



#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to:

Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond OR Email: paul.sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submitter No.

**Further Submission on any** Original Submission on the **Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management** Plan 2017-2027

#### Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission

to tr	ne proposed plan.
Submitter Name: Julie Reed (organisation/individual)	
Representative/Contact: (if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone: 03 5423530
28 100 Wairoa Garge Rd	Email: band, reed a gmail.com
RDI	Date: 15.3.18
28 100 Wairoa Garge Rd RD 1 Brightwater 7091	Total number of pages submitted (including this page):
Postal address for service of person making further	
submission: (if different from above)	
	Signed:
IMPORTANT - Please state:	
$\hfill\square$ If you have more than one further submission, state the number	
☐ Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further submissio	
☐ Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting your subm	nission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings
Remember: 1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets as 2. Within five working days of sending this further submis submission to the person who made the original submi	sion to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further

Tasman District Council Email into@tasman.gov/ nz. Website www.tasroamorstes 24 hour assistance

Richmond

Phone 1995 1 Phone of the letter Fax is a letter

Murchison

Motueka Marie Central Phone of the control Fax of \$18,900!

Takaka FORMARA
THE CONTINUES STORY
FOR SHALL
LINE TO LONG
THE CONTINUES
THE CON

. . . . .

CONTENT L.

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Z Sheet No:

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:				
M/ We SUPPORT the	Name (of original submitter):				
original submission of:					
	0 10				
	Pauline Schurmann				
☐ I/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):				
original submission of:					
	46 Totara Ave				
	40 10101				
	0 1				
	Pakanau				
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: OFFICE USE ONLY				
11 -	Totava Aue Asparagus Further Submission No:				
14832	TOTAVA 1708 ABOUT ASPANAGUS Submission No:				
1002					
Reasons for Support or Opposition:					
1 Curry W	e submission to eradicate or control or from Totara Ave as it has increased the last few years, while some owners to clear it from their properties.				
I supper in	2 Submission 10 Enchange of Co.				
v t	C 71 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1				
Visacragaus le	in from latera Ave as Il has increased				
	0				
ausklin and	the last leave while some owners				
guiday over					
	how proefes.				
vace NICO	to clear it was				
V 10 V C					

	ginal Submitter Opposed or Supported: ne (of original submitter):	
□ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	ress (of original submitter):	
Original Submission No: Top	ic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition:		

Tasman District Council Email info@tasman.covt.co

Website www.taaman.goviinz 24 hour assistance

Richmond 

Fax to 43 to At

Murchison

Motueka

Takaka Tagana
Ta

te kaunihera o whakatū lagc 1 of 2

### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to:

Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond OR Email: paul.sheidon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submitter No:

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the **Proposed Tasman-Nelson** Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

Submitter Name: Owen + Do	reen Bateup as trustees
Representative/Contact: of the $OW+T$ (if different from above)	DE Bateup. Family Trust
Postal Address:  T8/49 Covent Drive,  Stoke  Nelson 7011	Phone: (03) 5489317  Email: dandobateup@gmail.com  Date: 16   3   18  Total number of pages submitted (including this page): 2
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
	Signed: <u>EBates</u> <u>O</u> <u>A</u> NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.  Is Trustees of OW+ DE Bateup Family Trust.
IMPORTANT - Please state:	Tamille Trust.
☐ If you have more than one further submission, state the number ☐ Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further submission. ☐ Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting your submission.	er of submissions you are making here: on nission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings
Remember:  1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets a:	

Within five working days of sending this further submission to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further submission to the person who made the original submission.

Tasman District Council Email into otasman dovtina Website was a to smanle out of 24 hour assistance

house to a house house

is fairns street Massers in its New yeldend Phone Phone Fax : 1990 I

Malter New Zero Phone 117 A

Takaka Takaka Tenangan tenangan Tenangan Tenangan Tenangan Tenangan Phone Fax:

### **CONTENT SHEET**

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

Original Submitter Opposed or Support of	
Pauline Schurmann	
Address (of original submitter):	
11 Total Aug	
Colden Bay	
gera	
Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY
1 0 0	Further
Totara Five Hisparagus	Submission No:
ust, OW+ DE Bateup Family	Trust, own
at 13 Totara Avenue P	akaway and
G = 000	rox. 30 years
ed the property to app	1 :
was in fested with dim	bing asparaging
we nurchased it and desp	pite regular
is the infestation has	selome worse
us, the intestination	00 11 839
pport the original submissi	10. 14.8 12
ouncil to take steps to re	introl Ha and
0600 50000	MITEL THE GIVE
Name (or original submitter):	
Address (of original submitter):	
Tonic Subject:	
Topic Sabject.	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
	Submission No:
	The provided Lagrangian Mayor of the Court
	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:  Name (of original submitter):  Pauline Schurmann  Address (of original submitter):  Ho Totara Ave.  Golden Bay  Topic Subject:  Totara Ave Asparagus  Fern  ust, OW+DE Bateup Family at 13 Totara Avenue, Por app was in fested with clim we purchased it and desp us, the infestation has apport the original Submission  Duncil to take steps to co asparagus in the area.  Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:  Name (of original submitter):  Topic Subject:





#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to:

Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond Email: paul.sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submitter No:

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

#### Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

(organisation/individual)	
Representative/Contact: Chris Rowse	
(if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone: 03 525 8588
11 Upper Rocklands Road Takaka RD1	Email: 11nikaus@gmail.com
7183	Date: 19 March 2018
	Total number of pages submitted (including this page):
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
	Signed:  NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.
IMPORTANT Please state:	
If you have more than one further submission, state the numb It is you wish to be heard in support of your further submission.	per of submissions you are making here:
Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting your sub	omission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings
Remember:  1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets:	as required.

Tasman District Council Email info@tasman.govtinz Website www.tisman.govt.nz 24 hour assistance

Richmond 189 Queen Street Private Bay 4 Extrapold 7050

Fax 05 543 9524

Murchison 92 Tairfax Street Mora history 2002 New Zealand Phone 03 523 1303 New Zooland Phone 03 523 1 Phone 03 512 5100 Fax 28 523 1012

Motueka Motueka
7 High rout have
FO box 201
Motueka 714;
New 7-40-14
Phone 03 576 200
Fax 03 528 975 1

Takaka Takaka
78 Commission theat
PO Rox 74
Takaka 7142
New Tealand
Phone 08 525 0026
Fax 03 525 9072

	16	1	2
CONTENT S	10		)

	0	0 (	12
	a	LOT	1 >
100			

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:	1	

(PJease tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☑ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
✓	Pauline Schurmann	
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	schurmann4@outlook.com	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
		Submission No:
14832	To control Climbing Asapargus in Western Golden F	Bay
Reasons for Support or Oppos	sition:	

We request Climbing asparagus to be a "Progressive control" plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for all the region

This validates our request for Climbing asparagus (CAS) to be a control plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for all the region as the current scattered levels of infestation will only get worse and spread. Project De-Vine has recently completed a survey of the lower Takaka valley properties. CAS was found on only 7 properties out of 107 assessed which covered approx 90% of the land area (4000ha) comprising 450 properties (we exclude those less than 1200m2). We have assessed the number of control hours for the first year knockdown of the CAS plants as 327 hours.

Future assessments and follow up control work is planned for most of Golden Bay in the coming years and will control CAS as found..

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
//We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):  Native Bird Recovery	
□ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Adaicsrcoondirleeupomitter): Richmond Beautiful Alison Pickford gregandalisonpickford@	gmail.com
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
14825	Set up a "branch" of PDVT in Richmond area for OMB	Cubiniosion 110.
Reasons for Support or Opposi	tion: / BPV control work	

We are happy to support new initiatives and provide a start up guide for a weedbusting group.



Further Submission on any Original Submission **CONTENT SHEET** on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Management Plan 2017-2027

Sheet No:	2				

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Dept of Conservation	
√I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	lsolly@doc.govt.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY
16796	Seeks a review of the progress made in the existing	Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposit	ion: "control" area for Climbing asparagus (CAS) in Gold	en Bay.

The CAS levels in Golden Bay are at their most wide spread in Pohara. Priority there was given to dealing with the coastal sea cliffs, where the infestations were concentrated near to high value areas of rare native biodiversity. More details are available on request. Most of the grant funding to date was spent on other vine control work, BPV and OMB, which was seen as the higher priority for the first phase of the control work for that area.

