective and efficient meeting the needs of residents and businesses, and enables our economy to thrive and grow

Collingwood - Puponga Main Road AMP

Levels of Service

Service Level	
Safety	Our transportation network is becoming safer for its users.
Resilience	We proactively maintain roads in high risk areas to minimise unplanned road closures
Accessibility	Our transportation network enables the community to choose from various modes of travel.
Value for Money	Our transportation network is maintained cost effectively and whole of life costs are optimised


Reference Point / Road Condition	Speed	Asset	Hazard	Signs N↑	Signs S↓	Missing Signs
Collingwood-Bainham / Puponga Road Junction	100	OLB 1: White Bridge	Junction			

Collingwood - Puponga Main Road AMP

Risk Mitigation/ Pakawau Proposals


Increase in Road Traffic	Road Data Information
Speeding	80/60/50 Zones Permanent 50 KPH limit through Pakawa Totara Avenue 20 KPH Limit
Road Surface Condition	Roads subject to excessive wear
Road Access	Vulnerable Points: Flooding, Coast Erosion and Mud Slip
Road Safety	Dangerous unsigned bends Lack of Footpaths and Cycle Paths Better line of sight husbandry
Rural Activities	Farm Entrances: Stock/tractor signage
Community Safety	Stop /Turn points for School Buses Pedestrian crossing points Dark Sky Compliant Street Lighting

Coastal Management: Pakawau Coastal Assets AMP



Council aims to ensure access to the sea can be enjoyed by all whilst managing the effects of the sea on property

Policy 27: Strategies for protecting significant existing development from coastal hazard risk



Levels of Service

Our communities are protected
from natural hazard event

FAIL

Our structures are safe for the public
to use

FAIL

Our coastal assets are maintained to
an appropriate level that satisfies the
community's expectations

**EPIC
FAIL!**

Key Issue



Problem: Increased demand for protection of private property



Response: Develop a coastal policy based on long term view for climate change

TDC Administered Coastal Rock Protection



Location	Length	State
South of Manuka Creek	227	Good
North of Taupata Stream Bridge	132	Poor
South of Taupata Stream Bridge	163	Moderate
1735 C-P Main Road	145	Moderate
North of 1653 C-P Main Road	314	Poor
North of Onetaua Bridge	43	Good
1004 to 911 C-P Main Road	760	Good
1312 C-P Main Road	84	Moderate
1312 C-P Main Road	112	Good
1224-1228 C-P Main Road	160	Moderate
1215 C-P Main Road	11	Moderate
3 to 65 Totara Avenue	1180	Good
558 to 758 C-P Main Road	45	Moderate


3376 Metres of Rock Protection

Strategic Direction

Contribution to Community Outcomes

Council operates, maintains and improves the coastal assets on behalf of its ratepayers.

Council undertakes the activity to meet the level of service that is required to enhance community well-being by improving access to the sea and managing the interaction of the coast on property.



Council aims to ensure access to the sea can be enjoyed by all whilst managing the effects of the sea on property

Infrastructure Strategy

4 Key Infrastructure Priorities:

Providing infrastructure services that meet the needs of our changing population

Planning, developing and maintaining resilient communities

Providing safe and secure infrastructure and services

Prudent management of our existing assets and environment



Key Issues: Coastal Protection

Urban development along coastal margins, coastal erosion and potential sea level inundation associated with climate change all increase the demand for coastal protection works.

TDC Plan to maintain existing Council-owned coastal protection works and recreational assets, **but will not provide any increased levels of protection to properties** or new recreational assets.

TDC resource management policies to manage growth in coastal hazard areas to reduce the likelihood of further areas being developed that could be at risk from inundation from the sea and the need for coastal protection work for these areas.

Modelling of the Tasman coastline is occurring and a full review of coastal policies is expected

In the meantime, an interim coastal policy has been developed explaining Council's priorities for maintenance of existing coastal structures.

