

## **BUILDING A BETTER WORLD**

File: Z2100003 Correspondence Out No. 23111

MINUTES

DATE: 26 March 2012

TIME: 7:30 pm @ Dovedale Hall

**SUBJECT:** Dovedale Rivercare Group Meeting

Present

Tasman District Council: Giles Griffith (Chairman) , Philip Drummond, Councillor Trevor Norris

Taylors Contracting : Arnie Richards, Neil Donaldson

MWH: Rick Lowe
Fish & Game: Lawson Davey

Rivercare Group Members: Madge Hall, Ken Hall, Roger Nott, Evan Win, Ashley Peter, Paul Batt, Murray Silcock, James Wightlin,

Caroline Wightlin, John Thorn

Apologies: Ni

DETAIL:

| Item          | / Task  | Action | Ву |
|---------------|---|--------|----|
|               |   | Action | Бу |
| 1.            | Introduction Giles Griffith opened the meeting and introduced Tasman District Council staff, MWH Consultants and Taylors the River Maintenance Contractor.  |        |    |
| _             | Minutes of Last Meeting   |        |    |
| 2.            | The minutes of the last meeting had been circulated to all that attended the previous meeting.  |        |    |
|               | No discussion was held on the minutes of the previous meeting.  |        |    |
| 3.            | Matters Arising from Previous Meeting   |        |    |
| <del></del> - | There were no matters raised from the previous meeting minutes.   |        |    |
| 4.            | Overall Objectives 2012 Giles Griffith gave a verbal presentation outlining the overall objectives for 2012, current issues, annual operation and maintenance programmes for 2011/12 and 2012/13 financial years.  Overall Objective 2012:  • complete 2010 flood repair work in Aorere, Murchison and Wangapeka areas  • get 2012 flood repair work underway (Motupipi to Wainui Bay area's)  • crack willow mapping and riparian management plan  • strong focus on revegetation work and move towards soft options  • gain consent to aerial spray in selected rivers  • possible formation of interagency group with LINZ and DoC |        |    |
|               | post regular information on website.  |        |    |
| 5.            | Annual Maintenance Operating Programme 2012  Rivers are dynamic high proportion of unscheduled work:  • 40% of all maintenance tasked completed to date not originally in AOMP  • unscheduled tasks make up 34% of AOMP budget for 2011/2012.  Unscheduled tasks include:  • removal of dumped rubbish in river channels  • high river flow damage requiring additional bank protection work and removal trees and other vegetation.  AOMP is regularly revised and task re-prioritised as flood events occur.  |        |    |

| Item | / Task  | Action | Ву |
|------|---|--------|----|
| 6.   | Other Issues  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Limited scope for gravel removal now due to resource consent limitations,<br/>most rivers degrading (although individual reaches may be aggrading)</li> </ul>  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Challenges to Part 4 of District Plan being worked through by our policy<br/>team.</li> </ul>  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Ability for farmers to perform emergency works themselves in a defined<br/>period following a high event.</li> </ul>   |        |    |
|      | Rights of iwi to own or extract gravel.   |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>The two recent extreme rain events, effects on livelihoods, prioritising and<br/>funding repairs and effect on existing resources.</li> </ul>  |        |    |
| 7.   | General Comments from presentation  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Ratepayers generally expressed concern at the lack of gravel able to be<br/>taken out of the river can only take small amount out of river. They did not<br/>accept the findings of council and believe there was general build up of<br/>gravel everywhere.</li> </ul>  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Trevor Norris explained that it was not straight forward to extract gravel as<br/>you have to satisfy all other parties that it was appropriate to extract gravel<br/>and that was not that easy to do so.</li> </ul>  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Philip Drummond stated that if more gravel was required from any river<br/>separate resource consent would need to be applied for. Generally Tasman<br/>District Council want to relocate gravel within the channel system and not<br/>extract.</li> </ul>   |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Giles Griffith explained that council were in the process of applying for<br/>resource consent to allow the aerial application of chemical sprays for<br/>vegetation control in selective rivers.</li> </ul>   |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>One ratepayer expressed concern that he did not believe the River Maintenance contractor had done a good job of spraying on his property. Rick Lowe (MWH) commented that auditing of the spraying programme had shown the contractor generally had made a good job of spraying vegetation required to be controlled.</li> </ul>  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Giles Griffith stated that he wanted to carryout out more green options of<br/>carrying out river control work instead of using rockwork to the extent it had<br/>been in the past.</li> </ul>   |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>There was a general concern expressed with the health of the Dove River<br/>and that gravel build up had affected the river.</li> </ul>  |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Fish &amp; Game expressed concern with the clearing of crack willow but<br/>generally had no problems with gravel extraction.</li> </ul>   |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Ratepayers asked as to why Crack Willow was on the unwanted organisms<br/>list as opposed to Old Mans Beard. Tasman District Council responded<br/>saying it was too costly to remove Old Mans Beard everywhere and that<br/>council where only controlling it in Buller and Golden Bay areas. Tasman<br/>District Council also confirmed that the Pest Management Strategy was up<br/>for review next year and that it was likely that the plan would be extended a<br/>further two years.</li> </ul> |        |    |
|      | Some ratepayers believed that crack willow should not be removed from all places along the riverbank.   |        |    |
|      | <ul> <li>Tasman District Council confirmed that they would not now insist on total<br/>removal of crack willow along all frontages but would discuss the matter<br/>with each landowner.</li> </ul>   |        |    |
|      | Meeting concluded at 9:30 pm  |        |    |