An application to Lotteries is pending, which includes extensive control of CAS at the south end of this control area, to prevent it moving any closer to ATNP and DOC Reserves.

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We <b>SUPPORT</b> the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Project Janszoon	
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	andrew@rdenvironmental.co.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
17580	Climbing asparagus to be a Progressive control plant	Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition	on in Wainui as it is a threat to ATNP	

This validates our request for Climbing asparagus (CAS) to be a control plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for the region as the current scattered levels of infestation will only get worse and spread. PDVT has recently received two year funding to carry out control work in Ligar Bay to Wainui hill for Old man's beard (OMB), Banana passion vine (BPV) and CAS. CAS is only found in small numbers in this area. This work will contribute towards creating a larger buffer zone for the "Halo" of ATNP. Significant work on CAS control is happening on two large private properties in Wainui, which also adds to the need to support this long-term landscape scale control work.

Tasman District Council Email info@tasman.covt.cz Website www.tasman.covt.na 24 hour assistance

Richmond 185 Queen Stown Phone (3512 of 6)

Phone (3512 of 6)

Phone (3512 of 6)

Phone (3512 of 6) Picturnond 7050

Murchison 92 Fairer Street Marchison 7007

Motueka Motucka
7 Hickman Flute
PO Bits 12
Motuch of 142
New 7-1 and
Phone 05 324 2022
Fax 05 578 976 1

78 Commerce Street FO See 74 Wals 14. Phone (5.535.002) Fax (7.535.002)

		9	11	1
CONTENT S	J	U	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	
	Pag	240	s€¶	3

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:	3		
	J		

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:		157
/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):		
	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ		
□ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):		
	d.martin@forestandbird.org.nz		
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY	F2.80
17584	Supports CAS control. ALSO suggests Site-led pest control to support community	Further Submission No:	
Reasons for Support or Oppos	ition: -led projects to enable funding streams		

This validates our request for Climbing asparagus (CAS) to be a control plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for the region as the current scattered levels of infestation will only get worse and spread. PDVT has recently received two year funding to carry out control work in Ligar Bay to Wainui hill for Old man's beard (OMB), Banana passion vine (BPV) and CAS. CAS is only found in small numbers in this area, creating a larger buffer zone for the "Halo" of ATNP. Significant work on CAS control is happening on two large private properties in Wainui, which also adds to the need to support this long-term landscape scale control work

Site-led pest control to support community-led projects to enable funding streams is an important way for groups like Project De-Vine to achieve control of pest plants in high value areas (eg near to National Parks) that would otherwise be considered too expensive or difficult to conduct an adequate Cost benefit analysis.

If neccessary add it to the

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ CONTI	NUED
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	d.martin@forestandbird.org.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: Supports CAS control. ALSO suggests Site-led pest control to support community	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Oppos	lition: led projects to enable funding streams	

Climbing asparagus - If neccessary add it to the list of Weed species that fall outside the Tasman - Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan Proposal for the region

## CONTENT SHEET

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No: 4

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
VI/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
☐ I/We OPPOSE the	Greg Mason - Motueka Valley Association and and all oth Address (of original submitter): similar submission	ers who made a
original submission of:	16795 Roy Bensemann r.y.bensemann@slingshot.co.r 16787 Greg Mason: 16888 Gillian Bishop: greenjag@actrix.co.nz mb7@xtra.co.nz 16906: Gavin ODonnell 52 Little Pokororo Road Motue	757a Motueka Valley
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
16787 and 40 others	To have OMB made a Progressive control plant in the	
Reasons for Support or Opposit	ion: Motueka river vallev	

This is a déjà vu of the 2007 RPMS review, when over 20 submissions were made to have BPV made a Progressive control plant in Golden Bay. The committee agreed to support this enthusiasm and Project De-Vine grew out of this brave step to tackle a problem that was perceived as being in the "too hard basket". See Peter William's report at the time.

Grea Mason's submission particularly states:

"We do not intend for the identification of OMB in the Plan to lead to a punitive approach to its management, but rather that it would acknowledge the threat it poses to biodiversity and provide further encouragement to landowners to progressively bring it under control. We envisage that much of the actual control could be undertaken with landowner education and the assistance of community groups". This has been PDVT's approach from the beginning and has only needed to be fully enforced by the TDC staff on one occasion.

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Project Janszoon	
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	andrew@rdenvironmental.co.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
17580	Retain Progressive control of OMB for all Golden Bay and	
Reasons for Support or Opposition:	include the areas in the eastern half of the Riwaka valley down to the sea and upto the ATNP boundaries. This will include Kaiteriteri and Marahau	

We support this submission to retain Progressive control for Old man's beard for all Golden Bay and include the areas in the eastern half of the Riwaka valley down to the sea and upto the ATNP boundaries. This will include Kaiteriteri and Marahau

	18113	
CONTENT SI	1011	
	Pag 5641	3

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:	5		
	0		

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or S	upported:	
//We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	orest & Bird Protection Society of NZ	- Gillian Pollock
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):		
	Nelsontasman.brand	h@forestandbird.org.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	Furth	
14859	To have Purple Pampa	Subm s made a Progressive control plant	nission No:

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

This validates our request for Purple Pampas (PP) to be a control plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for all the region as the current scattered levels of infestation will only get worse and spread.

Project De-Vine has recently completed a survey of the lower Takaka valley properties. PP has been steadily appearing in this area, was found on 14 properties out of 107 assessed which covered approx 90% of the land (4000ha) area comprising 450 properties (we exclude those less than 1200m2). We have assessed the number of control hours for the first year knockdown of the PP plants as 198 hours.

This control work will support the ambitious programme to remove Willows from the Motupipi River banks and replant with native trees. PP is already along the estuary edges and would be a constant pest in the new plantings At the least place in a list of "Weed species that fall outside the Tasman - Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan Proposal"

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
✓I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Titoki Nursery Ltd - Tlm Le Gros Address (of original submitter):	
	tim@titokinursery.co.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
16782	To have Purple and White Pampas made a	Submission No:

Progressive control plant easons for Support or Opposition:

This validates our request for Purple Pampas (PP) to be a control plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for all the region- it will only get worse and spread.

Project De-Vine has recently completed a survey of the lower Takaka valley. PP has been steadily appearing in this area. was found on 14 properties out of 107 assessed which covered approx 90% of the land (4000ha) area comprising 450 properties (we exclude those less than 1200m2). We have assessed the number of control hours for the first year knockdown of the PP plants as 198 hours.

This control work will support the ambitious programme to remove Willows from the Motupipi River banks & replant with native trees. PP is already along the estuary edges and would be a constant pest in the new plantinds. We support the inclusion of White pampas as we have had doubts abouts its lack of spreadability.

At the least place in a list of "Weed species that fall outside the Tasman - Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan Proposal".

Tasman District Council Fmail info@tastnen.covt.oz Website www.tasman.govt.nz 24 hour assistance Richmond 189 Queen Street Private Bay 4 Phone (3.5.1) (340) Fax (3.5.23.101) Fax 03 43 5 324

Murchison King regon 7077 New Zealand Phone 03523 1013

Motueka HickmontPlace Victoria (143 Victoria (143 Viceo Personal Phone (15 Top 10) (1 Fax (14 (18 § 75))

Takaka 78 Commercial Stoot FORGA 4 Table 2142 New Zestend

18117

#### Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

CHITCHIT	0		
CONTENT	SHLLI		
	Page	Con	F13
	lage	1	

#### COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:	6			

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
N/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):  Nelson Forests Ltd Ms Heather	Arnold
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	Heather.arnold@nelsonforests.com	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
16797	To have Purple Pampas made a Progressive control p	lant

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

This validates our request for Purple Pampas (PP) to be a control plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for all the region- it will only get worse and spread.

Project De-Vine has recently completed a survey of the lower Takaka valley. PP has been steadily appearing in this area. was found on 14 properties out of 107 assessed which covered approx 90% of the land (4000ha) area comprising 450 properties (we exclude those less than 1200m2). We have assessed the number of control hours for the first year knockdown of the PP plants as 198 hours.

This control work will support the ambitious programme to remove Willows from the Motupipi River banks & replant with native trees. PP is already along the estuary edges and would be a constant pest in the new plantings. We support the inclusion of White pampas as we have had doubts abouts its lack of spreadability. At the least place in a list of "Weed species that fall outside the Tasman - Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan Proposal".