Key Issues: Interim Coastal Position

Increasing number of storm events has caused considerable damage and erosion of the Tasman coastline.




Community expectations for the Council to protect private property is unaffordable, so an interim position statement (based on economic benefit, affordability and NZCPS/TRMP compliance) identifies:

- TDC will not invest in or maintain any new TDC owned coastal structures or works to protect private property, nor will it accept responsibility for repair or maintenance of existing private coastal works
- TDC will only give consideration to allow any privately funded construction of shoreline protection structures on TDC owned land, for the purposes of protecting TDC owned land or private property, where a proposal is substantially compliant with the objectives and policies of the NZCPS and objectives, policies and rules of the TRMP, and TDC's Reserves General Policies document.
- In any event the **TDC retains complete discretion** regarding authorisation of private structures on Council-owned land

Levels of Service

A key objective is to match the levels of service provided with agreed expectations of our customers and their willingness to pay for that level of service.

Protection	Communities are protected from natural hazard events
Safety	Structures are safe for the public to use
Amenity	The coastal assets are maintained to an appropriate level and satisfies the community's expectations



Responding and adapting to sea level rise

Climate Change Adaptation

A climate change action plan needs to be prepared to determine whether and in what way further development could be designed and accommodated while managing climate change risks.

This might involve a mix of defensive strategies (e.g. sea walls, buffering green corridors), as well as adaptive strategies.




Customers and Stakeholders

TDC consults with the public to gain an understanding of customer expectations and preferences. This enables Council to provide a level of service that better meets the community's needs.

TDC Stakeholder and Engagement Policy represents a promise to listen to what they have to say with an open mind

Engagement or consultation is about reaching a common understanding of issues; is about the quality of contact and for a fully informed community to contribute to decision-making.



Community Engagement (Jul-Sep 2019)

..'Observations of erosion along the Pakawau foreshore including detailed comments on sand pushups, coastcare planting and beach nourishment, and existing rock revetments'

Risk Management

Catastrophic failure of a coastal structure.

Premature deterioration or obsolescence of an asset.

Failure to adequately prepare for climate change and failure to respond to changing coastline.

Customer perception of Council not doing enough to protect private property and public assets.

Failure to manage coastal erosion of public land



Pakawau Perceptions

TDC Risk Management Behaviour

- TDC solutions are leading rapidly to a catastrophic failure of our coastal homes
- TDC is failing to respond effectively to our changing coastline
- TDC are NOT doing enough to protect private property, nor entertain private funding initiatives but will readily pursue non consented actions to protect public road assets
- TDC are failing the Pakawau Community

Looking to GBCB to identify a course of action with TDC that leads to resolving coastal erosion issues at Pakawau

The Pakawau Solution

Requirement for Hard Engineering solutions to resolve end effects of existing Rock Wall assets (Immediate need to resolve erosion issues North (1114 - 1126) and South (1090 - 1060) of Pakawau Beach Camp

Review effectiveness and viability of sand push ups and coastal care planting given evidence that the soft option is failing


TDC engagement to identify effective long term planning options focused on Defend and not Retreat without resort to costly court action

Logical common sense action that resolves current stalemate

End Note

Adapting to coastal climate change requires much wider consideration than hazard risk management.

Adaptation involves many components of the environment (including the natural environment and conservation values, as well as the built environment), and *consideration of community values and aspirations that contribute to a sense of place.*



2020 GBCB DISCRETIONARY FUND ACCOUNTABILITY FORM

Name of Organisation GBSRF

We, being Officers/Accountant of the above organisation hereby certify that we received a grant from the Golden Bay Community Board Discretionary Fund in 2020.

The grant was spent as follows and we attach evidence of expenditure (receipts, invoices or signed statements by the organisation's Accountant).

