

Report No:	RCS11-09-10
File No:	
Date:	5 September 2011
Decision Required	

# REPORT SUMMARY

Report to: Grants and Community Facilities Rate Subcommittee

Meeting Date: 14 September 2011

Report Author Community Services Manager

Subject: Proposed Golden Bay Recreation Reserve Multi Purpose

**Facility** 

### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

To consider a request from the Golden Bay Community Board asking Council to provide seeding funding from the District Facilities Rate account for project management and concept plans to take the proposed multi-purpose facility to the next planning stage in order for this to be presented to the Golden Bay community.

### **RECOMMENDATION/S**

Staff recommend the adoption of Option 5.2.

#### **DRAFT RESOLUTION**

**THAT the Grants and Community Facilities Rate Subcommittee:** 

- 1 Receives the Proposed Golden Bay Recreation Reserve Multi Purpose Facility Report RCS11-09-10; and
- Resolves that up to \$35,000 be allocated for the funding of the concept plans and project management of the proposed Golden Bay Recreation Reserve Multi Purpose Facility and that such funds come from the District Facilities Rate.



Report No:	RCS11-09-10
File No:	
Report Date:	5 September 2011
Decision Required	

Report to: Grants and Community Facilities Rate Subcommittee

Meeting Date: 14 September 2011

Report Author Community Services Manager

Subject: Proposed Golden Bay Recreation Reserve Multi Purpose

**Facility** 

#### 1. PURPOSE

1.1 To consider a request from the Golden Bay Community Board asking Council to provide seeding funding from the District Facilities Rate account for project management and concept plans to take the proposed multi-purpose facility to the next planning stage in order for this to be presented to the Golden Bay community.

### 2. BACKGROUND

- 2.1 Over the last 12 months there have been a number of public meetings investigating options for developing a multi-purpose facility at the Golden Bay Recreation Reserve.
- 2.2 A small working group has made presentations to the Community Board, visited other similar facilities and has recently appointed Don Robertson (Tasman Projects) as their project manager.
- 2.3 Council has previously asked the Golden Bay Community Board to take a lead role with the provision of a community facility in Golden Bay and even though other proposals have been spoken about, only the multi-purpose facility at the Recreation Reserve has gained any momentum.
- 2.4 The project is now at the stage where they require the services of an architect to prepare concept drawings to take to the community.

# 3. PRESENT SITUATION/MATTERS TO BE CONSIDERED

3.1 The Project Manager, on behalf of the Working Group, has called for "Request for Design" submissions for the concept and detailed design.



- 3.2 The Working Group has visited works completed by the various architecture companies and also met the architects of those visited.
- 3.3 At their recent meeting held on Thursday 1 September, the Working Group agreed to appoint Arthouse Architects to undertake the concept drawings. This decision was subject to receiving the requested funds from Council.

## 4. FINANCIAL/BUDGETARY CONSIDERATIONS

- 4.1 When the 2011/2012 Annual Plan was prepared, it was agreed that there was no need to put in place another rate under the District Facilities for the investigation costs of this project.
- 4.2 It was agreed that this could be met from the credit balance within the account.
- 4.3 This is how previous facilities concept planning has been funded and not until detailed building plans are required is there a need to put in place a special rate.
- 4.4 The funding request is for up to \$35,000 which will cover the project management costs, architects concept planning costs and possible staff time assisting with sports field layout.

### 5. OPTIONS

- 5.1 To decline the request for funds to complete the concept planning.
- 5.2 To approve the request for up to \$35,000 for the completion of the concept planning.

#### 6. PROS AND CONS OF OPTIONS

### 6.1 Option 5

Pros:

None that staff know of.



#### Cons:

 This option would mean that a project that has gained considerable momentum would be put on hold until the community could find another funding source.

# 6.2 Option 5.2

# Pros:

- Provides for the next stage of the investigations which is necessary if the Golden Bay Community Board is going to have something to take to the community.
- It will provide the volunteer working group with the certainty that Council is supportive of the continuation of the investigations.
- It supports the Golden Bay Community Board's recommendations.

#### Cons:

 Could be seen by some Golden Bay residents as Council supporting only one project.

#### 7. EVALUATION OF OPTIONS

7.1 Option 5.2 allows the project to proceed to the next stage and is consistent with other projects previously provided throughout the district.

## 8. SIGNIFICANCE

8.1 This subject is not a significant decision according to the Council's Significance Policy.

#### 9. **RECOMMENDATION/S**

9.1 Staff recommend the adoption of Option 5.2.

### 10. DRAFT RESOLUTION

**THAT the Grants and Community Facilities Rate Subcommittee:** 

1 Receives the Proposed Golden Bay Recreation Reserve Multi Purpose Facility Report RCS11-09-10; and



Resolves that up to \$35,000 be allocated for the funding of the concept plans and project management of the proposed Golden Bay Recreation Reserve Multi Purpose Facility and that such funds come from the District Facilities Rate.

# Lloyd Kennedy

Community Services Manager

g:\recreation\grants from rates g601\committee and meetings\2011\rcs11-09-10 proposed golden bay recreation reserve multi purpose facility.docx