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ Debs	Martin
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	d.martin@forestandbird.org.nz	

Support the inclusion of Chocolate vine in to the RPMP.

Support the increase in budget expenditure on pest control and include this in the Long term plan reviews. If the National Parks in this region are to be protected, then it is important to protect them from pest plants around their "halos".

1	11	12
CONTENT SHE	~ ~	.2
	0	Je13

Sheet No: 7

OFFICE USE ONLY

Further Submission No:

#### COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Topic Subject:

Original Submitter Opposed or Supported: (Please tick one) We SUPPORT the Name (of original submitter): original submission of: Royal Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ Debs Martin ☐ I/We OPPOSE the Address (of original submitter): original submission of: d.martin@forestandbird.org.nz

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

Original Submission No:

17584

This validates our request for Purple Pampas (PP) to be a control plant for all Golden Bay and preferably for all the region- it will only get worse and spread.

Reinstate Purple Pampas Grass in the RPMP

Project De-Vine has recently completed a survey of the lower Takaka valley. PP has been steadily appearing in this area. was found on 14 properties out of 107 assessed which covered approx 90% of the land (4000ha) area comprising 450 properties (we exclude those less than 1200m2). We have assessed the number of control hours for the first year knockdown of the PP plants as 198 hours.

This control work will support the ambitious programme to remove Willows from the Motupipi River banks & replant with native trees. PP is already along the estuary edges and would be a constant pest in the new plantings. We support the inclusion of White pampas as we have had doubts abouts its lack of spreadability. At the least place in a list of "Weed species that fall outside the Tasman - Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan Proposal".

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Dept of Conservation	
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	lsolly@doc.govt.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: Woolly Nightshade needs to have an	OFFICE USE ONLY
16796	associated rule added.	Submission No:

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

PDVT still supports Woolly nightshade (WNS) to be a progressive control plant for all Golden Bay as the current scattered levels of infestation will only get worse and spread.

Woolly Nightshade needs to have an associated rule added - this is to draw attention to the wording of the plan

CONTENT		0		/.	3
CONTENT	OHILL		Like	8041	5

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:	8		

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Project Janszoon	
	Address (of original submitter):	
	andrew@rdenvironmental.co.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
17580	Wants a CBA for WNS + maybe site led programmes	Submission No.

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

PDVT still supports Woolly nightshade (WNS) to be a progressive control plant for all Golden Bay as the current scattered levels of infestation will only get worse and spread. The request for a CBA can be covered by our own recent survey. Project De-Vine has recently completed a survey of the lower Takaka valley properties. WNS is found primarily on two properties with various outlying sites in this area. The biggest site's landowner, the largest site in Golden Bay, has started a WNS helicopter spray programme this autumn and we wish to support their follow up work. The other main property is also active with spray work. WNS has been steadily spreading in this area. It was found on 23 properties out of 107 assessed which covered approx 90% of the land (4000ha) area comprising 450 properties More information can be supplied

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):  Department of Conservation	
I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	lsolly@doc.govt.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
16796	Seeks a review of the BPV control progress made in the	Submission No.
Reasons for Support or Oppos	ition: existing "control" area of Golden Bay.	

Project De-Vine has been very active in the Golden Bay area to control BPV. Some 250,000 BPV plants have been killed manually and many more sprayed. The main focus has been on the eastern escarpment of Golden Bay through to the ATNP boundary. Each area where grants have been given have been reduced to "zero density" for all but the largest properties at the end of the three-year grants. Repeat follow up work is also factored in with grants. The first one was spent last year to follow up the Stage one (7th year) and two (6th year) first phase grants. The Stage three area has a 5th year follow up grant application pending.

New areas have been opened with Lotteries & DOC's "War on weeds" grants in heavily infested areas in Hamama & Puramahoi, both of which adjoin Kahurangi NP.

The most recently approved DOCCF grant for Ligar Bay to Wainui Hill completes the jigsaw to provide three years of control to all the eastern escarpment of Golden Bay - 23kms . More details available

Tasman District Council
Email info@tasman.govt.nz
Website www.tasman.govt.nz
24 hour assistance

Richmond
Jast Queen Street
Frivate Bay 4
Rommond Foto
Make Zauland
Phone US 5 1 - 6400
Fax 15 544 5 4,44

Murchison 12 Fantax Studet Marchison 70:17 New Zealand Phone 03:523:1013 Fax 03:524:1012

Motueka 2 Hickmost Paice 20 Ros 125 Motueka 2145 New 7-1 and Phone 08 576 2002 Pax 03 538 9751 Takaka 78 Commission Street FO Brich4 Takaka 7142 by w Zemand Phone 08 525 0000 Fax 08 525 0000

		0			1
CONTENT	•				90513
			Va	3	g(0

10119

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:	9

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☑ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Project Janszoon	
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	andrew@rdenvironmental.co.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
17850	Supports BPV control as stated in the RPMP draft	Submission No:

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

The addition of the Riwaka to Marahau area for BPV to the RPMP is important, as this area will be extensively controlled for OMB to ensure its wind borne seeds do not spread in to ATNP nearby. The work to control an extra vine plant is the same as for OMB and is easily added to include the less common BPV, which will in most cases be found with it.

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Forest and Bird Protection Society	
☐ I/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):	
original submission of:		/
	d.martin@forestandbird.org.nz	•
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
17584	Supports Banana passion vine control and Site-led	
Reasons for Support or Opposi	tion: community-led projects to enable funding streams	and other council support.

The addition of the Riwaka to Marahau area for BPV to the RPMP is important, as this area will be extensively controlled for OMB to ensure its wind borne seeds do not spread in to ATNP nearby. The work to control an extra vine plant is the same as for OMB and is easily added to include the less common BPV, which will in most cases be found with it.



C	0	N	T	E	N	T	S	H	_		
										Λ	

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:			
	10		

(PJease tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Forest & Bird Protection Society of NZ ( Address (of original submitter):	Gillian Pollock
onginal submission of.	Nelsontasman.branch@forestandbird	.org.nz
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY
onginal odbiniosion ve.		Further Submission No:
14859	Supports Yellow Jasmine as a Progress	sive Containment
14859 Reasons for Support or Oppos		sive Containment

Project De-Vine is very aware of the dangers of letting this plant spread outside of Golden Bay. It is very important to ensure it does not establish outside the Bay. Two plants have been found at coastal batches in ATNP, so vigilance is imperative. It is able to colonise the rockiest locations in full sun as well as thrive in shade and lightwells. The plant is hardly found anywhere else in NZ and apparently only in small numbers. Project De-Vine has been doing contracted control work just inside the ATNP boundary and plans to aid the boundaries of the National Parks with control outside the boundary in conjunction with Project Janszoon and other funding when available. It is also starting to deal with areas of low YJ density to stop its spread to the western and other parts of the Bay.

(PJease tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Golden Bay Branch of Forest and Bird	
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	c/o Celia Butler or Jo-Anne Vaughan javn@xtra.co.r	nz
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
16794	Supports Yellow Jasmine as a Progressive Containmen	Submission No.
Reasons for Support or Oppos	ition: plant for the Tasman-Nelson Region	

Project De-Vine is very aware of the dangers of letting this plant spread outside of Golden Bay. It is very important to ensure it does not establish outside the Bay. Two plants have been found at coastal batches in ATNP, so vigilance is imperative. It is able to colonise the rockiest locations in full sun as well as thrive in shade and lightwells. The plant is hardly found anywhere else in NZ and apparently only in small numbers. Project De-Vine has been doing contracted control work just inside the ATNP boundary and plans to aid the boundaries of the National Parks with control outside the boundary in conjunction with Project Janszoon and other funding when available. It is also starting to deal with areas of low YJ density to stop its spread to the western and other parts of the Bay.