<u>Wristband (Entry Tickets)</u>	\$ <u>400.50</u>
<u>Fireworks Advertising - Posters</u>	\$ _____
<u>Advertising GB Weekly</u>	\$ <u>99.50</u>
_____	\$ _____

Amount allocated: \$ 500.00

Amount unspent: \$ _____

With this grant we were able to deliver the following benefits to the community:

the event was cancelled due to weather, but we are planning
a Matariki celebration in July 2021 as we will use the
bands at that event.

How was the support of Tasman District Council acknowledged (attach evidence)

Posters and advertising

Name and signature of two office holders:

1st Contact: Abbie Langford
 Signature: Langford
 Position: community Partnership
 Telephone: 027 624 0680
 Date: 11/11/2020

2nd Contact: Laura Webster
 Signature: Webster
 Position: Hospitality Coordinator
 Telephone: 525 9237
 Date: 11/11/2020

Please return this form when your project is finished or within 9 months of receiving the funding:

Golden Bay Community Board
 C/- Tasman District Council
 PO Box 74
 Takaka 7142

(Please use a separate form for each grant received.)

recreation@recparkcentre.co.nz

From: GoWristbands.co.nz <sales@gowristbands.co.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 13 August 2020 8:05 AM
To: recreation@recparkcentre.co.nz
Subject: Payment Complete Ref#106689 - GoWristbands.co.nz



Thank you for your interest in GoWristbands.co.nz products. A tracking number will be emailed to you once it has been generated. We value your business!

Shipping Address:

Abbie Langford
 2032 Takaka Valley Highway

 Takaka, Tasman 7110
 NZ

Payment Info:

Reference: 106689
 Order ID: 440026668
 Dated:~ August 06, 2020
 Payment: Complete
 Payment Mode: Paid By Credit Card

Product Details

Product	Quantity	Total
Printed(500)	500	\$400.50NZD
Total:		\$400.50NZD

If you have any questions regarding this order.
 Please contact us via email at sales@gowristbands.co.nz
 Thank you for choosing GoWristbands.co.nz

Sales Email: sales@gowristbands.co.nz
Toll Free: 0800-003333
Local Tel: 06-888-5047

Address: 12G Constellation Dr, Rosedale,Auckland,Auckland 0632, New Zealand, USA

Note: There will be a \$20 charge incurred for ANY shipping address revision done to the address after the order has been shipped out and it is in transit. The charge will automatically be incurred on the payment method we have on file for that particular order. reply to this email if you have any questions.

A festive poster for a fireworks event. The background is white with numerous colorful fireworks (red, yellow, blue, green) exploding around the text. The text is in a bold, sans-serif font. The main title 'FIREWORKS EXTRAVAGANZA AND NIGHT MARKET' is in blue. The location 'REC PARK CENTRE' and date 'FRIDAY 6 NOVEMBER' are in black. The time 'GATES OPEN 4PM' and 'FIREWORKS 9 30PM' are in black. The ticket information 'Tickets available at NBS or www.recparkcentre.co.nz' is in black. The bottom section says 'Kindly supported by' followed by the logos of 'tasman district council' and 'Te Kaunihera o te tai o Aorere'.

FIREWORKS
EXTRAVAGANZA AND
NIGHT MARKET
REC PARK CENTRE
FRIDAY 6 NOVEMBER
GATES OPEN 4PM
FIREWORKS 9 30PM
Tickets available at NBS or
www.recparkcentre.co.nz
Kindly supported
by





TAX INVOICE

Rec Park Centre Golden Bay
2032 Takaka Valley Highway
Takaka
Takaka 7110
NEW ZEALAND

Invoice Date
31 Oct 2020

Invoice Number
INV-8642

GST Number
122-760-480

Mohua Media Limited
T/A The Golden Bay
Weekly
PO Box 156
Takaka 7142
E: admin@gbweekly.co.nz
W: www.gbweekly.co.nz
P: 027 525 8679

Description	Quantity	Unit Price	Amount NZD
6cm colour advert 16, 23 and 30 October and 6 November - Fireworks Extravaganza	4.00	40.00	160.00
		Subtotal	160.00
		TOTAL GST 15%	24.00
		TOTAL NZD	184.00

Due Date: 20 Nov 2020

Direct Credit payment to: Kiwibank 38-9018-0707902-00

Important notice:

As a customer of Kiwibank, we will no longer be able to accept cheques from 28 February 2020. Please talk to us about other payment options or if you have any questions or concerns. Thank you.

Payments by cash may also be left at our agents:
Paradise Entertainment, Commercial St, Takaka or
On The Spot, Tasman St, Collingwood.

Payment due 20th of month following invoice



PAYMENT ADVICE

To: Mohua Media Limited
T/A The Golden Bay Weekly
PO Box 156
Takaka 7142
E: admin@gbweekly.co.nz
W: www.gbweekly.co.nz
P: 027 525 8679

Customer	Rec Park Centre Golden Bay
Invoice Number	INV-8642
Amount Due	184.00
Due Date	20 Nov 2020
Amount Enclosed	Enter the amount you are paying above

Jess McAlinden

From: Jess McAlinden
Sent: Wednesday, 11 November 2020 8:29 am
To: Golden Bay Community Board
Subject: FW: Invite to Mohua 2042 Sustainability Strategy Forum - Nov 24th, 1pm Senior Citizen's Hall
Attachments: image001.wmz; image002.wmz; Visual of Categories.jpg

Hi all,
 Please see below email from Debbie Pearson,
 Kind regards,
 Jess

Jess McAlinden | Community Development
 Team Leader – Customer Services
 Extension 454 | DDI +64 3 525 0054

From: Debbie Pearson <debbiepearson@hotmail.co.nz>
Sent: Tuesday, 10 November 2020 9:31 pm
To: Debbie Pearson <debbiepearson@hotmail.co.nz>
Subject: Invite to Mohua 2042 Sustainability Strategy Forum - Nov 24th, 1pm Senior Citizen's Hall

It is our pleasure to invite you to the Mohua 2042 Sustainability Strategy Development Forum on 24 November.

The plan is to hold an interactive afternoon with a targeted group of invitees representing a variety of sectors and key interest groups in the Golden Bay community. We would really value your attendance and input at this event.

What is the forum about?

We believe we can all agree that we want a healthy, sustainable and booming future here in Golden Bay, but what exactly does that look like and what needs to be done to make that happen?

Over the last year a core group from the community (Debbie Pearson, Claire Webster, Grant Knowles, Charlotte Squires, Sol Morgan, Dave Tinkler, and Sean Weaver) have been coordinating community input into a shared vision, strategy and action plan for a sustainable future for Golden Bay.

This forum is a key part of developing our community sustainability strategy by working with a wider group - clarifying our vision, understanding what we are already doing well and where our gaps are, and developing an action plan to address those gaps.

When: Nov 24th, 1.00pm – 4.30 pm. Afternoon tea will be provided

Where: Senior Citizen's Hall (Commercial St)

What to bring: Your knowledge, vision and enthusiasm, pen and paper and thoughts

Progress so far: We have taken input from some of the community and distilled it into the following vision:

OUR VISION
Thriving Community – Healthy Environment

OUR VISION STATEMENT
Our vision is for a flourishing community that is adaptable, caring and inclusive. We work in concert with nature to preserve and improve our environment. Our sustainable approach inspires others.

To help focus our thinking and to help structure the strategy, we have organised the action areas into broad categories (see attached). The elements under each of these categories are guided by a category specific vision. During the forum you will help craft these category visions, and populate each category with (1) what we are already doing that supports the vision, and (2) where our gaps are. Previous community gatherings have already started this process, so forum participants will have some good ideas to build on.

RSVP!


Please RSVP by Nov 20th by emailing debbiepearson@hotmail.co.nz.

We look forward to seeing you at the forum!