Tasman District Council Email info@tasman.dovtnZ Website www.tasman.govt.nz 24 hour assistance Richmond 159 Queen Street Dictimend 7050 Phone U.55 14 8 300 Fax 03 528 1012 Fax 03 543 1524

Murchison 9. Fairfux Street Maria and TOTA New Zealand Phone 61 523 1013

Motueka 7 Hickmott Pisce PC Bis 123 Phone 03 576 2027 Fax 33 538 5751

Takaka 78 Commercial Street Takel 0 / 142 forw 7- stand Phone 08.525 (028 Fax 03.535, 007)



	-				
K	GOL	-11	of	13	
_	-0	_			ĺ

#### COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:	11		
	11		

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:		
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):		
	Northern South IslandDepartment of Conservation		
I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):		
	lsolly@doc.govt.nz		
		OFFICE USE ONLY	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	Further Submission No:	
16796	Prefers control outside the core area of the infestation		


Reasons for Support or Opposition:

By making this plant a regional progressive control pest, this ensures it will not spread. Maybe a Biological control agent can be found for the most infested areas, but until that time, containing the worst areas by limiting its spread are paramount. It is on the boundary of Kahurangi NP in Hamama already.

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
	Project Janszoon	
In/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	andrew@rdenvironmental.co.nz	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
16796	Wants more CBA for YJ	

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

By making this plant a regional progressive control pest, this ensures it will not spread. Maybe a Biological control agent can be found for the most infested areas, but until that time, containing the worst areas by limiting its spread are paramount. It is on the boundary of Kahurangi NP in Hamama already.



OFFICE USE ONLY

Further Submission No:

#### COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No: 12

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed of Supported.		
J//We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):		
Various Run out of time to enter more details			
☐ I/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):		
original submission of:	Address (of original submitter).		
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further	
	Control of Gunnera, Sycamore, Ginger, Douglas Fir	Submission No:	
(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:		
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):		
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):		

Reasons for Support or Opposition:

Original Submission No:

Topic Subject:







#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to: Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond Email: paul sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submitter No:

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the **Proposed Tasman-Nelson** Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

	CKETT of ANTONY WRIGHT
(organisation/individual)	
Representative/Contact:	
(if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone:
	Email: nx 3585@yahoo.com
28 CAMBRIA STREET	Date:
NELSON 7010	1 de la constante de la consta
NELSON 7010	Total number of pages submitted (including this page):
Postal address for service of person making further	
submission: (if different from above)	
	1000
	L.S. Duelett.
	Signed:
	NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.
	electionic means.
IMPORTANT - Please state:	
☐ If you have more than one further submission, state	
☐ Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further	
☐ Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting	your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings
Remember:	
1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content	
Within five working days of sending this furth submission to the person who made the original forms.	ner submission to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further
Submission to the person who made the ong	mai susmission.
Tasman District Council   Richmond	Murchison Motueko Takaka

Email into it asman governz Website www.tamen.cout.cz 24 hour assistance

Harry See 1 and 1 August 1 and FRETT ALL IL

Had more those to the total of the total of

PART STATE


Told 14

Told 2714,

Ind. Tolde 1

Phone is Tille 18

Fax 11513 0010



COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:		

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:
VI/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):  PAULINE SCHURMANN
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):  46 TOTARA AVENUE
	GOLDEN BAY
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: Submission for Regional Many OFFICE USE ONLY
14832	Topic Subject: Submission for Regional Mand OFFICE USE ONLY Flan. Inclusion of Totara Ave, Pakawau - Asparagus Fern remodal Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition	n:
Totara Ave	enue is a unique natural environment
containing coa	stal Totara trees, nesting penguins and other
	life. The spread of asparagus fern poses
a threat to;	these. Inclusion in the Regional Pest
Management	Plan will assist the exterts of 0
existildo pr	Plan will assist the efforts of perty owners in the evaducation of pest, and allow regeneration of ferry undergran
this indusive	pest, and allow regeneration of terry undergran

(Please tick one)	Onginal Submitter Opposed or Supported.				
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):				
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):				
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No.			
Reasons for Support or Oppos	ition:				

Tasman District Council Email info@tasman.coxt.nz Website www.tesman.gov.chz 24 hour assistance

Richmond Murchlson
This Quarter treet
Properties
Properties
Properties
Properties
Properties
Phone of Yill and Parties
P Richmond Inv Queen Street Property A Aghancel 705 t Fax 03 943 5 424

Matueka 7 Hakamat Pisae 190 fan 194 Matueka 2148 Mar Zes and Phone 18 5 5 5 202 Fee at 508 6761





#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the sovertland challen date to:
Team Leader Diosecurity
Farman Detrict Council
Private East 4, Richmond, 7030; OR
180 Overs Street, Richmond CR
Ereat, paul shalcon international control

OFFICE USE

Further Guirillain No.

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

Notes

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

Subsect Mark	Helen	-	Mal	col	ha	Sil	mond
temensation individuely							

Répresantativa Contrata of different train above)

Poplat Address

131 Dominorn Road R.D.1 upper montere 7173 Phone 03 5402557-

Emai heley e salwondorchard . Ca M2

Date 16 03 18

Total number of pages submitted pischolog this page): 2

Possel address for annies or person making further submission: (if different from above)

Signed: 40H NAME and .

NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by which work maps is

#### HEPORTANT - Please slote.

- El if you have more treat one further authression, state the number of authressions you are making hore.
- Co stock fryew which to be heard in support of your highes subtrission
- (2) Then, if you would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings

Recomber

- 1. Atlach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets as required.
- Within five working days of sending this further submission to the Tasmen District Council, send a copy of this further submission to the person who made the original submission.

Various Blokes Colored from the Colored Colored from the Colored Colored Colored

Buch provide:	Water Lineau
I make the	N. Ball Cherry
	Salar Park III
J. Marin Te.	Nenn
FFERN THE	

and an article	
District the	
Heire /	



18118

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

CONTENT

lage

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No.

2

PO / 6 ES ONG WAY BUPPORT UNG CHIGHES SUBMISSION CE.	Pauline Schurmann	
TUNG OPPOSE the eligibal submission of	46 Totara Avenue Golden Buy.	
14832	Totara Ave. Asparagus Fern	GFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
in the control are not consec of native under Avenue and ad chantel his insti-	long the Avenue. Some properties of the fern on their properties quently this dread ful weed is er-storey and the spread is of jacent net Burnett range and hided in the pest-Myt-Plan to en ing and invarive weed species	emothering many areas great concern for the ader environs. There are use the eradication of
Presse Inhone)	1. Original Submitter Copessed at Supported.	temperatural and the second se
CI LAVIE SUPPORT THE CONSTRUCTOR AUSTRALIAN	Naves (of original subjective):	
CI We OPPOSE the organization of	Address (of original submitter):	A 172
		I OFFICE USE ONLY
Coginal Submittation No.	York Subject	Further. Schmission No.
Cognis Submitation No.  Redison's for Buppart or Oppos		Pulter.











# **Nelson City** te kaunihera b whaka Coust

#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to: Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond OR Email: paul.sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submitter No:

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the **Proposed Tasman-Nelson** Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

Submitter Name: Nelson - Tasman bi (organisation/individual)	ranch of torrest and bird
Representative/Contact: (If different from above)	ek
Postal Address:  De d Vessie Street  Tagna  7005	Phone: \$\alpha 2 1 3 8 0 3 1 0  Email: \$\alpha \tau \text{Pelleck (a Scolch, (Q 1/13)}{\text{Date:}}\$  Date: \$\frac{1176 \text{ch } \text{L Q 18}}{\text{Lotal number of pages submitted (including this page):}} \text{2}
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
	Signed:
IMPORTANT – Please state:  If you have more than one further submission, state the number of your further submission.	

Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings

1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets as required.

2. Within five working days of sending this further submission to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further submission to the person who made the original submission.