Warm regards

Debbie, Sol, Grant, Dave, Claire, Charlotte

(03) 525 6061
(021) 02549509



Jess McAlinden

From: Jessicah Win <jessicah.win@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 17 November 2020 5:14 pm
To: Golden Bay Community Board; Celia Butler; Chris P Hill; Reception Richmond
Subject: Intimidating dog experience at Takaka Cafe

How incredibly frustrating and disappointing that within weeks of the dog bylaw in Takaka being amended my 2 year was rushed aggressively by a growling and barking dog from under a cafe table as we walked past to get to the toilet. We had already been past once and the owner told me that the dog wasn't always good with children.

The dog owner "fled", as his friend at the table put it, so by the time my 4 year had used the toilet he was gone with no chance to get his name or the dog's registration number.

Sincerely,
Jessicah Win

Jess McAlinden

From: Debbie Pearson <debbiepearson@hotmail.co.nz>
Sent: Friday, 20 November 2020 6:59 am
To: Debbie Pearson
Subject: Invite to Mohua 2042 Sustainability Strategy Forum - Nov 24th, 1pm Senior Citizen's Hall
Attachments: Visual of Categories.jpg

Hi all,

Thanks to those who have RSVPed! If that is not you then this is a gentle reminder that RSVPs are due today. We hope to see you Nov 24th at 1pm for the Mohua 2042 Sustainability Forum.

Warm regards

Debbie, Dave, Sol, Charlotte and Grant

Original Invite

It is our pleasure to invite you to the Mohua 2042 Sustainability Strategy Development Forum on 24 November.

The plan is to hold an interactive afternoon with a targeted group of invitees representing a variety of sectors and key interest groups in the Golden Bay community. We would really value your attendance and input at this event.

What is the forum about?

We believe we can all agree that we want a healthy, sustainable and booming future here in Golden Bay, but what exactly does that look like and what needs to be done to make that happen?

Over the last year a core group from the community (Debbie Pearson, Claire Webster, Grant Knowles, Charlotte Squires, Sol Morgan, Dave Tinkler, and Sean Weaver) have been coordinating community input into a shared vision, strategy and action plan for a sustainable future for Golden Bay.

This forum is a key part of developing our community sustainability strategy by working with a wider group - clarifying our vision, understanding what we are already doing well and where our gaps are, and developing an action plan to address those gaps.

When: Nov 24th, 1.00pm – 4.30 pm. Afternoon tea will be provided

Where: Senior Citizen's Hall (Commercial St)

What to bring: Your knowledge, vision and enthusiasm, pen and paper and thoughts

Progress so far: We have taken input from some of the community and distilled it into the following vision:

OUR VISION
Thriving Community – Healthy Environment

OUR VISION STATEMENT
Our vision is for a flourishing community that is adaptable, caring and inclusive. We work in concert with nature to preserve and improve our environment. Our sustainable approach inspires others.

To help focus our thinking and to help structure the strategy, we have organised the action areas into broad categories (see attached). The elements under each of these categories are guided by a category specific vision. During the forum you will help craft these category visions, and populate each category with (1) what we are already doing that supports the vision, and (2) where our gaps are. Previous community gatherings have already started this process, so forum participants will have some good ideas to build on.

RSVP!


Please RSVP by Nov 20th by emailing debbiepearson@hotmail.co.nz.

We look forward to seeing you at the forum!

Warm regards

Debbie, Sol, Grant, Dave, Claire, Charlotte

(03) 525 6061
(021) 02549509



Jess McAlinden

From: Robin Robilliard <robby.garry@gmail.com>
Sent: Tuesday, 24 November 2020 6:41 am
To: Golden Bay Community Board
Subject: Change to Letter

To GB Community Board.

Please scrap the email I sent yesterday, relating to the closure of the BNZ, and see the altered letter below.
 Many thanks, Robin Robilliard

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject:

Date:

From:

To:

BNZ CLOSURE' ACTION NEEDED BY THE GB COMMUNITY BOARD

Disastrous news!!! The Takaka BNZ is to close between April and June next year. Expecting us, especially the elderly, to trek over the Hill to the Motueka branch is outrageous. We can pay our bills on-line, but many freelance people, including stalls at the Market, depend on a cash economy. Equally important, the banks closure will be a huge obstacle to local business. So what can the GB Community Board do to get the BNZ to change its mind about the Takaka closure in this isolated region?