Tasman District Council Email not tearned out to 24 hour assistance












HEET Page 20F3

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	Λ 1 :
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	sheet.
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	u	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: Weeds and Pierts	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition		
West	sport central of weeds	and prests

Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
		Further
asons for Support or Oppos	ition:	Submission No:



Motueka

Takaka

18/19 Page 3 of 825

We support submissions –		
Ms Alison Pickford, 4 Sutton Street, Richmond, 7020 Mr Christopher Richards, 107 Tophouse Road, St Arnaud, 7020 Mrs Pamela Pope, 46 Stansell Ave, Moana, Nelson 7011 Mr Scott Nicol, 38 Arrow Street, Wakefield, 7025 Tim le Gros, 26 palmer Road, Brightwater, 7091 Golden Bay branch of Forest and Bird, 1900 Takaka Valley Highway, Takaka,	14825 16677 16771 16779 16782 7183	
Roy Bensemann, 470 Sherry River Road, Tapawera, 7096 Director-General of Conservation,pp Lionel Solly, Private Bag 5, Nelson, 7042 Nelson Forests Ltd, private Bag 5, Richmond, 7050 Federated Farmers, 57a Theodosia Street, Timaru, 7910 Waimea Nurseries, Golden Hills Road, RD1 Richmond Nelson City Council, P O Box 645, Nelson, 7040 Royal Forest and Bird Protection Society of NZ Inc, P O Box 266, Nelson 7040 Ministry for Primary Industries, P O box 2528, Wellington, 6140	16797 16798 16802 16993	
We oppose –		
Barbara Stirrup, 95 Alpine Meadows, St Arnaud, 7072	16756	





#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to: Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond Email: paul.sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

**OFFICE USE Date Received** 

Further Submitter No:

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the **Proposed Tasman-Nelson** Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

#### Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

Submitter Name: Julier Dan Avyder. (organisation/individual)	300.
Representative/Contact: (if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone: 5444 871
5 Giffin St	Email: juliendana slingshot. Comz
Richmond 7020.	Date: 18/03/18 -
	Total number of pages submitted (including this page):
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
	Signed: Alunnond
	Signed: NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.
IMPORTANT – Please state:	
If you have more than one further submission, state the number	
☐ Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further submission	
Lines if you would be prepared to consider presenting your sub-	mission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings

#### Remember:

1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets as required.

2. Within five working days of sending this further submission to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further submission to the person who made the original submission.

**Tasman District Council** Email info@tasman.covtinz Website way a tasman coving 24 hour assistance

Torridge Silver Silver Primary of 7000

AL Partin Street Main history accord Phone Of State (2) Phone 03:15 Aley Fax 03523 (c.12) Fax 03: 43 5074

Motueka Witten 100 Phone Fax 115 William 100 Phone F

Takaka SV a numerical lateral Hone State Fax Control

.0

CONTENTS.

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:		
☑ I/We SUPPORT the	Name (of original submitter):		
original submission of:	Pauline Schumann		
☐ i/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):		
original submission of:			
	Golden Bay.		
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY	
14832	Totara Aute Asparagus Fern	Further Submission No:	
Reasons for Support or Opposition:			
We support the above submission to eradicate asparagus form in Totara the because we are property owners!			
ten in con	a fit budge in an pro	paig san 41	
neighbours of the submitter who are vicaling our			
property of this invasive pest, and hope the council will support all of our exports.			

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
original submission of:	Anna Hickman	
□ I/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):	
original submission of:	62 Totara Ave	
	Golden Bay	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY
	Totara Aue Asparagus Fern.	Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposi	tion:	
C X1		

Jee About.

Tasman District Council Email into a tasm an opythiz Website www.tasman.govtna 24 hour assistance

Richmond
1 1 2 ren 1 1 2 c

Fax 35 -411 124

Murchison

Murchison Motueka

Manuschart Albert Manuschart Albert Manuschart Phone (35 1864) Fax 355 (3 1c);

Motueka

Takaka
TS Introduct Start
FO 14
Ikoka 114
Ibod Tenad
Phone 5





Page 1 of

#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to:

Team Leader Biosecurity
Tasman District Council
Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR
189 Queen Street, Richmond OR
Email: paul.sheidon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submitter No

Further Submission on any
Original Submission on the
Proposed Tasman-Nelson
Regional Pest Management
Plan 2017-2027

Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is **NOT** for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

Cubilina	sme)
(organisation/individual)	
Representative/Contact:	
(if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone: 027303 1941
52 Brougham Street Nebon South Nebon 7010	Date: 13/3/18  Total number of pages submitted (including this page)  MAR 2018
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
•	
	Signed:  NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.

#### IMPORTANT - Please state:

- ☐ If you have more than one further submission, state the number of submissions you are making here:\_\_\_\_
- ☐ Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further submission
- ☐ Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any heafings

#### Remember:

- 1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets as required.
- 2. Within five working days of sending this further submission to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further submission to the person who made the original submission.

farman District Council Email of Colombia Council Website of Colombia Council 24 hour assistance Phone

Marchison factorists Phone U. 3.

Motucka

Takaka

18121

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

CONTENT SHEET

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):  Pauline Schurmonn	
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	- Ab Totara ave Feintown Gelden Bay.	
	Feintown Galden Bay.	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY
	Eradicaling Asparagus	Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Oppos		
Osparage	is weed how increased exponent	rally over the last

10 years and is in danger of threelening the notice vegetation that lives beneath the conopy. I believe all land owners should to be responsibility to centerin the weed.

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
☐ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	•
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Oppos	sition:	

Yasman District Count Email moditionan covers Website sondomar.co.du 24 haur assistance

Richmond





#### **COVER SHEET**

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to: Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond OR

Email: paul.sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE **Date Received** 

Further Submitter No:

**Further Submission on any** Original Submission on the **Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management** Plan 2017-2027

Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission to the proposed plan.

Submitter Name: ALAN 1 LOTS	Brookes
(organisation/individual)	
Representative/Contact:	
(if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone: 03 52 88 36C4
39 MOTHERCA QUAY	Email: 6 rookes moheeka ag mail. com
MOTUEICA QUAY	Date:
	Total number of pages submitted (including this page):
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
	Signed: NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.
IMPORTANT - Please state:	
If you have more than one further submission, state the numb	er of submissions you are making here:
☐ Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further submiss	
	mission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings
Remember: 1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets a	

Tasman District Council Email was a tale principal of

Website was to an ingout of 24 hour assistance Richmond Phone set of Fax 10 11 11

submission to the person who made the original submission.

La cas of Phone

Francisco de la Contraction de Phone : Phone Fax

CONTENT SHEET

#### Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:		
VI I/We SUPPORT the	Name (of original submitter):	Local Spingsoft Swinson	
original submission of:	$\square$		
	Vauline Schumann.		
☐ I/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):	30-14-1-20-Car	
original submission of:	/ /		
	46 POTARA IT		
	GOLDEN BAY		
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: OFFICE USE ONLY		
14832	TOTAZA AVE ASPARAGW Further Submission No:		
Reasons for Support or Opposition:	[-RKN]		
Trouble to Outport of Opposition.	· [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18] [18]		
Fern l	Fern becoming more widespread in spike		
of ellowto of several residents to			
of efforts of several residents to Contain it on heir own land.			
We have a property at 38 TOTARA Are.			
000 12	see a property at 50 to that the		
	그리다 그리고 하다 하다 하는 가는 이 그리는 가는 하는 것은 사람들이 되었다.		
		- 1	

f original submitter):	
Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:  Name (of original submitter):  Address (of original submitter):	
	(of original submitter):

Tasman District Council Email acceptage to a form out of Website was to make sales 24 hour assistance Richmond Phone: 1 Ab Fax: 101

Murchison

Motueka Phone Fax Takaka







Nelson City Council te kaunihera c whakatū

#### COVER SHEET

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to: Team Leader Biosecurity Tasman District Council Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond OR

Email: paul.sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission.

Further Submitter No:	to the proposed plan.
Submitter Name: Ross & Celia	McKechnie
(organisation/individual)	
Representative/Contact:	
(if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone: 548 000 Z
40 Van Diemen St Nelson Fow	Email: 1088. mokechnie @duncancofferill  Date: 16th March 2018  Total number of pages submitted (including this page):  (bach address 35 Tota-ra Avenuel  Golden Bay
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
	$\bigcap$

IMPORTANT - Please state:

☐ If you have more than one further submission, state the number of submissions you are making here:\_

☐ Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further submission

☐ Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings

electronic means.

Remember:

1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets as required.

2. Within five working days of sending this further submission to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further submission to the person who made the original submission.

Tasman District Council Email info@tasman.dow.nz Website anywasomon no and 24 hour assistance

Richmond
18s Garren Sweet
Francis Sol 4
Factorium 7059
New Zenteral
Phone US 115 (400)

Murchison Marte Samme Filt. 7 Phone (B. 575 101) Fax (B. 575 101) Motinska 7 Hokmouts de Motor (45) Motor (45) Motor (45) Phone (45) Fax 07 578 6751

Takaka 78 Commercia Sireet PORos 04 Tabala / (4) Hard Hard Phone 0 105 (4) Fax 03 575 9071

NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:
MWe SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter): Pauline Schurmann
□ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter): 46 Totala Avenue Golden Bay
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: Totara Ave As paragres Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposite Asparagus well in Impact	fern 15 a postudarly pernicious two environment whose 15 increasing rapidly

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Oppos	ition:	

Tasman District Council Email in plateamen. govern Website www.tesmon.gov/.co 24 hour assistance

Richmond Murchion Motuelia Takako

184 Cuisen Street 192 Batto Street

185 Cuisen Street 192 Batto Street

185 Cuisen Street 192 Batto Street

185 Cuisen Street

185

#### COVER SHEET

Return your submission by the advertised closing date to:

Team Leader Biosecurity **Tasman District Council** Private Bag 4, Richmond, 7050 OR 189 Queen Street, Richmond Email: paul.sheldon@tasman.govt.nz

OFFICE USE Date Received

Further Submitter No:

Further Submission on any Original Submission on the **Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management** Plan 2017-2027

#### Note:

This form is only for the purpose of supporting or opposing original submissions. It is NOT for making an original submission

	to the proposed plan.
Submitter Name: Veil Page (organisation/individual)	(Nativa Bird Recovery Richmond)
Representative/Contact:	
(if different from above)	
Postal Address:	Phone: 5445418
7 Primrose Place	Email: Date: 3 · 3 · 18
Richmond 7020	Total number of pages submitted (including this page):
Postal address for service of person making further submission: (if different from above)	
	Signed:  NOTE: A signature is not required if you make your submission by electronic means.
IMPORTANT - Please state:	

- ☐ If you have more than one further submission, state the number of submissions you are making here:\_
- Tick if you wish to be heard in support of your further submission
- Tick if you would be prepared to consider presenting your submission in a joint case with others making a similar submission at any hearings

#### Remember:

- 1. Attach this Cover Sheet to as many Content Sheets as required.
- 2. Within five working days of sending this further submission to the Tasman District Council, send a copy of this further submission to the person who made the original submission.

#### Tasman District Council

Email into@tasman.covt nz. Website www.tasman.gov/.nz 24 hour assistance

## Richmond

Phone CR 51/ 8400 Fax 05 5431 1/4

#### Murchison 92 Fauto Street Minchiso 1 100 New Zeal and Phone (P<sup>2</sup>), and

Motueka

## Takaka Takaka TS Commercial Street PO Sup 14 Island T4 Island T4 Island Phone 35 C (1000) Fax (23 C 15 d 3)

18124 CONTEN

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

	2		
Sheet No:	1		

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:
We SUPPORT the	Name (of original submitter):
original submission of:	Pamela Pope
☐ I/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):
original submission of:	46 Stansell Ave,
	Moana, Nelson 7011
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: OFFICE USE ONLY Further
16771	7, 8, 9 Cats - hedgehogs. Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition	
Cats	are Killing our native birds . fauna.

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
I/We SUPPORT the	Name (of original submitter):	
original submission of:	gillian Pollock (Forest & Bird)	
☐ I/We OPPOSE the	Address (of original submitter):	
original submission of:	26d Jessie Street	
	Address (of original submitter): 26 d Jessie Street Mapua 700	05
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further
14859	10. cats.	Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition		
Cats a	se killing our native birds e has some excellent prop	

Tasman District Council Email info@tasman.covtnz

Website www.tasman.govt.r.a. 24 hour assistance

Takaka Takaka
Takaka
Taka minintal Meet
PORo Tak
akaka 14,
best Zealand
Phone 15 Class to
Fax (15 Class to
Fax (15 Class to

18124

#### Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

CONTENT SHEET

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:
I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):  Gillian Bishop
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):
	140 Coastal Highway, RD.1 Apple by, Richmond 7081
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject: OFFICE USE ONLY
16888	Teral + Domestic Cats Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition	
	Cats are Killing our native birds & faunq
e gillian	has some excellent proposals for cat control.
domestic	cat control.

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
We SUPPORT the	Name (of original submitter):	
original submission of:	Christopher Richards (Friends of	Rotoiti)
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
ongina casmioson on	107 Tophouse Rd	
	Address (of original submitter):  107 Tophouse Rd  St Arnaud RD2, 7  Nelson  Topic Subject:	072
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY
16677	Cats	Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Opposition:		
	The number of feral cats cl	iristopher is
Killing each y	year hi-lights the problem of	domestic cats
being dumped	e becoming feral.	
	)	

(Please tick one)

18126

#### Further Submission on any Original Submission on the Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017-2027

#### **CONTENT SHEET**

COMPLETE AS MANY CONTENT SHEETS AS REQUIRED AND ATTACH WITH ONE COMPLETED COVER SHEET

Sheet No:

(Ploase tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
(Please tick one)	Name (of original submitter):	
original submission of:	Alison Pickford (NBRR & KRB	)
☐ I/We OPPOSE the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
	4 Sutton St	
	7 34.701 31 3020	
	4 Sutton St Richmond 7020	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY
14825	Dumped cats.	Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Oppositi	on:	
	Alison has acknowledged that are a serious pest e need u	dumped cats
	ire a serious pest e need u	ontrolling
8		9

(Please tick one)	Original Submitter Opposed or Supported:	
☐ I/We SUPPORT the original submission of:	Name (of original submitter):	
□ I/We <b>OPPOSE</b> the original submission of:	Address (of original submitter):	
Original Submission No:	Topic Subject:	OFFICE USE ONLY Further Submission No:
Reasons for Support or Oppos	ition:	

Email info@tasman.govtinz Website www.tasman.gov/ r.z. 24 hour assistance

Submission to Proposed Tasman-Nelson Regional Pest Management Plan 2017---2027

Regel of Regional Pest Management Plan 2017---2027

Support (in part or full) or Oppose in the Support in part
in the Support in part
eradicate, progressively or sustainably control the pests listed in sustainably control the pests listed in the Site-led Programme to eliminate or reduce their adverse effects to an extent that protects the values of that place.
6.5 Site-led Pests Programme Site-led Pests are pests, or organisms spread by the pest, in the Tasman-Nelson region that are capable of causing adverse impacts in sites with high natural values.  The Objective Over the duration of this Plan, pests listed in the Site-led Programme to eliminate or minimise that place (Section 5.1 p.18).  Support  Consider appropriate measures in other areas that have issues with Wildings. Where a control programme has been active should trigger a requirement under the Plan to keep those areas clear. An additional rule for wilding confier control is needed to address the impact from planted confiers. This would support the confier control undertaken by the National Wilding Confier Control Programme funded by MPI, DOC, LINZ, and landowners as well as other publicly funded control programmes.

Prop	Proposal's provisions	visions	MPI Submission	ssion		1202 2019	
Page	Part	Content	Support (in part or full) or	Recommendation; MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	ns from the	Comment / Reasons	7
9	4.2, Table 2	Wilding conifers (Pinus contorta, P. mugo, P. muricata, P. nigra, P. pinaster, P. ponderosa, P. radiata, P. sylvestris, P. uncinata, Pseudotsuga menziesii, Larix decidua).  Note: Further work is required with stakeholders to seek consensus on species and locations of programmes	Support in part	Wilding conifers are any introduced conifer tree, including (but not limited to) any of the species listed in Table 1, established by natural means, unless it is located within a forest plantation, and does not create any greater risk of wilding conifer spread to adjacent or nearby land than the forest plantation that it is a part of.  For the purposes of this definition, a forest plantation is an area of 1 hectare or more of predominantly planted trees.	Wilding conifers a established natura process has been action when wilding orgonized wilding of from existing area the initial wilding of sources, particular Crown plantings festablished shelte pastoral lease land forest plantations. Wilding conifers a conifer species. The recognised as currecognised as currecognised as currecognised in New Zehave little or no comuch less frequen species, particularifir (Pseudostuga more).	Wilding conifers are introduced conifers that have mainly established naturally as a result of natural seed spread. This process has been exacerbated by landowners failing to take action when wilding conifers first occur, and much of the ongoing wilding conifer spread in New Zealand is generated from existing areas of reproducing wilding conifers. Much of the initial wilding conifer spread originated from a range of sources, particularly historic or 'legacy' plantings, such as Crown plantings for erosion control and research; longestablished shelterbelts and amenity plantings on private and pastoral lease land; and in some locations, from woodlots and forest plantations.  Wilding conifers are produced by many different introduced conifer species. The conifer species identified in the Plan are recognised as currently contributing most to the wilding conifer problem in New Zealand. While some of these species now have little or no commercial value and are no longer planted, or much less frequently planted than in the past, several of these species, particularly Radiata pine (Pinus radiata) and Douglas fir (Pseudostuga menziesii), are highly valuable commercially prown species that contribute size and the contribute of the species that contribute size and the contribute of the species that contribute size and the contributes of the contributes	de d
				Table 1		State of the state	
				Common Name		Scientific Name	
				Douglas fir		Pseudotsuga menziesii	
				Lodgepole or contorta pine		Pinus contorta	
				Scots pine		Pinus sylvestris	T
				Dwarf mountain pine and mountain	ountain	Pinus mugo and P.unicinata	
				Bishops pine		Pinus muricata	T
				Maritime pine		Pinus pinaster	
				Ponderosa pine		Pinus ponderosa	T
				Corsican pine		Pinus nigra	T
+	1			European larch		Larix decidua	
1				Radiata Pine		Pinus radiata	T