Robin Robilliard

----- Forwarded Message -----

Subject: Letters column

Date: Mon, 23 Nov 2020 17:12:19 +1300

From: Robin Robilliard <robby.garry@gmail.com>

To: Golden Bay Weekly <admin@gbweekly.co.nz>

2020 GBCB DISCRETIONARY FUND ACCOUNTABILITY FORMName of Organisation Big Brothers Big Sisters of Nelson-Tasman

We, being Officers/Accountant of the above organisation hereby certify that we received a grant from the Golden Bay Community Board Discretionary Fund in 2020.

The grant was spent as follows and we attach evidence of expenditure (receipts, invoices or signed statements by the organisation's Accountant).

<u>Maree Kenyon wages 8-21 June 2020</u>	\$ <u>1904.00</u>
_____	\$ _____
_____	\$ _____
_____	\$ _____

Amount allocated: \$ 500.00Amount unspent: \$ 0.00

With this grant we were able to deliver the following benefits to the community:

Because of your support we have recruited, trained and matched, and provided ongoing support to matches in the Golden Bay Community. We have 23 members either matched or ready to be matched with a young person who wants and needs a positive role model in their life.

How was the support of Tasman District Council acknowledged (attach evidence)

On our website, annual report and also at our launch event

Name and signature of two office holders:

1st Contact: Chelsea RouthanSignature: [Signature]Position: Programme DirectorTelephone: 021 924 255Date: 26/11/202nd Contact: Hamish ChapmanSignature: [Signature]Position: Board MemberTelephone: 021 191 2007Date: 26/11/20

Please return this form when your project is finished or within 9 months of receiving the funding:
 Golden Bay Community Board
 C/- Tasman District Council
 PO Box 74
 Takaka 7142

(Please use a separate form for each grant received.)

Thank you so much for your support !!

THANK YOU TO OUR CORNERSTONE SPONSORS...



THANK YOU TO OUR FUNDERS...

- NZ Police • Rata Foundation • Lottery Grants Board
- Edgewood Charitable Trust • Pub Charity • Nelson City Council
- Tasman District Council • The Lion Foundation • Mainland Foundation
- NZCT • The Tindall Foundation • Golden Bay Community Trust • COGS
- Network Tasman Trust • Motueka Community Board
- Golden Bay Community Fund

THANK YOU TO OUR MATCH SPONSORS...

- Stevens Orchard Lawyers • Finest Kind • The Bakery @ Wakefield • RWCA
- Nelson Pine Industries Ltd • Nelson Heat Pumps • Hello World • TTK
- Nelson Dental Centre • The Rotary Club of Nelson • Thwaites Diesel
- New World Nelson City • Craigs Investment Partners • Rachel Saunders
- Nelson Emergency Department • Summit Motueka • Smart Array Ltd • TNL International

Page 107

Jess McAlinden

From: Mike Allen <mike.allen@aoteasecurity.co.nz>
Sent: Thursday, 26 November 2020 12:54 pm
To: Golden Bay Community Board
Subject: Takaka Street Surveillance

Good Afternoon

I'm contacting you in regard to the proposed surveillance camera project for Takaka.

I represent Aotea Security Nelson, though we have 14 branches throughout NZ, specialising in the security industry.

We undertake electronic Security work in the Tasman, Nelson, and Marlborough regions for a range of clients including NZ Police, Dept Conservation, and many others.

I'd like to offer Aotea Security's services in a consulting capacity though our team certainly provide install and service as well.

I look forward to your reply and feel free to contact me at any time.

With regards
Mike Allen
Aotea Security Nelson Branch Manager
027 836 3801