The state of the s	The second secon		TARREST STATEMENT OF THE PARTY				1
Propos	Proposal's provisions	isions	MPI Submission	ssion			5
P	P	Content	Support	Recommendation;	Com	Comment / Reasons	
age	art		(in part or full) or Oppose	MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	ns from the		po commence de la com
			Support	MPI recommends	Some of the spec	Some of the species that cause wilding conifers have very limited	V-120-4000
			in part	extending the Plan's	commercial value	commercial value, but can be highly invasive, and therefore it may	<u> </u>
			3	scope to include other	be appropriate to	be appropriate to specify these species as pests in their planted	CHILDING.
				conifer species. Pinus	state, in addition	state, in addition to being pests under the wilding conifer definition	_
				contorta is only one of a	in their naturally i	in their naturally regenerated state. This would effectively prevent	-
				number of conifer	new plantings of	new plantings of these species, as well as enable regulatory	0.2700-0pm
				species that are	control requiring	control requiring removal of these species in situations where they	>
				generally regarded to be	are planted but p	are planted but pose a wilding conifer spread risk.	-
				a pest plant. In order to	Contorta in partic	Contorta in particular, is the most invasive introduced conifer	
		660		enable the regulatory	species and repri	species and represents a significant proportion of all wilding	
				control of at least some	conifers and origi	conifers and original sources of wilding conifer spread. Contorta is	(0
				planted conifers where	already an unwai	already an unwanted organism under the BSA, but is specified as	-
				they pose a wilding	a pest and subjec	a pest and subject to rules in only some current RPMPs.	EPW disc
				conifer spread risk, and	Other low value t	Other low value but highly invasive conifer species that could also	-
				at the very least to	potentially be spe	potentially be specified as pests are Scots pine, Dwarf mountain	- Contraction
				prevent new plantings of	pine, Mountain pi	pine, Mountain pine, and European larch. In the case of European	_
				these species, it is	Larch, the intent	Larch, the intent here is to address early plantings that cause	
				recommended that, in	ongoing wilding s	ongoing wilding spread, rather than the sterile hybrids that tend to	
				addition to specifying	be used in more recent plantings.	ecent plantings.	COLUMN TO SERVICE
				wilding conifers as pests	A key factor in re	A key factor in recommending that these species be separately	es-wate
				using the definition set	specified as pest	specified as pests is to enable control of them in their planted state	e)
				out above, the Plan also	where they are ca	where they are causing wilding coniter spread and/or threaten	eatur
				specify the following	particular values	particular values through the spread of wilding confers, as a	
				Illioduced collilei	means of suppor	inearis of supporting and confineduing to whall go online outcomes.	
				species as pests:	Consequently, in	Consequently, tress species would ideally be managed under the	4)
					also be managed	same in the programme as whoming commers, but could potentially also be managed under a different programme in a different part of	Je
					the region.		
				Lodgepole or contorta pine		Pinus contorta	
				Scots pine		Pinus sylvestris	
				Dwarf mountain pine and mountain pine	nountain pine	Pinus mugo and P.unicinata	
				European larch (excluding sterile hybrids)	sterile hybrids)	Larix decidua	O/OMEHINE

=	Tioposal s provisions	MPI Submiss	ssion	12 / Say 407 /
	Content	Support (in part or full) or Oppose	Recommendation; MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	Comment / Reasons
	Site-led Pests are pests, or organisms spread by the pest, in the Tasman-Nelson region that are capable of causing adverse impacts in sites with high natural values.  Over the duration of this Plan, eradicate or progressively control the pests listed in the Site-led Programme to eliminate or minimise their adverse effects on the values of that place (Section 5.1 p.18).	+	Amend to expressly recognise that Wilding Conifers have adverse effects on economic wellbeing, the environment, human health, enjoyment of the natural environment, and the relationship between Maori, their culture, and their traditions and their ancestral lands, waters, sites, wähi tapu and taonga.	Wilding conifers can have significant impacts on native ecosystems, particularly those with low-stature vegetation. Wilding conifers grow faster these species. Where there is dense wilding conifer growth, this can lead riparian areas, and resulting impacts on native fauna through the loss of habitat. Soil and soil fauna are also altered when wilding conifers replace native ecosystems.  Most wilding conifer species do not pose a significant threat to established other introduced conifer species and consequently wilding Douglas fir is forest where there are canopy gaps and a relatively sparse understory. Wilding conifers can affect amenity and landscape values, particularly wegetation (eg. South Island high country tussock grasslands, Central examples). In some instances these landscapes are important for tourism and large-scale landscape changes could impact on this. Dense wilding and volcanic plateau inserfreplace with locally relevant and large-scale landscape changes could impact on this. Dense wilding and vistas, and can impede access to, and enjoyment of, recreational In areas where there is long-term, seasonal soil moisture deficits, dense potentially impacting on water availability and aquatic ecosystems.  Wilding conifers can also increase the risk posed by wild fires.  Wilding conifers can also increase the risk posed by wild fires.  Wilding conifers can also increase the risk posed by wild fires.  Some locations through: physical changes to culturally important the mauri of streams and wetlands.  In areas of extensive pastoral farming, wilding conifer infestations reduce available grazing land and limit future land use options due to the bioth available grazing land and limit future land use options due to the bioth available grazing land and limit future land use options due to the bioth available grazing land and limit future land use options due to the bioth available grazing land and limit future land use options due to the bioth available grazing land and limit future land use of extensive pastore

-
1
I
5
5
5
2
20
0

Propo	Proposal's provisions	sions	MPI Submission	ssion					
Page	Part	Content	Support (in part or full) or Oppose	Recommendation; MPI seeks the folk Council	tion; following d	Recommendation; MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	Comment / Reasons		
47	6.5, Table 12	Mt Richmond Forest Park, Abel Tasman National Park, Nelson Lakes National Park (sites to be defined later)	Support in	part	Consider measures that have Wildings.	Consider appropriate measures in other areas that have issues with Wildings.	Region wide rules should be applied occupiers to keep areas free of wildin collaborative control programme. The written in such a way that they are troordinated control programme takin approach would support and protect investment of the National Wilding C Programme (or any local initiatives).	Region wide rules should be applied requiring land occupiers to keep areas free of wilding following a collaborative control programme. These rules could be written in such a way that they are triggered by a coordinated control programme taking place. This approach would support and protect any future investment of the National Wilding Conifer Control Programme (or any local initiatives).	e e
47	6.5, Table 13	Eleven species of conifers were listed in Table 2 as being potential wilding conifers. Four of these species, (Douglas fir Pseudotsuga menziesii, Lodgepole pine Pinus.contorta, Radiata pine, P. radiata, Scots pine P. sylvestris) have the potential to be significant pests when growing on nearby take-off sites upwind from sites of high natural value in Mt Richmond Forest Park.  Two species, Radiata pine and Douglas fir, are very valuable commercial species that have been planted extensively throughout the region. Most of these plantings are in commercial forests, located well away from high-value conservation areas. The wildings from these two species have largely arisen from plantings of shelter belts and stands on private land close to the conservation areas.  Lodgepole pine was originally planted to stabilise an eroding hillside on steep mountainous terrain on the eastern side of Golden Downs Forest. Scots pine was included in some early experimental plantings in Golden Downs forest. Burning of hillsides left bare ground, suitable for conifer seed carried by gale-force winds from trees in exposed situations to establish and form new stands. Most pines are pioneering species and will only establish on disturbed sites, on bare land or in tussock grassland. However, Douglas fir seedlings have in scrubland, on the margins of native forest, and occasionally in light wells within the forest.  Lodgepole pine is the most invasive and is capable of establishing on alpine grasslands and scrublands above the existing bushline up to 2000 m, outgrowing most native preside and begins and begi	Table 2 as species, (E species, (E species), (E species), (D species),	s being bouglas fir torta, ave the earby take- Mt y valuable ively in alue becies have ands on in eroding n side of some early rning of carried by carried by becies and or in have or in have setablish ccasionally e of	Support in part	Consider appropriate measures i other areas that have issues with Wildings. Where a control progra has been active should trigger a requirement under the Plan to ke those areas clear. An additional for wilding conifer control is need address the impact from planted conifers. This would support the conifer control undertaken by the National Wilding Conifer Control Programme funded by MPI, DOC LINZ, and landowners as well as publicly funded control programm.  The Plan should provide greater and specificity in terms of other a where containment is to occur ar extent that reduction will occur.	Consider appropriate measures in other areas that have issues with Wildings. Where a control programme has been active should trigger a requirement under the Plan to keep those areas clear. An additional rule for wilding conifer control is needed to address the impact from planted conifers. This would support the conifer control undertaken by the National Wilding Conifer Control Programme funded by MPI, DOC, LINZ, and landowners as well as other publicly funded control programmes.  The Plan should provide greater clarity and specificity in terms of other areas where containment is to occur and the extent that reduction will occur.	Region wide rules should be applied requiring land occupiers to keep areas free of wilding following a collaborative control programme. These rules could be written in such a way that they are triggered by a coordinated control programme taking place. This approach would support and protect any future investment of the National Wilding Conifer Control Programme (or any local initiatives).	ers ne lid

J		Reserved that the Sharpenine arrange		<u>e</u>	
(24 60t)		Comment / Reasons	MPI supports specific, time-bound and measureable objectives (see below).	MPI supports ongoing engagement with stakeholders and landowners to find ways to manage the spread of wilding conifers. MPI encourages Councils to explore regulatory and non-regulatory options to manage the risk of wilding conifer spread, particularly in areas that are vulnerable to wilding conifer invasion.	
	ssion	Recommendation; MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	The Plan should provide greater clarity and specificity in terms of other areas where containment is to occur and the extent that reduction will occur.		
	MPI Submission	Support (in part or full) or Oppose	Support in part	Support in part	
	risions	Content	Site-led Programme: that the pest, or an organism being spread by the pest, that is capable of causing damage to a place, is excluded or eradicated from that place, or is contained, reduced, or controlled within the place to an extent that protects the values of that place	Site led. Note: Further work is required with stakeholders to seek consensus on species and locations of programmes	Site-led Programme: that the pest, or an organism being spread by the pest, that is capable of causing damage to a place, is excluded or eradicated from that place, or is contained, reduced, or controlled within the place to an extent that protects the values of that place
	Proposal's provisions	Part	5.2	4.2, Table 2 and	5.2
Kalkandalanda	Prop(	Page	21	8	27

Propos	Proposal's provisions	isions	MPI Submission	sion	91/9
Page	Part	Content	Support (in part or full) or Oppose	Recommendation; MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	
45	6.5	6.5 Site-led Pests Programme		That the Plan consider and specify any other	MPI supports the specific reference to the
		Site-led Pests are pests, or			NZ Wilding Control Management Strategy
		organisms spread by the pest, in		be achieved, with particular emphasis given	2015-30 and the National Wilding Control
		the Tasman-Nelson region that		to vulnerable areas, containment of	Management Programme. However, a
		are capable of causing adverse		infestation within vulnerable areas and	regulatory backstop is needed to support
		impacts in sites with high natural		prevention of spread to areas that are not	the control operations undertaken by the
		values.		infested.	National Wilding Conifer Control
		The Objective			Programme funded by MPI, DOC, LINZ,
		Over the duration of this Plan,		MPI suggests that the Plan include in its	and landowners and other publicly funded
		eradicate or progressively control		Objective specifics on where containment will	control programmes
		the pests listed in the Site-led		occur and to what extent reduction will occur.	
		Programme to eliminate or		Section 8 of the MPI Wilding Conifer	
		minimise their adverse effects on		Guidance, which sets out standardised	
		the values of that place (Section		programme objective statements may be	
		5.1 p.18).		helpful in this regard; e.g. "Over {the duration	
		Principal Measures		of the Plan/an alternative prescribed	
		(a) Requirement to Act: Occupiers		timeframe), to {contain/reduce} the	
		are required to control all pests		geographic distribution of wilding conifers and	
		within the places that have been		specifically identified pest species to specified	
		identified to the extent that the		area(s) or zone(s) / to X % of their current	
		values of that place are protected.		area/density (as at X date) / by X% within X	
		(b) Council inspection: The		region/specified area(s) or zone(s)} in order to	
		Management Agency may		reduce the adverse effects of wilding conifers	
		undertake surveillance in the		on pastoral production, indigenous	
		places that have been identified to		biodiversity, cultural and landscape values in	
		monitor the effectiveness of		the District.	
		control measures.			
		(c) Advocacy and education: The		MPI recommends clarifying the trigger	
		Management Agency will provide		mechanism for rules in areas outside of the	
		information to the public on		specified site-led programme.	
		identification and control of Site-			
		led Pests, their potential impact,			

Proposal's provisions	isions	MPI Submission				77/0
Part Page	Content	Support (in part or full) or Oppose	Recommendation; MPI seeks the follodecisions from the	Recommendation; MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	Comment / Reasons	
6.5	6.5.1 Example of a Specific Rule for the four species of Wilding Conifer listed in Table 13 on land adjoining Mt Richmond Forest Park, Nelson Lakes and Abel Tasman National Parks Over the duration of this plan, occupiers within the specified areas of land adjoining Mt Richmond Forest Park, Nelson Lakes and Abel Tasman National Parks, must destroy, prior to cone-bearing, any wildings of radiata pine, Douglas fir, lodgepole pine and Scots wilding conifers on the land over a prescribed time period and over a Councilmanagement Plan, Strategy or Programme that specifies a programme or management approach for the progressive removal and/or management of wilding conifers over a prescribed time period and over a defined geographical area that includes the land where the wilding conifers are located.  A breach of this rule is an offence under Section 154N(19) of the Act.  Explanation of the Rule The purpose of this rule is to reduce the distribution of these pests in parts of the	rs within and and stroy, adiata scots control e for the son the ier and ier and ier and and/or mme and/or and ier er e	Support in part	Clarify - is an example of a rule, actually a rule?	iple of a rule,	MPI supports the intent and measures to reduce the distribution of wilding conifers. However it is unclear what whether an example of a rule is enforceable.

Boy 969 18126

				AMERICAN DESCRIPTION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PR	
Propo	Proposal's provisions		MPI Submission		30/0-
Page	Part	Content Suj	Support (in part or full) or Oppose	Recommendation; MPI seeks the following decisions from the Council	Comment / Reasons
120	Аррх 5	Appendix 5: <b>Organisms of Interest</b> This Appendix includes pests that were considered for inclusion in the Proposed RPMP, but did not meet the criteria outlined in the National Policy Direction for Pest Management. It also includes some pests from Table 2 that are not controlled in parts of the Tasman-Nelson region. Most are widely distributed and controlling them could impose major costs on occupiers for limited benefits. However, some are site-led pests that are not considered significant pests in other parts of the region.	oppose		Using a standard definition of identified pest species (as recommended above) and applying it across the region will avoid confusion
122		Wilding conifers (areas to be identified) Pinus contorta, P. mugo, P. muricata, P. nigra, P. ponderosa, P. radiata, P. sylvestris, P. uncinata, Pseudotsuga menziesii, Larix decidua		See our recommendation above; the Plan should specify the species to be controlled as pests with a focus on protecting vulnerable land from invasion.	
122		Two of these species, radiata pine (P. radiata) and Douglas fir (Pseudotsuga menziesii) are important commercial species and have been widely planted. Other species have a much more limited distribution. They can be managed by felling below the lowest green branch or by treating with common herbicides. Can provide information on local control	70 .		